#### Abstract

This thesis is a study of the changing role which Toynbee Hall, the first university settlement, played in East Lordon between 1884 and 1914. The first chapter presents a brief biography of Samuel Augustus Barnett, the founder and first warden of the settlement, and analyzes his social thought in relation to the beliefs which were current in Britain during the period. The second chapter discusses the founding of the settlement, its organizational structure and the eims which underlay its early work. The third chapter, concentrating on three residents. C.R. Ashbee. W.H. Beveridge and T. Edmund Harvey, shows the way in which subsequent settlement workers reformulated these aims in accordance with their own social and economic views. The subsequent chapters discuss the accomplishments of the settlement in various fields. The fourth shows that Toynbee Hall's educational program, which was largely an attempt to work out Matthew Arnold's theory of culture, left little impact on the life of East London. The fifth chapter discusses the settlement residents' ineffectual attempts to establish contact with working men's organizations. The final chapter seeks to demonstrate that in the field of philanthropy the residents were far more successful than in any other sphere in adapting the settlement to changing social thought.

( . 1

Canon Barnett and the First Thirty Years of Toynbee Hall

Emily K. Abel Queen Mary College University of London

PH-1969

# Table of Contents

Key to Abbreviations Used in the Footnotes and the Text	4
Introduction	
Introduction	5
I. Canon Barnett	8
II. The Founding and Organization of Toynbee Hall	95
III. Major Trends in the History of the Settlement	147
IV. The Settlement's Educational Program	200
V. Toynbee Hall's Ties with Working Men's Associations	248
VI. The Role of Settlement Residents on Philanthropic Organizations	268
Appendix: Toynbee Hall Residents, 1884 - 1914	315
Bibliography	333

Key to Abbreviations Used in the Footnotes and the Lext.

Organizations.

C.O.S. - Charity Organisation Society

W.E.A. - Workers' Educational Association

# Persons.

F.G.B. - Francis Gilmore Barnett

H.O.B. - Henrietta Octavia Barnett

S.A.B. - Samuel Augustus Barnett

W.H.B. - William Henry Beveridge

O.H. - Octavia Hill

T.E.H. - Thomas Edmund Harvey

Reports and Periodicals.

Annual Reports - Toynbee Hall, Annual Reports

Record - The Toynbee Record

### Introduction

A study of Toynbee Hall between 1884 and 1914 helps to illuminate various aspects of the social history of the period. The settlement is significant first because it attempted to work out the social and economic doctrines of the 1880's. Embodying the beliefs held by a significant proportion of informed opinion, it was hailed at its foundation as one of the most constructive attempts to deal with the novel problems created by urbanization and industrialization. An analysis of the activities undertaken by the residents in the fields of education and philanthropy thus reveals the extent to which the social thought of the time proved relevant to the problems actually confronting the society. Toynbee hall can also be viewed as a force in the history of social reform in Britain. Although members of an institution established with precise goals, the residents sought to remain responsive to the changing climate of social opinion. Moreover, as the settlement workers gradually recognized the futility of some of the settlement's activities, they themselves helped to shape the social thought of the period. By 1914 many of the residents were involved in types of social work which the founders would have considered inappropriate to a university settlement. The history of the changing concerns of the residents demonstrates the way in which the nation's ideas about the nature of poverty in an industrial society slowly developed.

Two works have generally been regarded as the standard sources for Toynbee Hall. Both, however, are inadequate. Henrietta Barnett's two-volume bicgraphy of Canon Barnett, while incorporating a considerable amount of primary material, is highly subjective. Mrs. Barnett misconstrued large aspects of the life of her husband and many of her facts are inaccurate. Most seriously, she edited Barnett's personal letters. Some of her revisions were relatively minor. She substituted complete words for his abbreviations, corrected his punctuation and spelling, and added a few words and phrases for clarity. It is more significant, however, that she rewrote many sentences and frequently combined several letters into one, thus . obliterating a sense of the progression of darnett's thought. The collection of his letters, formerly in the possession of his nephew, Mr. S.H.G. Barnett, and now available at the Greater London County Record Office, permits the historian to revise the portrait which she presents.

Toynbee Hall: Fifty Years of Social Progress, 1884 - 1934 by

J.A.R. Pimlott is not as deliberately misleading as Henrietta Barnett's

work. It suffers, however, from reliance both on her biography and

on the settlement's annual reports and monthly journal, The Toynbee

Hall Record. Perhaps because the latter portray only the public

side of the settlement's life, Pimlott does not consider either

the way in which the residents actually viewed their work or the

impact which it had on East London. It is hoped that by making use of the private papers of such important residents as C.R. Ashbee, Canon Barnett, W.H. Beveridge and T.E. Harvey it will be possible to present a more realistic impression of the history of Toynbee Hall.

## Chapter I: Canon Barnett

The period between 1880 and 1914 was marked by an increasing uneasiness among the wealthy in Britain about the gulf between the ideals and reality of their society. Samuel Augustus Barnett, the founder and first warden of Toynbee Hall, both sharpened this sense of moral obligation and provided ways and means of expressing it. He was born in Bristol on February 8, 1844, the son of a manufacturer with strong Conservative views. The only document from his childhood is a journal he wrote during a trip with his father to Ireland where he was impressed with everything but one town: "in truth it was not much to see being one long irregular street and mostly poor inhabitants." Educated during most of his youth at home, he entered Wadham College, Oxford, in September 1862; according to his wife, his family chose the college because of its Tory and evengelical views. Although he later glorified the life of an Oxford student his own university career was undistinguished. He made no lasting friends, took little part in any of the societies he would eventually praise and graduated in 1865 with a second class degree. He spent the following two years as a master at Winchester College, thus gaining his only experience of a public school, the

<sup>1.</sup> Samuel Augustus Barnett, Diary of Journey to Ireland, n.d. Barnett Papers.

<sup>2.</sup> Henrietta O. Barnett, Canon Barnett; His Life, Work and Friends (London, 1919), I, 9.

frame of reference for a large part of Toynbee Hall as for other late Victorian middle-class institutions.

On 6 April 1867, Barnett sailed for New York, beginning a trip of nine months through post-Civil War America. Many years later he encouraged his brother to allow his son to take a similar trip: "I remember how it stirred me. The language, the social interests at once appeal to an Englishman and Uely will find himself a social reformer without knowing it." He also reputedly said: \*Born and nurtured in an atmosphere of Toryism what I saw and heard there /in America knocked all the Torvism out of me. " But his journal belies these recollections. His first concern in every city was with the standard of comfort, of food and of service in the hotel. The journal began: "With the 'Scotia' as a ship and a steamer no fault can be found, but it is a question whether the accommodation and comfort are such as might be expected by passengers paying 131." Upon landing in Baltimore he wrote: "I stayed at the 'Eutaw House' not a good one, the so-called gentleman's perlour being totally unfit to sit in." In St. Louis, many months

<sup>.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 27 February 1904.

<sup>4.</sup> Quoted in W. Francis Aitken, Canon Barnett, Warden of Toynbee Hall: His Mission and Its Relation to Social Lovements (London, 1902), 25.

<sup>5.</sup> Unless otherwise noted, the subsequent quotations in this and the following two chapters are from S.A. Barnett, Journal of Trip to America, 6 April 1867 - 13 July 1867. (The individual entries are not dated.)

later, he complained: "With no fat enimals, no good butchers & no good means of cooking & a berbarous fashion of serving, it is almost impossible to enjoy dinner in American hotels." A perceptive sight-seer, he scrupulously recorded the details of everything he saw end heard, but women's dresses, the architecture, the layout of the streets and the nature of the foliage interested him as much as eocial questions. He was unimpressed by the one philanthropist he met and his conscience does not appear to have been touched by his first sight of a New York alum. The wealth of a few individuals, subsequently the object of his scorn, marely filled him with awe. Only the certitude with which he pronounced his opinions and his consistently moralizing tone foreshadow the man he was to become.

From the beginning to the end of the trip he retained the views of a Tory, determined to preserve social prerogatives.

Henrietta Barnett later claimed that "in spite of his inherited principles against slavery, he had always an apologetic appreciation of the dignified generous-hearted free-living ex-slave owners of the Southern States." Barnett himself would not have agreed.

Horrified by the violence he found throughout the South, he concluded:

"The Southern is a would-be aristocracy"... very far removed from

<sup>6.</sup> h.O. Barnett, Bernett, I, 13.

my idea of a polished gentlemen." But the manner in which he expressed his criticism also shows that he was not attracted by the northern ideals of democracy or equality. Irish waiters in New York had "too independent an air." The American constitution "fails to govern men & men in their present state must be governed." He noted the disparity between his thought and that of a Redical lawyer: "his starting point being that every man had a right to vote, mine being that it is also first every men's duty to make himself fit to vote, we of course could not agree." In general, the mepublican Party was composed "of men with extravagant ideas." Ten years later Barnett's foremost aim had become to encourage contact between the different social classes. But he now noted that the mixing of the classes in a Boston high school had "no evil effects for the meeting takes place simply at school, I doubt though whether this system could produce the 'gentleman' wh. our public schools ... produce." In Ottawa he approved of the "free intercourse but not familiarity between the classes."

What Bernett did imbibe in America was racial prejudice.

He agreed with teachers who considered it impossible to educate

Negro children: "the black waiter when he is doing nothing has as

vacant, inane a look as it is possible for any smimal to have."

After visiting a Negro school in Baltimore, he slightly revised this

conclusion, but he continued to be disturbed by the physical appearance of the Negro: "The great objection to the negro is his odour & that some insist may be removed by cleanliness; his face, I grant, may be improved by education but whether the awkward gait is as some doctors say the result of incest, I much doubt." He was more approving of the Florida Negroes because they were "more childish & good humoured ... A great number seemed to hang abt the hotels & were ready to do anything for anybody." His final comment was in New Orleans: "The boy nigger seems bright enough & has a most intelligent look, but he is not the father of the man; the chin & forehead of the man nigger recede, the nose flattens cut, & the lips protrude & nothing of intelligence is left."

It was during the next period in his life that Darnett developed into a social reformer. On 22 December 1867, five months after his return, he was ordained deacon and immediately assumed the position of curate to the Reverend W.H. Fremantle (later the Deen of Ripon) at St. Mary's, Dryanston Equare, Marylebone. Two years later Fremantle established the first district committee of the newly formed Charity Organisation Society. This prominent society embodied mid-Victorian social and economic ideals. Viewing poverty as the direct result of individual failing, it condemned indiscriminate almsgiving and sought to control both Poor Law relief and private charity in order to prevent the demoralization

of the poor. Members were instructed to categorize each applicant for relief as either 'deserving' or 'undeserving' and to eid him only in ways which would enable him to become self-reliant. Frementle turned his parish funds over to the ot. Mary's delief Committee which in turn was guided by the warleybone Committee of The liaison between the two committees was Octavia the C.O.S. Hill. A determined and dogmatic women, she campaigned for the preservation of open spaces in London and laid much of the groundwork for the National Trust. Her fame, however, rests on her somewhat dubious experiments in working-class housing. She converted slum tenements in Marylebone into blocks of self supporting model dwellings which in turn served as the means by which she attempted to improve the character of her working-class tenants. Believing that "the people's homes are bad because they are badly built and arranged; they are tenfold worse because the tenants' habits and lives are what they are", she introduced improvements in the

<sup>7.</sup> Lynedoch Gardiner, "Relief: Utilization of Voluntary Afforts,"
Appendix No. 13, Third Annual Report of Local Government Board,
1873-74, 131-35; O.H. to J.H. Fremantle, I November 1876.
Collection of letters from O. Hill to h.O. and S.A. Barnett,
L.S.E.; O. Hill, "Relief: Official and Volunteer Agencies in
Administering," Appendix No. 12, Third Annual Report of Local
Government Board, 1873-74, 126-30; Recollections of Jean
Fremantle, ed. The Master of Balliol (London, 1921), 87-88;
St. Mary's, Bryanston Square. Pastoral Address and Report
of the Charities for the Year 1870, 16-18, 29-38.

8. O. Hill, homes of the London Poor (London, 1883), 68-75.

dwellings only when the tenents evinced a corresponding desire to reform themselves; a corps of volunteer lady rent collectors, whom she carefully supervised, provided the necessary friendship, encouragement and instruction. By the turn of the century it had become fashionable to challenge her rigidly individualistic views, but in the 1860's and 1870's she was revered as a courageous and imaginative social reformer. Barnett, who worked with her closely for a number of years in the administration of Marylebone's relief, was greatly impressed.

On 8 February 1873, Octavia Hill's sister Miranda wrote to a friend:

Did I tell you that Mr. Parnett, the curate who has worked with Octavia so admirably in St. Mary's, has just married Miss Henrietta Rowland, one of Octavia's best workers; and now they are going to live and work in the East End? Octavia thinks it such a splendid thing to have such a man at work down there—she thinks it quite a nucleus of fresh life; and Lors. Barnett, of whom Octavia is very fond, is admirably fitted for the work too. The wedding was very touching—the church was crowded with poor people; even the galleries were filled with them.

Henriette Octavia Weston parnett was born in 1851 to a wealthy family in Clapham. While still a young woman she became one of Octavia hill's volunteers, investigating the credentials of applicants

<sup>9.</sup> Mirenda Hill to Mrs. Durant, 8 rebruery 1876, Life of Octavia es Told in Her Letters, ed. C. Admind Maurice (London, 1913), 281.

for relief. As the wife of Samuel Barnett, she was known as an arrogant, opinionated woman who freely displayed her contempt for such various categories of men as employers of sweated labour. slum landlords, Jews and pacifists. Although Townbee Hall residents frequently ridiculed her inflated sense of propriety and her selfrighteousness, they grudgingly acknowledged their respect for her determination and energy. She was the first nominated woman Guardian in 1875 and served as manager of a district school from 1875 to 1897, as a member of the Departmental Committee to Inquire into the Condition of Loor Law schools in 1894 and as honorary secretary of the State Children's Association which she helped to found. She also organized committees for sending ailing children to the country, for visiting the immates of workhouses and for aiding young girls to obtain positions as servents in respectable households. In addition, she executed many of her husband's schemes and, most impressively of all, founded the Hampstead Garden Suburb. In 1917 she was awarded an O.B.E. and in 1924 she was made a Dame of the Order. Capable, masterful and intolerant, she was the adored wife of a gentle and religious man.

One month after their marriage, the parnetts moved to East London where parnett became vicar of St. Jude's, Whitechapel. Mrs. Parnett later described the way in which her husband obtained this position:

As ... we were determined to go to East London, she /Octavia Hill/ set to work to get us there. She wrote to Mr. Edmund hollond who had followed the steps of Mr. Edward Denison who in 1869 had gone to live as a Layman in Stepney. Dr. Jackson was then Bishop of London, and when the living at St. Jude's, Whitechapel, fell vacant, Mr. Edmund Hollond asked that it should be offered to Mr. Barnett, who would then marry a lady who had long wished to take up work in East London.

The Bishop's letter to Mr. Parnett was kind and fatherly, the letter of a general sending a young ceptain to a difficult outpost.

'Do not hurry in your decision' - he wrote, 'it is the worst parish in my diocese, inhabited mainly by a criminal population, and one which has, I fear, been much corrupted by doles.'10

This version of the Bishop's letter has been frequently quoted.

But in fact his actual letters were somewhat different:

St. Jude's whitechapel is about to be vacant, its Incumbent hr. Haines having accepted another living. It is perhaps the worst district in London containing (with a certain number of respectable and well to do tradesmen) a large population of Jews and thieves. Would you be disposed to undertake the difficult chores? The population is about 6000. The income was made up lately by the Eccles. Comm. to about 300. but the present Incumbent - not very wisely I think - has abolished the pew rents, very small no doubt but formerly considerable. There is a house.

I do not press for an enswer as I shall like you to see the place. There is a great though difficult work to be done there. 11

<sup>10.</sup> h.O. Barnett, Barnett, I, 68.

<sup>11.</sup> J. Jackson to S.A.B., 27 November 1872. Parnett Papers.

The Bishop's second letter was no more encouraging:

I am glad that you have decided to accept St. Jude's. It is, no doubt a work of self denial, but undertaken in Xt's spirit it will not be left without a blessing.

Some of Ar. Haines' difficulties he will not leave behind him; but plenty remain. May God enable & bless you. 12

Mrs. Barnett's amended version of the letter is a definite improvement. A "criminal population" was a more judicious way of describing Barnett's perishioners than "Jews and thieves." The Bishop's criticism of the practice of abolishing pew rents showed little understanding of the aims of those members of the clergy concerned about social inequality. On the other hand, had he in fact stated that the people of Whitechapel had been demoralized by indiscriminate almsgiving he would have justified the pernett's almost singleminded concern with this problem during their first years at St. Jude's.

The Bishop's curt and unsympathetic letters, however, perhaps best illustrate the attitude of the upper classes toward a man determined to live in East London at the time. St. Jude's stood at the centre of an area filled with common lodgming houses, inhabited by many who either stole or lived on charity. sarnett's parishioner's included only a few of the thrifty, independent, upwardly mobile working man on whom most reformers pinned their hopes. Official statistics underlined the popular impression of

<sup>12.</sup> J. Jackson to S.A.B., 10 December 1872. Sarnett Papers.

Whitechapel as one of the poorest and most overcrowded districts of London. Of the district's approximately 76,000 inhabitants. 3.554 were dependent on some form of out-door relief in 1870. The Medical Inspector for whitechapel reported in 1873 that onefifth of the district's children died before they were one year old and one-third before they were five. In a section of the district close to St. Jude's, moreover, the rate of mortality of children under five was as high as 61.1%. These figures were in large part attributed to poor housing conditions. The inspector noted in particular that he had found one man. six women and three children sleeping in a room measuring 12 x 9 x 7 feet on Goulston Street, a few blocks from ot. Jude's in 1871. As late as 1891, over 44% per cent of the population lived in tenements of one or two rooms. It should therefore perhaps have come as no surprise when Charles Booth discovered in 1889 that almost 40% of "hitechapel's inhabitants were living beneath the "poverty line". When the Barnetts arrived at St. Jude's, philanthropists and journalists

<sup>13.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report of the Guardians and Abstract of Union Accounts for Year Ended, March 1911, vlx.

<sup>14.</sup> Henry Jephson, The Sanitary Evolution of London (London, 1907), 278-79.

<sup>15.</sup> <u>Op. cit., 279.</u>

Op. cit., 243. 16.

<sup>17.</sup> 

Op. cit., 353. Charles Booth (ed.) Labour and Life of the People, Vol. I: 18. East London (London, 1889), 36.

had barely begun to discover East London, and the clinics, washhouses, schools, and libraries which they could later point to as
the tangible results of their work did not yet exist. Some twenty
years later social work in the East End had become an acceptable
occupation for a university graduate and even alumming had become
a fashionable pasitime. But in 1872 Barnett's decision to reside
in Whitechapel was an aberrant step for a man of his social standing
to take.

As Vicar of St. Jude's, Barnett's primary goal was to attract his parishioners to the church. The impersonality of London, the physical segregation of its classes and the absence of strong social pressures, the same social conditions in feet which later impelled parnett to found Toynbee Hall, bed weakened the force of religion in East London. In 1880 Walsham How, the bishop responsible for East London, remarked that East Enders thought of religion 'as belonging to a wholly different class from themselves."

Barnett's first parishioners were no exception. Placing the blame partly on the Church of England's indifference to contemporary social problems, he served from the late 1870's as a prominent member of the Church Reform Union. This organization was formed to bring the Church into closer harmony with the needs of the day,

<sup>19.</sup> walshem How in Church Congress report (1880), 94-95, quoted in K.S. Inglis, Churches and the Working Classes in Victorian England (London, 1963), 323.

hoping thereby to resist the pressures for disestablishment as well. On the council of the Union parmett worked closely with Philip Lyttelton Gell. the first chairmen of the council of Toynbee Hall. and with T.H. Green and Arnold Toynbee, both of whom strongly influenced the foundation and early form of the settlement. In both his private correspondence and public articles during the period Barnett frequently expressed his contempt for the vast majority of the members of his profession, criticizing their blindness to the nation's problems and their ostentatiously wealthy style of life.

In Whitechapel. Bernett attempted to act according to his image of the model clergyman. Finding St. Jude's in a mori bund condition, he repaired the church, reorganized a choir and reopened the church schools. In 1889 he wrote to his brother: "There is grt. nonsense talked abt. peoples dislike of church. They have no dislike, they simply feel that church as it is does not help them." In an attempt to adapt the church to the demands of East

Leaflets of National Church Reform Union (1880-1895); P.L. Gell to S.A.B., 1 December 1880; Arnold Toynbee to S.A.B., 19 January 1879, 2 february 1879, 18 June 1879. Barnett Papers, Lamber Palace Library, London S.E. 1.

E.g. S.A.B. to F.G.B., 6 key 1893. S.A.B. to non. Parishioners, 7 March 1873. Barnett Papers. 22.

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 16 November 1889. 23.

Enders, he modified the form of the Sunday services, instituted a "Worship Hour" and a children's service, organized oratorio concerts, hing pictures throughout the church and commissioned William borris to redecorate it. On a wider scale, the Barnetts tried to fill the gap in moral and cultural leadership which they believed that the flight of the wealthy from mast London had left. Barnett campaigned for better housing and for a more rigid enforcement of sanitary regulations and urged local authorities to build libraries, playgrounds, washhouses and dispensaries. He also served as a member of the whitechapel Board of Guardians and organized local branches of the Metropolitan Association for befriending Young Servants, the Sanitary Aid Committee and the Children's Country Holidays Fund. Together the Barnetts established a church library, held annual art exhibitions and brought University Extension lectures within the reach of members of the community. In addition they ran a boys' club with eighty-five members by 1883 and a men's club comprising 180 members, and offered their support to local cooperative societies. In order to "bring about that intercourse between classes which is one of the objects of our life here." they entertained their neighbours end friends from the west And together and took groups of their parishioners on

<sup>24.</sup> St. Jude's, whitechapel, Pastoral Address and Report of the Parish Work (1882-87).

<sup>25.</sup> St. Jude's report for Year 1882-83, 17.

<sup>26.</sup> Ibid.

excursions to the universities or to the homes of the wealthy.

In many ways Toynbee Hall was an outgrowth of this work begun in the early 1870's; one theme of subsequent chapters will therefore be the gradual broadening of the settlement's efforts from the traditional nineteenth-century parish entirities to those more in barmony with the needs of the early-twentieth century.

But these various activities made little impact on the size of Bernett's congregation. In 1878 he thus considered abandoning 27 the work and transferring to a country parish; two years later he applied for a position in a slightly more prosperous section he believed of East London where his parishioners might be more receptive to 28 his teaching. Although he remained vicar of St. Jude's for many years, he grew no more confident about his effectiveness. Nine years after he settled in Whitechapel, Barnett reported: "In this parish strive as we may ... we are fain to confess that the Church services exercise no influence comparable to the work they 29 involve." The following year he was even more disheartened: "Year after year I have expressed my hope of what the Church might be in the parish, and year after year I have to confess disappointment. This year there is no success to chronicle which seems success

<sup>27.</sup> O.H. to S.A.B., 9 October 1878.

<sup>28.</sup> O.F. to H.O.B., I August 1880; O.H. to S.A.B., 6 August 1880.

<sup>29.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for Year 1882-83, 9.

30

when placed alongside of what might have been." In one sense the settlement movement, begun the same year, resulted from this sense of frustration; it had become clear to Barnett that East London could not be transformed by a few isolated clergymen.

Octavia Hill watched closely over the work of the Barnetts during their early years in Whitechapel, receiving copies of at least some of Barnett's sermons and of his parish reports and 31 assisting him in numerous ways. When the Barnetts took a vacation 32 in 1874, she superintended the alterations of the church. At other times she raised money for Barnett's parish activities and contributed a small sum from her own fund, helped to popularize some of his new ideas for social reform and suggested the names of wolunteers who could assist the Barnetts in East London. Her letters show, moreover, that she was confident that Barnett was carrying out her own ideals in Whitechapel. She frequently sought his advice and worked with him closely both on C.O.S. affairs and in the campaign to preserve open spaces. As she wrote to Henrietta

<sup>30.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for Year 1883-84, 7.

<sup>31.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 26 December 1873; O.H. to S.A.B., 9 October 1878.

<sup>32.</sup> O.H. to S.A.B., 11 September 1874, 12 October 1874.

<sup>33.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 19 December 1875, 7 May 1876, 24 October 1876, 9 December 1876; O.H. to S.A.B., 26 January 1875.

<sup>34.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 20 November 1875, 19 December 1875, 6 March 1876, 24 October 1876, 5 February 1878, 18 February 1876, 11 March 1878, 20 June 1879; O.H. to S.A.B., 3 January 1873, 12 November 1875, 27 December 1875, 23 March 1877, 4 April 1877, 21 April 1877, 24 April 1877, 4 March 1878, 7 January 1879.

Bernett in 1876, she felt that "we are one utterly and entirely 35 in what we sim at."

The first major concern of the paraetts outside the church clearly reflected Octavia Hill's inspiration. In March 1875 she wrote to a friend:

What do you think that the paraetts' great news was? That they had had a legacy, and wanted to spend it in rebuilding their worst court irrespective of making it pay ... Of course I said by all means; and now, if they can but purchase, I think it will give new life to their future there, to see some tangible and radical reform actually achieved. 36

Within two years the Barnetts had bought an old building on Wentworth street, near St. Jude's, end, with the help of friends, had converted it into a model dwelling supervised by a group of 37 lady rent collectors. A more ambitious enterprise with which the Barnetts were involved was the East End Dwellings Company founded in 1883. In her testimony before the Royal Commission on the Housing of the Working Classes Octavia hill described the Company as the only one in London which was building houses in the mammer she recommended and identified Barnett, though not a director, 38 as "the heart and soul of the undertaking." Following her lead.

<sup>35.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 10 July 1876.

<sup>36.</sup> O.H. to Mrs. Nassau Senior, 28 March 1875, Octavia Hill, ed. Maurice, 324-25.

<sup>37.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, 130-32.

<sup>38.</sup> P.P. 1884-85, AXX Royal Commission on the Housing of the Working Classes, Q. 8849, 8850.

the Company provided accommodation primarily for workers who had been removed from their homes by the slum clearance program of the Letropolitan Board of Works, a segment of the working class too poor to qualify for rooms in the buildings of the more famous Peabody Trust. "Our intention," Barnett wrote is to build for the unskilled labourers, the day workers at the docks, and the many men and women who live by casual employment." Octavia Hill laid down explicit guidelines for the construction of such model blocks: "Instead of building what the promoters who come from comfortable houses think ought to be wanted, they should build what really is wanted, and what is essential to health ... Primarily, I should not carry the water and the drains all over the place. I think that is ridiculous. If you have water on every floor that is quite sufficient for working people." The first block erected was called the Katherine Buildings, situated near the Katherine Docks. It Was a five story building of one-room, "uniform, cell-like" apartments, leading off from narrow passages and containing neither a sink nor a toilet. Barnett himself found the buildings too austere

<sup>39.</sup> Beatrice webb, My Apprenticeship (Harmondsworth, Middlesex, 1938), 310.

<sup>40.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for Year 1882-83, 15.

<sup>41.</sup> Royal Commission on the Housing of the working Classes, Q. 8852.

All-12. In 1885 The Link, edited by Annie Besent and "illiam Stead, called attention to the unsetisfactory sanitary arrangements of the buildings. The journal claimed that many members of one family had become ill because their one window opened close to a sewerage trough and urged the East Ind Dwellings Company to close "this fever trap". (The Link, No. 28 (11 August 1885), 4.)

and a few years after their opening remarked with approval that a subsequent venture, College Buildings, had been built "with some regard to beauty." But he remained as convinced as his mentor of the value of lady rent+collectors. Like Barnett's more famous enterprise, Toynbee Hall, this housing scheme was based partly on his belief in the beneficent potentialities of personal contact between the classes. The women who toured the buildings collecting the rent each week were expected to form friendships with the tenants, encouraging them to strive for self improvement and promoting social harmony. In 1882 he wrote: "Personally I am strongly of the opinion that houses so let can only be managed by collectors who make themselves friends of the temant ... It is a cheering thought that in a few years ... by the relation of landlords and tenents friendships will grow, between all classes, between rich and poor, between Jews and Gentiles." It is unlikely, however, that this end was ever accomplished. Looking back on her experiences as a rent collector under Barnett, Margaret Nevinson later recalled primarily the ruses her tenants employed to avoid paying rent and concluded that "the greatest asset for a rent collector was a hard heart. \* Another rent collector was Beatrice Potter (Webb), who

<sup>43.</sup> st. Jude's, Report for Year 1886-87, 12. 44. St. Jude's, Report for Year 1882-83, 15.

<sup>45.</sup> hargaret Nevinson, Life's Fitful Fever: A Volume of Lemories (London, 1926), 87.

undertook the work in order to gain first-hand experience of the way in which the poor lived. She replaced her sister Kate who had been trained by Octavia Hill and had worked in Whitechapel for eight years. Beatrice Potter was at first filled with awe at the idea that "the character of the community will depend on our personal influence." Three months later, however, she classified her tenents as a "rough lot - the aborigines of the Last End." After working as a rent collector for a year and a half she concluded: "The lady collectors are an altogether superficial thing. Undoubtedly their gentleness and kindness bring light into many homes: but what are they in face of this collective brutality, hesped up together in imfectious contact, adding to each other's dirt, physical and moral?" Even Barnett could feel little sympathy for the new temants: "I took a party of neighbours round Toynbee hall ... There are few workmen who rise above the ruts, they were a body fr. the new dwelling ... & there was not one who was a bit superior to the gang wh. one meets at an at home." In October, 1888, Barnett

<sup>46.</sup> Kate Potter (Courtney), "Diary" (1875). Courtney Collection, L.S.E.; O.H. to H.O.B., 20 November 1875.

<sup>47.</sup> B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 314.

<sup>48.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>49.</sup> B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 325.

<sup>50.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 November 1884.

was writing optimistically about "a big building scheme ... I

51
think we may try to rebuild the whole back quarter." But by then
his interest in housing schemes had begun to diminish. Moreover,
during the early 1890's the East End Dwellings Company was unable
to find a sufficient number of temants and was temporarily forced

52
to circumscribe its activities.

taking in Whitechapel for which paraett was largely responsible.

He first presented his proposal for a university settlement in a speech which he delivered at St. John's College, Oxford, in November 1883. The settlement was opened in December 1884 with Barnett as its first warden. In August 189 Barnett was appointed Canon of pristol where, according to his wife, he took an interest in many of the projects of social reform which concerned him, in Whitechapel. However, he remained warden of Toynbee Hall and devoted his primary attention to the settlement's activities. He resided in Bristol only during the months between July and September, the period during which the settlement was largely inactive. In 1906, when he became Canon of Westminster, he resigned the wardenship of Toynbee Hall and assumed the more honorary position of president.

<sup>51.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 20 October 1888.

<sup>52.</sup> P.P. 1903, IX, Royal Commission on the Aliens Question, Q. 17530; David Owen, Anglish Philanthropy, 1660-1960 (Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1965), 391.

From them on, he made only occasional trips to whitechapel although he remained in close contect with the institutions and organizations he had founded there. He died in Hove on 17 June 1913. "It is like him," his successor as warden of Toynbee Hall remarked two days later, "to have chosen to have the funeral service in Whitechapel 53 and not in the Abbey."

Barnett followed the example of Octavia hill in his early social and economic thought as in his first major venture in the field of social reform. He began philanthropic work as a firm adherent of the Charity Organisation Society, sharing the widely-held belief of his day that indiscriminate almagiving was a significant cause of social distress. As he later wrote, he "came ... to East London determined to war against a system of relief which ignorantly cherished by the poor, meant ruin to their possibilities of living an independent and satisfying life." He agreed that the only valid form of charity involved personal service and a continuing constituent to the poor, a belief which encouraged the C.O.S. to develop a professional case-work service and which motivated Barnett to found Toynbee Hall. As a leading member of the whitechapel Board of Guardians between 1874 and 1903, Barnett helped to adapt

<sup>53.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 19 June 1913.

<sup>54.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Practicable Socialism," Nineteenth Century
(April 1883), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable
Socialism: Essays in Social Seform (2d ed.; London, 1894)
241.

its policy to the tenets of the C.O.S. He also played an active role in the local committee of the C.O.S., through which he administered his own parish funds. In 1884 he veered slightly from Cherity Organisation guidelines when, "in response to some pressure" he instituted penny dinners for the children of the parish. But the experiment was not a success: "After three months ... the children ceased to come in sufficient numbers to pay expenses, some because they got tired of novelty, some because they did not like the food, some because they were too poor. These last were all visited, and it was found that in most cases their poverty was due to vice or to, for the time, irremediable causes. A dinner in these cases would be cruelty."

In fact, Bernett had some rational basis for his strong aversion to the current practice of indiscriminate almagiving. According to Mrs. Barnett, when they first moved to Whitechapel, their parishioners expected to be given money for food and backed their demends by intimidation. People who had been refused doles frequently organised a crowd to hurl objects at the parnettes home. But Barnett's preoccupation with pauperism made him almost oblivious to poverty. In speeches, articles and sermons he reserved his most

S.A.B. to n.n. /Parishioners/, 7 Merch 1873.

<sup>56.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for Year 1884-85, 24. 57. H.O. Barnett, Darnett, I, 84.

vituperative language for charitable agencies which administered funds without adequate investigation rather than for the conditions he discovered in East London. The sensational accounts of the sufferings of the poor which led to these relief funds were equally at fault; the wealthy should carefully investigate the problems 58 they hoped to solve instead of succumbing to "folly and passion." In the winter of 1885-6, when a Mansion House committee collected 570,000 and distributed it without thoroughly checking the credentials of the recipients, Barnett won the preises of the Charity Organisation Review for a letter to the press in which he claimed that the Fund had "developed the causes of poverty." The leaders of the Fund were "irresponsible agitators," whose actions had shown "that although poverty is great ... yet this poverty being due to weakness of mind and body, is out of the reach of such careless remedies as relief funds. " Years later parnett included Mansion House Funds "among the possible winter horrors of sast London."

<sup>58.</sup> st. Jude's, deport for Year 1884-85, 25.

<sup>59.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Cleasing Storms," Toynbee Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, Vol. I, No. 6 (1 March 1886), 41.

<sup>60.</sup> Cherity Organisation Review (March 1886), 99-100; See also S.A. Barnett; "Relief runds and the Poor", Nineteenth Century (November 1886), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett Practicable Socialism, 58-77.

<sup>61.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for Year 1885-86, 27.

<sup>62.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Charity Up to Date", Contemporary Review (February 1912), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism: New Series (London, 1915), 230.

Viewing personal failure as a dominant cause of poverty, Barnett placed little faith in the curative powers of social legislation. Through his actions as a social reformer he hoped to enable other members of the upper classes to help the poor improve their own characters rather than to reorgamize society. His goal was charity, not social justice. In fact, in the field of housing, Barnett never fully supported legislative intervention, perhaps because it was here that Octavia hill's influence had been strongest. During the 1870's and 1880's he campaigned for a more rigid enforcement of senitary regulations and urged the Metropolitan Board of Works to take action under the Cross Acts by buying dilapidated property in working-class districts and organizing rebuilding schemes. But he never questioned the greater value of personal influence. When the Royal Commission on the housing of the Working Classes was being established under Charles Dilke he confided to his brother: "Monday I went to speak at a Dwellings meeting & said the cuckoo cry. It is not legislation, it is individual interest will put things right ... Shaftesbury said in private he that /thought/ the Royal Commission on Dwellings a mistake. It is so." In his annual perish reports, even while praising the model blocks erected by the Ast and Dwellings Company, he wrote: "As long as there are

<sup>63.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. (A note in H.O. Barnett's handwriting states that the letter was written in 1889).

people content to live as pigs, houses will become sties. It is
the people and not laws which ake homes, and before the people
can do so they must gain the taste and acquire the sense of dignity
which will refuse to occupy a house where a home is impossible."

Like Octavia Hill, even after the concept of municipal housing had
been widely accepted, he attacked the London County Council for
65
undertaking to build dwellings for the poor.

Nevertheless, in fields other than housing, parnett's views did not remain stationary. His social thought has frequently been misunderstood by historians who believe that it can be gleaned 66 from one representative speech. In fact, he continually reformulated his ideas in order to bring them into harmony with contemporary social needs. A man strongly influenced by tradition and history, he was also determined to understand and to further social change.

<sup>64.</sup> st. Jude's, "eport for Year 1884-85, 13.

<sup>65.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 9 December 1899; S.A. Barnett, "The Housing Problem," Nineteenth Century and After (November 1901), 794-804.

<sup>66.</sup> For example, K.S. Inglis wrote: "Samuel Samett ... hoped to see social harmony, but not social equality. he wished to see social justice done through sanitary reforms, relief work (under severe discipline) for the unemployed, public feeling of school children, garden suburbs and universal old-age pensions." (Churches and the Working Classes, 171.) It is true that from the standpoint of the mid-twentieth century few of these measures can be considered radical. Between 1884 and 1914, however, the merits of free meals for school children, relief work for the unemployed and universal old age pensions were frequently debated. By tracing Parnett's changing views toward just these measures we can discern the alteration of his social ideas.

His unwillingness to commit himself to any ideology or programme and his attempts to avoid, in so far as possible institutional affiliations, made him continually open to new ideas. Between 1880 and 1914 thoughtful Anglishmen attempted to redefine the nature of poverty in am industrial society and to reassess the roles which public and private philanthropy should play in its treatment. By the outbreak of the First World War a large percentage of social reformers, ceasing to view individual moral failure as a primary cause of social distress, had recognized the need for a redistribution of material wealth. The period which opened with the views of the Charity Organisation Society in the ascendancy closed with the passage of a series of legislative acts, establishing a precedent for widespread state control. Because Bernett felt an obligation to question his own beliefs in the light of his experience in East London and of the insights of a younger generation, he was able to provide continual leadership throughout the period.

The first sign that Barnett had modified his views appeared in 1883 when he published an article entitled "Practicable Socialism". The title itself was significant: the experience of working in East London for ten years had made Barnett a Christian Socialist. Although he had succeeded in reducing the amount of doles distributed in the community, poverty remained.

We find ourselves face to face with the labourer earning 20s. a week. He has but one room for himself, his wife and their family ... By self-denial, by abstinence from drink, by daily toil, he and his wife

are able to feed and blothe the children. Pleasure for him and for them is impossible ... holidays are out of the question ... The future does not attract his gaze ... In the labourer's future there are only the workhouse and the grave. 67

Even a skilled artisen earning 40s. a week could not save enough to use his few leisure hours productively, to obtain adequate medical treatment or to provide for his old age. While rejecting revolution as a solution, Barnett endorsed an active state policy through which the condition of the people could be immediately improved. The government should provide free libraries. Parks, an improved and extended system of education and, most significantly, free medical treatment and old age pensions. Mrs. parnett later claimed that her husband's support of state-provided pensions followed naturally from his work in connection with the Tower Hamlets Pension Fund founded in East London in 1877. But she overlooked the extent to which "Practicable Socialism" marked a turning point in parmett's views. The Tower Hamlets Fund was a private association managed for many years by Albert Pell, a leader of the Charity Organisation Society and considered by the Society as an embodiment of its views. Barnett, however, was now departing from the C.O.S. by insisting that private

<sup>67.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Practicable Socialism," Nineteenth Century (April 1883), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism, 241.

<sup>68.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, II, 281-3.

philanthropy was not capable of dealing with the problem of poverty. As T.S. and &.B. Simey wrote, public old age pensions were "the symbol of the most urgent moral dilemma of the Victorian era; namely, the reconciliation of collective action designed to remedy social abuses, and promote the well-being of the individual, with the maintenance and encouragement of personal responsibility and 69 imitiative." Moreover, while the Tower hamlets Fund distributed pensions only to men and women who had "given evidence of character 70 and thrift" in this article Barnett urged that both pensions and medical treatment be awarded without character tests. In 1908 he explained why he made this second and equally important break with Charity Organisation dogma:

Difficulties soon arose in the administration of the Tower hamlets Pension Fund. What was thrift? was a man to be refused a pension because he had spent his savings in helping a friend or a child? Was a widow to be refused because her husband had been careless? ... Investigation broke down, as indeed, it must always break down when inquiry provokes a battle of wits, and when the standards of right differ in the various classes of society. The standards of society.

Writing to his brother about a provident dispensary which was to be established in East London in 1889, Barnett commented:

<sup>69.</sup> T.S. and M.B. Simey, Charles Booth, Social Scientist (London, 1960), 5.

<sup>70.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Pensions and Lorelity" (originally published 1908) reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Towards Social Reform (London, 1909), 175.

<sup>71.</sup> op. cit., 176.

Once I was keen for such a place & now I see how necessary it is to provide a means by wh. people may get good advice but the whole system is such a muddle. Who is to get the advice free & who is to pay. All these supplementary charities must vanish some day - medical & educational relief will have to be free. There is no law to discriminate desert except the law wh. lets the weakest starve & that /law/ another law set in humanity contradicts. Free Schools, Free Doctors, Free Books & Free Church are plainly in my platform.

In a speech which he delivered in Bristol in 1894, Barnett stressed that there "is something humiliating - a loss of self respect in 73 submitting character to another's judgment."

Barnett's changing relationships to both the Whitechapel
Board of Guardians and the Charity Organisation Society illustrate
the ways in which he continued to develop the ideas embodied in
this article. His break with the Society was a gradual process
begun in 1884. In that year his wife read a paper at a meeting
of the C.O.S. in which, in her self-righteous manner, she scolded

<sup>72.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. (a note in H.O. Bernett's writing states that the letter was written in 1889).

<sup>73.</sup> Notes for a lecture in a series entitled "Christ and Workmen's Problems" delivered 6 June 1894. Barnett Papers.

The Old Age Pensions Act of 1908 provided for assistance only to those persons who fulfilled certain moral and economic conditions and it therefore owed little to Barnett's proposal. Nevertheless, he did make at least one important convert. According to Beatrice webb, his advocacy of universal pensions convinced Charles Booth who in turn played a significant role in the movement which led to the adoption of the 1908 Act. (B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 235.)

the members for their indifference to broad social issues. Without questioning the cardinal doctrines of the pociety she urged members to break out of their narrow paths and consider positive ways in which they might help the poor even as they rightly restricted 74 pecuniary aid. The same week Barnett wrote to his brother: "She read her earnest soul into chaff of the clumsy methods of the COS. I think her words will do good in rousing the society to a fuller appreciation of its work. It is useless to go on today with the 75 methods of 15 years ago."

In 1886, when Barnett proposed that Boards of Guardians establish farm colonies to train certain groups of unemployed men, the divergence of his thought from that of C.O.S. leaders became 76 more pronounced. In an article published in November 1888 he discussed in considerable detail the reasons why a public authority rather than a voluntary association should assume responsibility 77 for these colonies. Fe realized that the consensus was that Poor Lew relief should serve primarily as a deterrent and that the

<sup>74.</sup> H.O. Barnett "What Has the Charity Organisation Society to Do with Social Reform?", reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Precticable Socialism, 207 - 18.

<sup>75.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 March 1884.

<sup>76.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for 1886 - 87, 22 - 23.

<sup>77.</sup> S.A. Bernett, "Training for the Unemployed," Nineteenth Century (November 1888), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Bernett, Practicable Socialism, 308 - 22.

Guardians should therefore administer only such institutions as workhouses where conditions were as unpleasant as possible. However, education could serve as a deterrent; residence at a farm colony would not be degrading for the unemployed but the loneliness of the life and the hard work required would render the colony unattractive to "loafers" and "idlers." Although private agencies were as a rule more flexible and humane and could more easily attract enthusiastic volunteers, Poor Law authorities alone had the power and resources necessary to carry through the programme on the scale required.

Shortly after the publication of the article, C.S. Loch, the Secretary and guiding spirit of the Society, expressed his disapproval in his diary. Barnett's article was "a stronge medley":

he argues as if he shared the views <u>both</u> of the Poor Law Commissioners & Carlyle. Really he accepts neither. They were not for the extension of State training farms. He was for a strict government of the people & in the last resort the whip for the backs of the lazy or the pistol. 78

The fact that Loch referred to Barnett's proposal again and again in his diary can perhaps be taken as evidence of his dismay at the heresy of a man whom he had formerly considered one of his closest 79 colleagues. Barnett was also aware that his espousal of labour

<sup>78.</sup> C.S. Loch, "Diery", 3 November 1888. Goldsmith's Library, Senate House, University of London.

<sup>79.</sup> op. cit., 1 October 1888, 23 October 1888,

colonies marked a new stage in his relationship with the C.O.S.

His only reference to the Society in a letter to his brother in

1888 was couched in more caustic terms than he had formerly used:

"Wednesday I went to meet a lot of COS folk re training Farm. They

were just impossible - refusing to do anything except to clothe

themselves in the dirty rags of their own rightness. They were

on true principles, the public Ald subscribe or not, they did not

80

care, they wild not hold meetings &c, &c."

The following year the Charity Organisation Keview printed a hostile review of Practicable Socialism, a collection of essays by the Barnetts in which, as in the article by the same title, they 81 advocated state interference in a number of areas. From then on, Barnett's articles and speeches, formerly held up as models of good sense, were consistently criticized in the pages of the Review.

In an article in 1892 Barnett attacked the Society for its lack of vision while reasserting his faith in the value of private 82 philanthropy. An article published in the following year contained stronger criticism. Barnett condenned those reformers who "neglect the fact that wages ... cennot provide sufficient income to make a man independent in sickness and old age, and regardless of this

<sup>80.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. (H.O. Barnett, however, dates the letter 1888 (Barnett, II, 265).)

<sup>81.</sup> Charity Organisation Review (May 1889), 197-220.

<sup>82.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "A Charity Clearing House," Newbury House Magazine (1892), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism, 79-87.

fact ... demand a reform which will make relief impossible for any one desiring to retain his self respect. They ask for prohibition of all out-relief, penal labour for the able-bodied and the callular system for casuals." The Charity Organisation Review issued a vituperative reply.

During the same winter Barnett again found himself in conflict with C.O.S. leaders over the question of farm colonies. A Toynbee Hall committee, comprised of parnett and another Toynbee Hall resident, representatives of both trade unions and the C.O.S., and other prominent men interest; in the question of unemployment, had investigated the condition of the unemployed in London and had concluded that, although there was no general distress throughout the city, an abnormal number of dock labourers found themselves out of work as a result of the decasualization of the docks. The committee's report, written by Barnett and Sidney Webb, recommended that a scheme providing relief work be instituted whereby authorities could test the capabilities of this new group of unemployed 85 and decide which men should receive further, more adequate aid. A Mansion House Conference, including the majority of the members

S.A. Barnett, "Poor Law Reform," Contemporary Review (March 1893), reprinted in Practicable Socialism, 259.

<sup>84.</sup> 

Charity Organisation Review (April 1893), 149-53.
\*\*Draft Report of the Toynbee Hall !Unemployed! Committee, 85. (1892"), 1-4; Record, Vol. V, No. 4 (January 1893), 38-41.

of the Toynbee Hall committee, subsequently obtained 40 acres of weste land near Stratford and offered a fortnight's digging work under strict supervision to unemployed dock laborers of East London. Of a total of 716 applicants, 130 were eventually chosen for the work. Although the Conference followed C.O.S. principles in selecting the men, the Society withdrew from the management committee, on which two of its leaders had served, when it refused to employ the men only on piece-work. As the C.O.S. council wrote in its annual report, "The Society could not ... be a party to any scheme for increasing the habitual dependence of the poor. " Once again a project proposed by Bernett had been condemned by the C.O.S.

In the spring of 1894 Barnett organized a protest among C.O.S. members when the governing council censured the Woolwich district committee for endorsing old age pensions. Led by C.H. Grinling, a former resident of Toynbee Hall and a friend of Barnett, this branch had departed from the practices of the majority of C.O.S. committees by becoming a center for social reform in the community. Barnett urged that men be allowed to work with the Society in organizing charity even if they condemned its individualistic principles.

Board of Trade, Labour Department, Report on Agencies and 86. Methods for Dealing with the Unemployed (1893), 238 - 61.

Charity Organisation Society, <u>Pwenty-fifth Annual Report for 1894</u>, 11. Charity Organisation Review (June 1894), 278 - 86. 87.

C.L. Grinling, Fifty Years of Pioneer Work in Woolwich (London, 1920), 2-3; Harry Snell, Men, Movements and Myself (London, 1936) 68 - 73.

At a meeting of the C.O.S. Council in July, 1895, Barnett reed a paper which he modestly called "A Friendly Criticism of the Charity Organisation Society." In fact, it was a scathing attack. He accused the society of narrowness and inflexibility, of turning its eyes "back to the past," and of being out of sympathy with "the forces that are shaping the time." Although the Society's method of dealing with individual cases of poverty was faultless, its "sort of panic at the suggestion of socialism" had alienated both the poor it attempted to aid and the wealthy it sought to By clinging to the "economic theories or the lady bountiful practices" of twenty-five years earlier, members had allowed the principles of the past to become the dogmas of the present. Moreover, the society judged individual applicants for relief according to the standards of middle-class morality. Although Barnett himself never gained an understanding of the attitudes or ways of thought of the poor like other middle-class men who have lived in a workingclass community he had realized that thrift was not necessarily a virtue. A man earning less than L1 a week could not save if he provided for his family, educated his children and emjoyed the few pleasures he could afford. More seriously, because the bociety

<sup>90.</sup> Reprinted in Charity Organisation Review (August 1895), 338-

<sup>91.</sup> Op. cit., 342.

<sup>92.</sup> Op. cit., 342.

<sup>93.</sup> Op. cit., 339.

exalted the ideal of private charity, it condemned municipally administered farm colonies, state pensions and free medical aid,

Barnett's own timid concessions to socialism. He concluded that the Society, formerly the center of progressive thought and action, was now "stranded in shoals from which the tide has ebbed."

The speech had a strong effect on the Society. Its increasing alienation from the thought of the day could not be ignored when one of its earliest and most influential supporters defected. The discussion following the speech thus became a debate on the ideals 95 and practices of the Society. Barnett was defended staunchly by C.H. Grinling and, more hesitantly, by Cokren, a Unitarian minister 96 who had worked with him in whitechapel. C.E. Maurice, the brother-97 in-law of Octavia Hill, upheld individualism. F.D. Mocatta, the leading Jewish philanthropist and the one lisison between the C.O.S. and the Jewish Board of Guardians took a middle position, opposing all proposals for public pensions and employment by the state but 98 endorsing free medical treatment. Another member expressed surprise that a man he had regarded as "the spostle of individual service" 99

<sup>94.</sup> Op. Cit., 343.

<sup>95.</sup> Cherity Organisation deview (August 1895), 361-72.

<sup>96.</sup> Op. Cit., 362-64.

<sup>97.</sup> Op. Cit., 362-63.

<sup>98.</sup> Op. Cit., 363.

<sup>99.</sup> Op. Cit., 363.

of C.S. Loch who replied in a long, derisive speech. Interpreting Barnett's address as a personal attack on himself, he reasserted the CO.S. verities, denounced every suggestion for state interference, and bitterly accused Barnett of desiring only to "be in harmony with the current philanthropic opinion of the moment or perhaps just 100 a few seconds shead of it."

The impact of Bernett's speech on the broader world of social reform was perhaps even greater. From the early 1880's until the First world war, informed opinion in Pritain was divided between the individualism of the Cherty Organisation Society and the collectivism of the growing number of socialist groups. Bernett's speech was generally interpreted as a condemnation of the conservative forces. Beatrice webb later claimed: "The break-away of Demuel and Henrietta Bernett ... from the narrow and continuously hardening dogma of the Cherity Organisation Dociety sent a thrill through the philanthropic world of London ... They had discovered for themselves that there was a deeper and more continuous evil than unrestricted and unregulated charity, namely unrestricted and unregulated capitalism 101 and lendlordism."

Bernett's changing attitude toward the policies of the Whitechapel Board of Guardians also provides an example of the

<sup>100.</sup> Op. cit., 365.

<sup>101.</sup> B. webb, Apprenticeship, 234-35.

alteration of his social and economic views. He served as a 102 Guardian from 1874 to 1903 and as Chairman of the Board in 1892. At his death the Guardians praised "the earnestness with which he identified himself with the work of the Whitechapel poard, and with 103 the policy of its administration." But in fact Barnett's record of loyalty to the Board was not impeccable.

Whitechapel was one of three East London "model" Poor Law
Unions which rigidly restricted the distribution of out-door relief.
This policy, adopted in 1870, represented the most orthodox adherence
to the guidelines of the Charity Organisation Society. Believing
that pauperism was the most serious social problem and that private
philanthropy should therefore assume the leading role in social
reform, the Guardians attempted to revert to the principles enunciated by the Poor Lew Commissioners in 1834. They agreed that
relief should not be given to able-bodied men outside the workhouse
and that the conditions within the workhouse should be less pleasant
than those of the lowest group of independent laborers. In accordance
with the Goschen Minute of 1869, the Whitechapel Guardians divided

<sup>102.</sup> F.J. Tootell, Clerk to the Guardians and Superintendent Registrar, Whitechapel Union, to H.O.B., 26 January 1915.
Barnett Paper's; S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d., (references in the letter to other positions Barnett held at the same time suggest the letter was written in 1892); Whitechapel Union, List of Guartians, Committees, Officers, etc., 1883, 1899, 1900, 1902, 1904, 1905, 1906. Box 352, Local History Collection, Stepney Library, Pancroft Rd.

<sup>103.</sup> Whitechapel Union, deport for Year Ended Larch 1913, xxi.

all applicants for relief into two categories. The Board itself assumed responsibility only for the "undeserving" poor who were denied monetary relief and sent to a harshly administered workhouse. The local committee of the Charity Organization occiety dealt with those men who were thought to be redeemable. In this way the Board reduced its expenditure on outdoor relief from 16,685 in 1870 to 1729 in 1911. The number of men receiving this relief fell from 104 3,554 to 729.

This extreme policy of "strict administration" was not widely followed throughout the country. Although in accord with current economic thought and with the propaganda of the Charity Organisation Society, it was adopted by no more than fifteen other Poor Law 105 unions. Its most direct challenge, however, came at the turn of the century from the Poplar Board of Guardians, dominated by George Lansbury. The son of a railway engineer, Lansbury spent a large part of his working-class childhood in whitechapel and became a leading politician in the East End. He entered politics in the

<sup>104.</sup> whitechapel Union, meport for Year unded March 1911, xix. It should be noted, however, that factors unique to whitechapel contributed to the success of this policy. The period was marked by a large increase in the proportion of the district's Jewish residents, most of whom applied for relief to the Jewish Board of Guardians, a philanthropic association, rather than to the boor law authority. (Raymond Postgate, The Life of George Lensbury (London, 1951), 46; Mecord, Vol. XV, No. 3 (December, 1902), 3.)

<sup>105.</sup> Charles Loch Mowat, The Charity Organisation ⇒ociety, 1869-1913; Its Ideas and work (London, 1961), 116.

a former Toynbee Hall resident, in the pow and promley Liberal
Association. However, like other working men at the time, he was
increasingly attracted to socialism and in 1892 he joined the Social
Democratic Federation. He is important in this study primarily
because he has one of the few mast Enders who has written an account
of his experiences. In general, the role which settlement workers
assumed in East London insulated them from the reactions of the
people they hoped to serve. Although Lansbury cannot be considered
a typical East Ender, his autobiography helps to reveal the gap between
the intentions of middle-class reformers and the actual impact of
their actions on the working-class community. His description of
his activities as a member of the Poplar Board of Guardians is a
convenient vantage point from which to view Barnett's relationship
to the whitechapel Board.

Lansbury was elected to the Poplar Board in 1892. when the Local Government Act of 1894 removed financial qualifications for election as a Guardian, he was joined by a number of other socialist working men. Although this new group of Guardians did not constitute a majority, they were powerful enough to guide the Board's policy. Lansbury, the leader of the group, later defined his goal:

From the first moment I determined to fight for one policy only, and that was decent treatment for the poor outside the workhouse, and hang the rates! ... I know people drink, gamble, and are often lazy. I also know that taken in the mass the poor are as decent as any other class, and so when I stood as a Guardian I took as my policy that no widow or orphan, no sick, infirm, or aged person should lack proper provision of the needs of life, and the able bodied should get work or maintenance. 106

Official figures show that he was largely successful in fulfilling this aim. Between 1894 and 1904 the number of Poplar residents receiving in-door relief grew from 2,623 to 3,465 while the number awarded out-door relief increased from 2,295 to 3,677. During the same period, the cost of in-door relief rose from \$34,698 to 600,142 and that of out-door relief from £12,395 to £24,399. Moreover, in the year ending March 1895, the expenditure on relief per head of population was 5s 3d in London as a whole and 6s 31d in Poplar; ten years later the per capita expenditure in London had risen less than 3d while that in Poplar had grown to 12s 2d. By 1906, when the Local Government Board conducted an investigation into the ectivities of the Poplar Board, "Poplarism" had become a byword. To many socialists it was the symbol of the most humane and progressive Poor Law policy but to those politiciens and social workers who had been accustomed to look to "hitechapel for a model it stood for a corrupt and dangerous system.

<sup>106.</sup> George Lansbury, My Life (London, 1928), 133.

<sup>107.</sup> Poplar Union, Report to the President of the Local Government Board on the Poplar Union, by J.S. Davy (1906), 3.

<sup>108.</sup> Op. cit., 45.

Lansbury later claimed that the primary motivation for his work as a Guardian was his resentment of parnett and his abhorrance of the philosophy which he believed parnett represented:

When I was at Bow I was asked by my branch of the SDF to stand as a wuardian; my mind instantly went back to my early life in Whitechapel, and I remembered how heartless and how brutal in its effect on the life of the poor was the Charity Organisation policy of men like Canon Barnett and Mr. Vallance, the expert clerk to the "hitechapel Board. I remembered, because my mother was always doing little things for the poor who lived all around us: every bunday a couple of dinners for an old couple living in a slum went from our dinner-table. My wife's mother also visited the sick and needy, and as we did this sort of work we came up against the malignant work of Mr. Vallance and the Board of Guardiens. On at least two occasions these wretched experts in rate saving actually had the impudence to write and request us not to help certain people, as our assistance prevented the Guardians - the Guardians, mind you - from sending them to the workhouse, which in the judgment of these Christians was the best place for them.

I wrote Canon Barnett about these cases, and was told by him that "the workhouse was the best place for such people." This message and my later inquiries made me a most bitter enemy of the COS and its works. Consequently I jumped at the chance offered me to gain a seat on the Poplar Board of Guardians. 109

Although a revealing description of the working-class attitude toward the Charity Organisation society, this quotation cannot be accepted as a definition of Barnett's final attitude toward relief. It is

<sup>109.</sup> Lansbury, My Life, 132.

true that throughout his life he remained horrified by any practice which could be construed as "indiscriminate almsgiving" and that he therefore never endorsed Lansbury's generous policy. His only explicit reference to the Poplar Board occurred in a letter to his brother in December 1905: "Last night the Enquirers club dissected a Socialist Poplar Guardian. The position is tragic - The people are suffering & remedies make the suffering worse - Doubtless the cure is distant & the problem is to find innocuous palliatives." Nevertheless, by the time Lansbury was elected a Guardian Barnett was no longer either an active or influential member of the whitechapel Board. In January 1885, one month after the opening of Toynbee Hall and two years after the publication of his article entitled "Practicable Docialism", he told his brother that the new settlement "is absorbing us both. Old interests have to be cut. I can give neither time for that to relief. The guardians I only visit at intervals." The following year he wrote in the annual report of bt. Jude's: "I have ... continued to act as a guardien though I 112 have not given the same attention to the duties as in former years." It is likely that his imperfect record of attendance reflected not only the new burdens on his time but also his growing disillusionment

<sup>110.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 2 December 1905. (The Enquirers' Club was a group of young Oxford and Cambridge graduates working in London who met at Toynbee Hall twice a month to discuss current social problems.)

<sup>111.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 January 1885.

<sup>112.</sup> St. Jude's Report for Year 1885-86, 22.

with many of the policies of the Whitechapel Board. In fact, by 1900, on matters other than the administration of relief, Barnett held views closer to those of Lansbury than to those of the majority of his fellow Guardians. Lansbury listed three major reforms of the Poplar Board for which he was in large part responsible: transforming the administration of the workhouse, remodelling a school for the children of paupers and founding an agricultural training colony. During the same years Barnett worked toward similar ends.

The substitution of a humanitarian administration for the former penal regime of the Poplar workhouse can be considered Lansbury's most significant achievement. His description of his first visit to the institution has frequently been quoted as an indictment of all late-nineteenth-century workhouses:

Going down the narrow lane, ringing the bell, while an official with a not too pleasant face looked through a grating to see who was there. and hearing his unpleasant voice ... made it easy for me to understand why the poor dreaded and hated these places, and made me in a flash realize how all these prison or bastille sort of surroundings were organized for the purpose of making self-respecting, decent people endure any suffering rather than enter. It was not necessary to write up the words "abandon hope all ye who enter nere." Officials, receiving ward, hard forms, whitewashed walls, keys dangling at the waist of those who spoke to you, huge books for name, history, etc., searching and then being stripped and bathed in a communal tub, and the final crowning indignity of being dressed in clothes which had been worn by lots of other people, hideous to look at, ill-fitting and coarse - everything possible was done to inflict mental and moral degradation.

... Officers, both men end women, looked upon these people as a nuisance and treated them accordingly. Food was mainly skilly, bread, margarine, cheese, and hard, tough meat and vegetables, and occasionally doses of salted, dried fish. Clothing was of the usual workhouse variety, plenty of corduroy and blue cloth. 113

It has been assumed that conditions in the Whitechapel workhouse were equally intolerable. Certainly the statement of accounts of the Workhouse, listing the clothing and food which were provided. 115 suggests that the life of an immate was far from comfortable. Nevertheless, by the time Lansbury became a Guardian, the workhouse in Whitechapel had considerable advantages over the one controlled by the Poplar Board. In 1892 the Poplar institution was a "general mixed workhouse," in which, as Lansbury wrote, "sick and aged, mentally deficient, lunatics, babies and children, able-bodied and tremps /were all herded together in one huge range of buildings." Twenty years earlier the Whitechapel workhouse at Baker's Row, which accommodated both the sick and the well, had been converted into an infirmary and the "able-bodied" poor had been transferred to the new South Grove Workhouse. As a member of the committee responsible

<sup>113.</sup> Lansbury, My Life, 135-36.

<sup>114.</sup> Postgate, Lansbury, 78.

<sup>115.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report for Half-year Ended Lady-Day 1882, 4: Report for Half- ear Ended Lichaelmas 1882, 45.

<sup>116.</sup> My Life, 136.

<sup>117.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report for Half-Year -nded Lady-Day 1893, XIX - XX.

for supervising the workhouse, Barnett was at first proud of what he considered its model administration. In 1893, he wrote: "the workhouse is in fact an industrial school wherein a man or woman may, 118 if they (sic) will, learn what is useful." The Guardians' first reform was to substitute employment adapted to the capabilities of the inmates for such "useless and degrading" work as oakum picking and stone breaking. When Lansbury visited the Poplar workhouse. the men were still employed picking cakum and breaking stones. It is true that the second major reform, the appointment of a "mental instructor" in 1882, for which parnett has been given sole credit, had more dubious results. Mrs. Barnett claimed that the instructor "taught clumsy fingers to write, and clumsier brains to read and cypher. " The Guardians themselves, however, had a different conception of his task. He was expected "to attend at the workhouse each week-day evening from half-past six till eight o'clock; the design being (notwithstanding the name given to the person employed) not so much to impart mental instruction as to exercise a salutary restraint upon conduct and conversation during the evening hours

<sup>118.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "The Poor Law as a Cheritable Agency", <u>Lacmillan's Magazine</u> (1893), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, <u>Practicable Socialism</u>, 283.

<sup>119.</sup> whitechapel Union, Report for Half-Year anded Lady-Day 1884, 5.

<sup>120.</sup> Landbury, My Life, 136; Poplar Union, Report to the President of the Local Government Board on the Poplar Union, 13.

<sup>121.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, II, 276.

and to inspire the paupers to renewed efforts to obtain an honest
122
livelihood. According to the instructor's own report, he read
papers on such subjects as "self-reliance," "decision of character,"
"success and failure," "attention to details," "economy of time,"
123
"emigration," and "work and Wages."

Nevertheless, after the turn of the century, pernett took a position closer to that of Lansbury. Unlike Lansbury, he continued to believe that the conditions of men on relief should be somewhat less favorable than those of laborers who were able to support themselves. But he stressed far more strongly than before that the goal of the Guardians should be education and not deterrence. In 1908 he urged that all workhouses should be abolished and replaced by "Adult Industrial Schools" where the unemployed would receive sufficient training to enable them to obtain permanent positions.

The reformers of 1834 looked out on a society weakaned by idleness, they faced a condition of things in which the chief thing wented was energy and effort, and so they applied a stimulus.

The Reformers of to-day look out on a different society, and they look with other eyes. They see that the weak and the poor are not altogether suffering the penalty of their own faults. It is by others' neglect that uninhabitable houses have robbed them of strength, that wages do not provide means of living, and that education has not fitted them either to earn a livelihood or to enjoy life ...

The 'workhouse' as a place of punishment is thus out of place in modern society. It is not punishment, it is training which the people need. 124

<sup>122.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report from Half-year anded Lady-Day 1888, 15.

<sup>123.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report for Half-year anded Lady-Day 1882, 4-5.

<sup>124.</sup> S.A. Barnett "The Workhouse" (originally published 1908), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett Towards Social Meform, 153-54.

The reforms of the South Grove Workhouse which had appeared progressive to Bernett in the early 1890's seemed by 1908 out of touch with social thought and social needs.

Another accomplishment of Lansbury as Guardian was the establishment of a training colony for the unemployed at Laindon, Essex.

He later wrote:

Canon Barnett, with a deputation of his expert friends, came to see and as usual to criticize ... I was very much amused to find that Canon Barnett, because we were a Pocialist Board, expected we would give visitors a swagger lunch — in those days we gave them bread end cheese and tea and coffee. The worthy Canon could not conceal his surprise or refrain from expressing it, saying how pleased he was to find we did not guzzle at the public expense.

It is likely that Barnett did in fact believe that the conditions

126

at the colony were far too comfortable. Nevertheless, he was more
favorably impressed than Lansbury assumed. After his visit he
reported to his brother: "On Monday some of us from Toynbee

Hall went to inspect the first experiment of Guardians in putting
paupers on the land. The experiment is as yet too young but it is

127

very interesting." Moreover, it has been seen that as early as

<sup>125.</sup> My Life, 148 - 49.

<sup>126.</sup> Barnett frequently criticized the colony at Hollesley Bay, administered by Lansbury under the provisions of the Unemployed Workmen Act, on just this ground. (E.g. S.A. Barnett, "The Unemployed Workmen Act and Its Amendment" (originally published 1907), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Towards Social Reform, 91 - 92).

<sup>127.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 12 November 1904. In October 1903 the Toynbee Record had written: "The Poplar Guardians are moving the Local Government Board to allow them to open a farm workhouse. This proposal in one form or other is always appearing. It seems so obvious while land wants work and town people want both work and air that some plan should be adopted for taking people to the land." (Record, Vol. XVI, No. 1 (October 1903), 5).

1886 Barnett hed recommended that Boards of Guardians establish training farms where some of the unemployed who would ordinarily be sent to the workhouse could learn agricultural skills. Two years later he had submitted the proposal both to a Mansion House Conference on the Condition of the Unemployed and to the Whitechapel Board of Guardians. It is likely that the reaction of the former helped to determine the response of Whitechapel's Guardians. In addition, statements of the Mansion House Conference illustrate, the extent to which parnett's plan departed from current social and economic doctrine. According to a sub-committee which met to consider the question of agricultural colonies. "Mr. Barnett proposes, practically speaking. to make pauperism attractive ... /He/ would permit his employees to combine the liberty and self-respect of the independent labourer with the freedom from care and anxiety of the state pensioner." Were Barnett's scheme enacted. it would "constitute a serious danger to the State and might even lead to the condition of affairs so disastrous to the independence and prosperity of the wage-earning classes from which we were rescued by the drastic legislation of 1834." The sub-committee concluded that any experimental scheme of farm colonies would require conditions too comfortable for paupers and

<sup>128.</sup> First Report of the Mansion House Conference on the Condition of the Unemployed, November 1887 - July 1888, 15.
129. Op. cit., 16.

130

must therefore be undertaken only by private charity. As Barnett wrote to his brother, the Lansion house Conference "knocked on the head my scheme for engaging the Poor Law to offer country work to the able bodied & will I expect suggest a voluntary scheme under 131 a new society."

The Whitechapel coard debated the proposal for five years before taking a stand similar to that of the Lansion house Conference: the Board itself could not assume responsibility for an agricultural training colony. The Board agreed, however, to work in connection with the English Land Colonisation Society and in 1894 it sent six men from the workhouse to a farm administered by Walter Hazell in Langley, Essex. Of these six men, one failed to reach the farm, one left before his term expired and the remaining four received assistance in emigrating to New Zealand; the project was not subsequently repeated. Barnett was largely responsible for organizing the scheme, but in fact the action of the Whitechapel Board of Guardiens was in a direction contrary to that which he had originally

<sup>130.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>131.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 21 January 1888.

<sup>132.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report for Half-Year Anded Lady-Day 1888,
6; eport for Half-Year Ended Michaelmas 1888, 5; Report for
Half-Year Anded Lady-Day 1893, xxxiii - xli; Report for HalfYear Anded Lady-Day 1894, xi - xv; Report for Year Anded, LadyDay, 1895, x - xiii; Report for Year Anded March 1902, xxiv xxvii.

encouraged. While he had hoped that a local governmental body would undertake an extensive program of training the unemployed, the other Guardians, remaining faithful to the principles which had guided them for twenty years, refused to accept such an incursion into the field of private philanthropy.

Lensbury's third major accomplishment, the reform of the local Poor Law school for orphans and the children of paupers, involved a confrontation between the Poplar and whitechapel Boards.

133
Since 1868 they had jointly administered the Forest Gate School.

Henrietta Barnett served as a manager of the school from 1878 until 1897 and samuel Barnett from 1877 to 1878 and between 1890 and 1893.

In the mid-nineteenth century the establishment of large district schools had been viewed as a humane measure, enabling pauper children to be removed from workhouses and placed in healthier and more instructive surroundings. By the 1890's, however, the disadvantages of the institutions had become clear. A large majority had been constructed along the lines of military camps or prisons.

Known popularly as "barrack schools," they housed large numbers of

<sup>133.</sup> P.P. 1896, XeIII Departmental Committee to Inquire into the Condition of Poor Law Schools in 1894, 4. Until 1877 Hackney had also shared responsibility for the management of the school.

<sup>134.</sup> Gilbert McLough, Poplar Board of Guardians, to F.J. Tootell, 28 January 1915, Barnett Papers; Whitechapel Union, Report for Half-Year Ended Lady-Day, 1892, xi.

children in buildings which seldom fulfilled basic senitary requirements. Like the immates of workhouses, the children were sternly disciplined and received little more than the most rudimentary education. The Forest Gate School, which accommodated about 700 135 children in the 1890's, provided one of the most notorious examples of this form of institution. In 1889 an epidemic of ophthelmia 136 spread throughout the school. The following year twenty-six boys 137 were killed when fire demaged the school. Three years later two 138 children died during an outbreak of ptomaine poisoning.

In his autobiography, Lansbury wrote:

Another piece of work for which I was largely responsible was in connection with children ... Soon after my election to the Board I was elected as one of the managers of what was called the Forest Gate District School ... This school, when I first went to it, gave me another example of how good-intentioned people were able to treat themselves quite differently from those they considered pauper children. My first view of the school was a most dis-heartening one. The buildings are ... built on the barrack system - that is, long dormitories for scores of children to sleep in, very little accommodation for recreation, and at the time I first sew it the children were dressed in the old, hideous, Poor Law garb, cordurey and hard blue serge, and the girls with their hair almost shaved off. ... The food was quite coarse and I should think at times insufficient.

<sup>135.</sup> Department al Committee, 4.

<sup>136.</sup> Op. cit., w. 16, 1572, 1587-89, 1590-97, 1923-29, 3696.

<sup>137.</sup> Op. cit., 4. 22, Appendix A, 176.

<sup>138.</sup> Op. cit., v. 22, 1620, 1622, 1728-29, 1809-811, 8891-98, Appendix A, 177.

... Later on, we bought out whitechepel and took over the school. ... It was no use our attempting to work in double harness with Whitechepel. That Board was a board which believed in making the Poor Law penal and of course we at Poplar had persuaded even our opponents that it was our duty as far as we could, and especially in regard to children, to humanize the business.

... No sooner did we get control of the school then we appointed a new superintendent and matron ... The Poplar Training School is known throughout the world ... 139

In certain respects, however, this account is misleading. The impetus for reform came from the managers of the school rather than from the Poplar Board. In 1894 they recommended that the school should be remodelled on the pattern of "cottage homes," thereby dividing the institution into smaller housing units. Because the percentage of children from Poplar attending the school was increasing, the Whitechapel Guardians insisted that the financial responsibilities of the two Boards should be respontioned before any new buildings were erected. When the Local Government Board refused, the Whitechapel Guardians asked that the Forest Gate School District should be dissolved. An order was accordingly 140 issued abolishing the district at the end of December 1897.

<sup>139.</sup> My Life, 149-50.

<sup>140.</sup> Whitechapel Union, Report for Year anded Lady-Day 1894,
xvii - xix; Report for Year anded Lady-Day 1895, xxiii - xxiv;
Report for Year Ended Lady-Day 1896, xxviii - xxix; Report
for Year anded Lady-Day 1897, x - xi.

The whitechapel Guardians then built a group of cottage homes at Grays, Essex, following ideals which were similar to those of Lansbury. The Board strove to "avoid an institutional appearance" in the buildings and to make "the everyday life of the children ... correspond to that of other children of the working class." Most significantly, the Guardians made arrangements for the students to 141 attend the local elementary school.

Earnetts played in the administration of the school. As a manager for almost twenty years, Mrs. Barnett in particular was proud of the ways in which she believed she had helped reform the institu142
tion. It is true that a pamphlet she wrote in 1886 suggests that her privary goal was to prepare the children to be obedient members of the lower classes. She hoped, for example, to teach the children "the lesson of care and thrift, for want of which so many, later
143
on, help to swell the rates." But she also shared Lansbury's determination to humanize the administration of the school and to remove the institutional sura from its students' lives. Like him she urged that prizes be swarded, that opportunities for sports be increased and that the children be allowed more individuality

<sup>141.</sup> whitechapel Union, Report for Year anded Lady-Dey 1899, xvi, xvii. 142. h.O. Bernett, Bernett, II, 288-92.

<sup>143.</sup> H.O. Barnett, "How to Develop Individual Character in the Children Collected in Large Pauper Schools" (originally published 1886), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Bernett, <u>Precticable</u> Socielism, 116.

144

in dress. Moreover, she believed that the Forest cate school was, by its very nature, an unsatisfactory institution. As a member of the Departmental Committee to Inquire into the Condition of Poor Law Schools between 1894 and 1896, she fought with her usual energy and tenacity for the abolition of all barrack schools. When their further development was prohibited in 1896, she helped to found the State Children's Association and, as its honorary secretary for many years, worked to secure better treatment for children who were wards of the state.

It can thus be seen that Barnett shared some of Lansbury's sims. In each instance Barnett or his wife not only worked in conjunction with the whitechapel Board to improve the condition of "in-door paupers" but advocated reforms which went beyond the limit the other Guardians considered proper for governmental action.

Barnett urged the Board to undertake extensive programs to educate the poor within their care, both in workhouses and in labor colonies, and he actively supported his wife's attempts to alter radically the care provided for pauper children. Clearly the gap between Lansbury's conception of the role of a Guardian and that of Barnett was still wide. Barnett's refusal to depart completely from the principle of deterrence showed that he still believed that poverty

<sup>144.</sup> Op. cit., 110-21.

was at least partially the result of individual failing. Moreover, adhering to the principles which had guided his action in the 1870's, Barnett was never able to support a system of generous outdoor relief. But he did realize increasingly that the strict administration of relief was not an end in itself. Like other thoughtful Englishmen during the period he sought more positive solutions involving the active intervention of both the local and national government.

of utterly divergent Minority and Majority Reports provided all social reformers with an opportunity to restate their social and economic views. Barnett's reaction to the Reports, issued four years before his death, thus provides a useful summary of the relationship of his opinions to those current in Britain at the time. Characteristically, he assumed the role of a conciliator, stressing not the differences between the Reports but the strong points of each. The Minority Report, the rabien blueprint for a welfare state, was correct in demanding that the Poor Law should be completely abolished. "There is no class of 'the poor' as there is a class of criminals. Poverty is not a crime ... Poverty is a loose and wide term, involving the greater number of the people." A general relief

<sup>145.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Poor Law Reform," Contemporary Review (November, 1909), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism: New Series, 172.

authority, implying that the poor were morally at fault, placed a stigma on poverty. Therefore, the services formerly administered by Poor Law Guardians should be divided among the existing committees of local County or Borough Councils, giving, for example, public health committees jurisdiction over the sick, education committees control over the children of paupers and pension committees the responsibility for siding the sged poor. "Some for health's sake need one treatment and some another. There is no reason in putting a few of them under a special lew and calling them 'paupers'". Similarly, the poor should no longer be divided into categories of deserving and undeserving, the latter being dealt with by a Poor Law authority, the former aided exclusively by voluntary agencies. But Bernett criticized the Minority Report for underestimating the value of private charity. If philanthropic organizations should no longer reign supreme within cartain spheres, they nevertheless had a significant role to play in supplementing and humanizing the work of statutory egencies. Moreover, Bernett's partial endorsement of the principle of deterrence placed him on the side of the Majority Report, the embodiment of the C.O.S. point of view. The able-bodied unemployed who came under the jurisdiction of the state should not be granted aid which would enable them to reach the level of the lowest stratum of independent laborers.

<sup>146.</sup> Op. cit., 173.

<sup>147. 5.</sup>A. Barnett, "The Poor Lew Report," Contemporary Review (April 1909), reprinted in R.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable pocialism, 194.

By attempting to mediate between these irreconcilable Reports, darnett minimized the primary significance of the Minority Report, memely its unequivocal statement that poverty was caused by social and not moral factors. But this was not his intention, he believed that political controversy prevented men from solving crucial problems. The poor could not wait while social reformers debated their ultimate goals. Because the Reports differed only in their underlying philosophies, the state could immediately enact the wide range of practical reforms they both endorsed. The Reports agreed that labor bureaus and unemployment insurance should be established for able-bodied men and social services broadly extended for the sick, the aged and the young. Barnett rightly feared that the advocates of the different Reports would "fill the air with their cries 148 while nothing is done for the poor."

Barnett's public speeches and articles provide only a partial impression of the change of his cutlook. Perhaps because he generally wrote for an audience more conservative than himself, his vocabulary did not keep pace with his views. He continued to speak about actions which "demoralized" the poor, about the crucial need to "raise character", about "pauperism" as well as about "poverty", long after he had ceased to view personal failure as a significant cause of social distress. But his private correspondence shows that,

<sup>148.</sup> Op. cit., 201.

by the end of his life, Barnett was not only firmly committed to the principle of collectivism but stirred by the social, economic and political transformations he believed were taking place. He looked with enthusiasm on the assertions of the working men for independence and saw in their attempts to gain political power the only hope for the country. By the turn of the century he had begun to regard the Liberal Party as out of touch with the forces that were shaping the future. Writing to his brother in 1902 he remarked that Asouith was standing among "broken idols" and that the conviction of even the most progressive Liberal leader was "just a bit old fashioned". two years later, he castigated the party for its lack of "vision". After a Liberal At Home in May 1905 he wrote: "The Liberal party has got old - han after man whom I had looked on for the future seemed suddenly to have become worn & weary. aryce - Asquith -Where are the young ones?" The first success of the Labour Party at the polls created a fresh, exciting feeling: "What a week! Hes not the rising sun of Labour dispelled all wintry thoughts & made you feel young." The following week he continued to be exultant: "The joy & hope of victory still fill our minds" and he

<sup>149.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 15 March 1902.

<sup>150. 3.</sup>A.B. to F.G.B., 13 herch 1904.

<sup>151.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 27 May 1905.

<sup>152.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 20 January 1906.

153

laughed about the "the state of panic in the dest End mind." Even the increasingly violent agitetions which occurred throughout all levels of society during his last years did not discourage him. his first comments, it is true, reflected great uneasiness: "How anxious is the world - As the preacher said this morning, some who are not young may live to see tyranny - civil war & anarchy." But four months later he wrote: "There is so much moving & so little sign of guidance. But we must be thankful that there is movement. the guidance will come even if it leads thro. suffering." following month he remarked on the pace with which events had moved during the past year, "It is stertling, but as I say any movement is a sign of life, it is stagnation wh. is death." In one of his last letters, he wrote that social growth keeps us alive. It is hard elways to be patient but unrest - strikes &c are a sign of life. The only real denger is epathy & indifference. Labour demands come fr. labours increased capacity to enjoy." But above all Bernett felt that his generation could no longer either participate in or understand social movements. he increasingly believed that

<sup>153.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 27 January 1906.

<sup>154.</sup> S.A.B. to Loulou Barnett (wife of F.G.B.), 14 January 1912.

<sup>155.</sup> S.A.B. to Loulou Barnett, 30 Lay 1912.

<sup>156.</sup> S.A.B. to Loulou parmett, 13 June 1912.

<sup>157.</sup> S.A.B. to Stephen sarnett (son of ..G.B.), 17 June 1912.

age gave him less, not more, of a right to comment. The dominant and encouraging aspect of the period seemed to him to be its growth and ferment, and these only a young man could fully comprehend.

It was perhaps to be expected that by the 1890's Barnett's relationship with Octavia Hill would be more distant than it had been when he first errived in whitechapel. The first hint of their estrangement came in 1884 when she threw her influence behind Oxford House, a settlement inspired by Barnett but connected with the Established Church, rather than behind Toynbes Hall. It is clear from Barnett's letters after this date that he felt little of his former respect for his first tutor in the field of social reform. In July 1886 he wrote to his brother: "On Thursday we went to an At Home at O. Hill's & met good folk who were a bit dull." In December 1897 he again commented on a meeting with Octavia Hill and her coworkers: "Yesterday we called to congratulate 0 Hill on her 60th birthday. We found the old group in the old surroundings aged & not altered. She was very glad to see Jetta Henrietta Barnett7 & we had some talk on the moral backwater. She fought for the goodness of the times but as Jetta shrewdly says her satisfaction is another 159 sign of the present stagnation." Six months later, Barnett wrote:

<sup>158.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 3 July 1886.

<sup>159.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 4 December 1897.

I went to a party at the naurices & met the Octavia Hill gang - good folk - dowdy in appearance but pure in soul - I understood when I was with them how easily they might arouse anger & how their dress had become almost as a monks habit, something separating them fr. the world. It is hard to be in the world - to identify one-self with its spirit - to wash & amoint ones face with new fashions & yet not be of it. If half of us have to gray to be delivered fr. the spirit of evil, the other half have to gray to be delivered fr. the forms of good. 160

Octavia hill's letters to the Barnetts also reflect the growing coldness in their relationship. In place of her early letters, filled with details about her work and with advice about theirs, she now sent only infrequent, formal notes. In December 1889 she wrote to henrietta Barnett: "We do indeed seem to see little of one another now ... I suppose these outward separations, & even loss differences of view if not ideal are appointed in life." In December 1905 she refused to become involved in the plans for the Hampstead loss Carden Suburb, then the center of Henrietta Barnett's concern.

The following year she again alluded to the infrequency of their loss and to the disparity between their ideas.

In one sense, however, Octavia Hill's influence did not decline. It is likely that she first showed Barnett the significence of the thought of F.D. Maurice and John Ruskin, two men who continued

<sup>160.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 28 May 1898.

<sup>161.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 20 December 1889.

<sup>162.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 13 ebruary 1905.

<sup>163.</sup> O.H. to H.O.B., 6 December 1906.

164

to inspire him throughout his subsequent life. Despite his growing determination to help to transfer economic and political power to the poor, he shared their belief that it was far more important first to alter the basic values of society. It is often assumed that the nature of poverty was the main preoccupation of the late-nineteenth and early-twentieth centuries while the quality of leisure and mass culture in an urban and industrial society is a primary concern of the mid-twentieth. In fact, however, Barnett, following other Victorian reformers, considered the two problems to be interrelated. He sought to abolish poverty because the lives of the poor were flat, umproductive, stagnant and spiritually empty. Ignorant of the emotional and social compensations of the poor, he saw only the degradation poverty entailed. "People whose minds have been crushed under the

<sup>164.</sup> From the time she was seventeen Octavia Hill considered herself the disciple of Laurice and Auskin, both of whom furthered her early career. She was secretary to classes which Maurice founded for working women in London and received his support when she undertook her first housing experiments. In 1855 she became one of duskin's art pupils. Ten years later he helped her buy three buildings in warylebone which she then remodel led for working-class tenants. Her friendship with Ruskin ended in 1877 but she frequently acknowledged her debt to him. (E. Moberly Bell, Octavia Hill: A Biography (London, 1943)) Although parmett's writings after he came to Aast London reflect the thought of Meurice and Ruskin, it is clear from the journal of his trip to America, written in 1867, that before he met Octavia Hill neither man had made a sigmificant impact on him.

daily anxiety about the daily bread have little thought for any object but 'how to live,' and thus they are apt to lose the power of vision." At the same time he criticized the wealthy in Britain because their lack of ideals, of creative energy and of human sympathy prevented social and economic reform. Moreover, his only reservation about the economic and political changes of his last years was that they were not accompanied by a corresponding spiritual transformation. Like the rementics he condemned the meterial progress which had destroyed what was basic and eternal in human experience and he feared that members of the working class, by concentrating solely on their own material advancement, might not prove better rulers than their predecessors. Viewing the use of leisure as an index of the condition of a society, he was continually disheartened by the activities chosen by the poor. A walk through Hampstead Heath on Easter weekend showed him "the emptiness of the people -Their unconsciousness of that in wh. they live & move & have their being."

Bernett was convinced that the spread of education could reinvigorate society. Like F.D. Laurice, he insisted that the nation's
primary goal should be to enable the members of all classes to obtain

<sup>165.</sup> S. Barnett, "Our Present Discontents," Nineteenth Century and After (February 1913), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism, 254.

<sup>166.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 1 April 1899.

a liberal education through which they could receive the humanistic ideals of the older universities. Using a phrase of Laurice, he spoke of education providing the "means of life, not of livelihood".

The purpose of education was not to promote social mobility but rather to increase an individual's intellectual curiosity and enrich his personal life. A few months before his death barnett stated his creed in a letter to his nephew: "You hear all our news & know what puzzling ti as we are passing through - I find more & more reason to believe in Liberalism - not a party that is who holds a certain creed but in the principles whose aim is the development of freedom

... The one thing who Liberals can compel is education because till people are educated they cannot be free or use freedom." Although it was important to strive for social justice, the spiritual development which education alone permitted was far more important.

From the early 1870's he was a leader of the University Extension
Movement and in the first years of the twentieth century he actively
supported the founders of the workers' Educational Association.
His interest in adult education, like that of other nineteenth—
century reformers, led to a desire to alter the purpose and organiza—
tion of the older universities. Between 1906 and 1913, he advocated the

<sup>167.</sup> S.A.B. to Stephen Bernett, 15 April 1913.

appointment of a Royal Commission on Oxford and Cambridge and helped such men as d.H. Beveridge, R.H. Tawney, Richard Livingstone and A.E. Zimmern initiate a reform movement at Oxford. when the Labour Party first gained representation in the House of Commons he wrote that its primary goal should be to open the way "for an alliance between knowledge and industry, between the Universities and the Labour Party." After observing a meeting between a group of Oxford graduates and a Labour M.P. during the same year he wrote to his brother: "It was interesting to see the respective strength & weakness of knowledge & labour. Knowledge so clear sighted & so often hard, labour so blundering but always sympathetic." first victory of the Labour Party was welcome "because it brings with it the force gained in the discipline of work and the sympathy learned by contact with sorrow and suffering." But working men lacked the wisdom which came from education. "A Government directed by the Labour Party would probably illustrate Ruskin's warning. It would be in earnest; it would have faith in its purpose; but it

<sup>168.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette everidge (mother), 31 January 1906, 18 March 1906, 26 March 1906, 23 January 1906, 19 March 1908. S.A.B. to W.H.B., 14 November 1904; A.E. 4immern to W.H.B., 4 July 1906, 21 October 1906, 12 November 1906, 3 December 1906, 30 January 1907, 18 June 1907; J.A. Spender to W.H.B., 13 November 1906.

<sup>169.</sup> S.A. Barnett "Labour and Culture," (originally published 1906), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Towards Social Reform, 215.

<sup>170.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 10 rebruary 1906.

<sup>171.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Labour and Culture," in h.O. and S.A. Barnett,
Towards Social Reform, 215.

would be "brutal" in its disregard for all the issues it did not
172
understand." Oxford and Cambridge, the "national depositories of
knowledge", must therefore be required to place a larger share of
their resources at the service of working men.

173

This demand created a storm of protest at Oxford. But it also showed the limits of parmett's desire for radical social change. The motivation for his actions as a social reformer was not an affection for the working classes but rather a contempt for their way of life. After thirty years' residence in test tondon he had begun to realize that the middle-class values he had formerly extolled were not absolutes; in a working-class community thrift and self reliance were not necessarily commendable qualities. But he remained convinced of the superiority of the traditions and ideals which he believed were transmitted by the older universities. Although the new elite should remain faithful to working-class goals, it should be trained by the universities which hed traditionally been responsible for the education of pritein's leaders. Interaction between the different social classes was still the solution to the nation's problems.

Barnett also advocated that the national system of elementary and secondary education be reformed and expended. He criticized the

<sup>172.</sup> Op. cit., 217.

<sup>173.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 31 January 1906; Oxford Lagazine (24 January 1906), 147; (7 1 ebruary 1906), 184; (23 key 1907), 347; (14 March 1914), 268-69.

tendency of educational reformers to concentrate on perfecting the scholarship ladder while remaining indifferent to the needs of the average student. It was far more important to raise the level of society than to enable a few exceptional working-class boys to gain entry into the professional class. Because reformers overlooked the demands of the ordinary working-class student, a chasm remained between paper legislation and social reality. Preparing notes for a lecture delivered in 1894, Barrett wrote:

Imagine a boy (in East London) - the son of working parents - & follow his career - He enters the nearest elementary school. As one of a class of 60 he can have no individual care & his teacher has long ago given up trying to discover hidden powers of his pupils - The boy feels self forced into groove ... At age of 10 ... he is tempted to go to work. If natural he yields - he goes as errand boy - forgets what learnt ... or suppose hating school he plays truant - then he is taken before a magistrate - taught to feel self a sort of criminal & goes off to an Industrial school ... - or suppose his parents die then he goes off to a pauper school or orphanage to become part of great machine & to be educated up to a stendard good enough for paupers ...

But suppose that he neither plays truent - goes to pauper school or leaves for work ... If he is very fortunate ... he may get a scholarship for a high school, or a technical school at distance fr home but the scholarships are very small - If he fails than he goes to work ...

The educational ladder is thus very narrow & some of the rungs incomplete - Only a few boys & hardly any girls get up ... Large sums of money spent but result is obvious. The veople not educated - the majority cannot read a book ... - many read trash, they cannot enjoy leisure - think or imagine - few know selves. 174

<sup>174.</sup> Notes for a lecture in a series entitled "Christ and Workmen's Problems" delivered 23 May 1894. Barnett Papers.

Barnett emphasized the same point in a letter to his brother in 1900: "Obviously it is an advantage if thoro education is encouraged rather than the excellence of a few prize pupils. The Boards have pushed on the system of earning special grants & have not done enough for education, they have for example started classes in French etc. & not made the general classes smaller." Almost every article Barnett wrote during this period concluded with the recommendation that the school leaving age should be raised to fourteen and attendance at continuation schools made compulsory for children up to the age of sixteen. At the same time, the education provided by the School Board must be improved. The teachers themselves reflected the worst aspects of modern society; they were too determined to secure material advancement, too uninterested in more enduring human values. too indifferent to the individual characters and needs of their students. In 1887 Barnett wrote to his brother: "Thursday we had a party of elementary teachers, they are a set wh need culture. We had 30 conceitedly ignorant, comfortably ugly men & women to whom is entrusted the power once held by students & priests. We brot them face to face with Mr. Holman Hunt & other real creatures." no more impressed with a group he entertained three years later: "On Tuesday we were overwhelmed by 300 teachers who came in greater

<sup>175.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 March 1900.

<sup>176.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 29 October 1887.

numbers than they promised - who came too early & who were aggressive in their gratitude.... Dear me, the teachers do want to be sent

177
on the quest of the Holy Grail. They are so cocky & so ignorant."

Believing that the training which the teachers received was largely at fault, Bernett founded the Pupil Teachers Scholarship Fund in

1892. By 1903 it had provided funds for eighty pupil teachers to

178
attend either Oxford or Cambridge. In 1911 he recommended that the teachers' training colleges should be abolished and their students

179
sent instead to the universities. Because he thought that the current School Boards prevented educational reform, Barnett supported the Webb's demand, in the early years of the century, for the abolition of the School Boards and the reorganization of all existing educational authorities under the Sounty Souncils.

It was equally important to provide the means by which the quality of the nation's leisure could be improved. Contemptuous of working class culture, Barnett never doubted that one of his primary tasks in East London should be to introduce members of the

<sup>177.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 12 April 1890.

<sup>178.</sup> Record, Vol. XV No. 4 (January 1903) 68.

<sup>179.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "The Teachers' Equipment," The westminster Gazette (March 1911), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism: New Series, 307-12.

<sup>180.</sup> S.A. Bernett, "An Ad moc or a General Aducation Authority"
(originally published 1903), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Bernett
Towards Social Reform, 206-14; S.A.B. to F.G.B., 8 February 1896.

Arnold he frequently urged that the "best be made current," an expression which reflected a belief, still not widely accepted in Britain today, that a system of mass education could be established without a lowering of standards. During the 1870's and 1880's he joined the campaign to permit the opening of museums, art galleries and libraries on Sundays. When a new Public Libraries Act was passed in 1892, he urged local authorities to build free libraries throughout East London. A few years later, he founded the whitechapel Art Gallery, which was opened in 1901. His personal letters between 1897 and 1901, filled with details of the business arrangements, attest to his concern with every step in the undertaking and to his 181 faith in its potentialities. "A visit to the Gallery," he told his brother a few months after its opening, "is fresh air - philosophy - 182 drame - all in one."

Cheap culture for the masses as a panaces of social ills was a frequent object of ridicule, in Barnett's day as in ours. Using over-simplified and often trite language, he provided a ready target for the jibes of those who wanted to know how East London's problems could be solved by "pictures, parties and pianos." But if his

<sup>181. 5.</sup>A.B. to r.G.B., 17 April 1897, 5 February 1898, 27 May 1899, 12 May 1900, 19 May 1900, 6 June 1900, 14 October 1900, 10 February 1901, 16 March 1901, 14 December 1901.

182. S.A.B. to r.G.B., 14 December 1901.

analysis of social problems was occasionally superficial, his aim
was not. It was to salvage what he found most worthwhile in Britain's
traditions and ideals, to humanize the life of industrial society,
and to increase human dignity.

The journals and letters samett wrote while on trips are frequently the most accurate guides to his character and ideas. The Barnetts firmly believed in the value of travelling as a means of self enrichment and spent every available holiday sight-seeing.

A conscientious sight-seer, Barnett made a practice of recording his impressions almost every night.

Their first major trip was an expedition through Egypt between November 1879 and April 1880. Barnett's letters to his family reveal a sympathy for foreign cultures and ways of life which he did not frequently display. As a "settler" in East London, he intended to impose Oxbridge culture and middle-class standards on his working-class neighbours. But he hoped that the way of life of the Egyptian peasant would be preserved during the process of modernization. Although he himself made no attempt to understand the peasants, he had nothing but contempt for the British rulers' indifference to indigenous cultures:

when will governors see that all progress must be on the lines of the old, that there must be development & not sudden adoption of results achieved by others. To make European streets, to establish European civilization, millions have been spent & the country impoverished. ... A better future is in store if only Hypt can be left to herself. Men are growing up who will govern her, not perhaps after our pattern but after a pattern better fitted to Eastern conditions. 183

In fact, the Eyptian peasants served as a useful model to hold up to the English working man. Although impoverished, they had not lost their enjoyment of life or sense of dignity.

People talk of the oppression of these fellahs
... Still somehow their condition does not stir me
as does the condition of the English labourer These people ... enjoy life as children & seem to
have resources both in prayer & play wh. take them
out of themselves - Then too I suspect methods of
reform wh. come fr the west & fear lest in trying
to make these people more earnest our reformers may
divest them fr. the real line of their progress With our English laborers it is different - His
image, worn & wearied with work in cold & rain,
his face on wh. no memory or hope of joy leaves a
mark, his life unhallowed by learning art or religion
often comes as a shadow before the sun. 184

He left Eypt convinced of the value of the goal he pursued in East

London: "After 3 months I say as at first, it is the English pauper
185
& not the Egyptian fellah who most needs help."

Kate and Margaret Potter, two of Beatrice Webb's sisters, and Herbert Spencer, a family friend of the Potters, accompanied the Barnetts. The trip solidified their friendship with Kate Potter, which had begun when she came to mast London to work as a rent

<sup>183.</sup> S.A.B. to Mary Barnett (mother), 28 November 1879.

<sup>184.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 21 December 1879.

<sup>185.</sup> S.A.B. to Mary Barnett, 25 February 1880.

But Barnett had no admiration for herbert Spencer. His theories

186

were "alien & dull" and Spencer himself was "small in character

wh. he shows by his suspicion of his fellows, his incapacity to trust

any one & his constant consideration of himself & of all small things.

He is small too in the t, his vision is limited to see only what his

187

theories allow him to see."

In October 1890 the Barnetts embarked on a nine-month trip around the world. Sarnett explained the purpose of the journey in a characteristic letter to his brother: "It does seem to us that if we are to go on we must stop for a bit to breathe. It is not that I feel tired - it is more as if I were dry - empty of force & in danger of becoming a mere actor - acting only a part written by 188 ourselves long ego." His journal, when compared with the one he wrote in America as a young man, reveals his altered preoccupations. Whereas before he had described scenery and the conditions of hotels, he now concentrated almost exclusively on the religious, social, economic and political aspects of the countries he visited. He was preoccupied with appraising different educational systems and he measured each society by the standard of educa ion he discovered. Aquipped with

<sup>186.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 21 December 1879.

<sup>187.</sup> S.A.B. to Mary Barnett, 24 November 1879.

<sup>188.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 19 April 1890.

counteless introductions, he appears to have used almost all of them to gain admission to schools and universities. Henrietta Barnett filled the margins of this journal with her own more dogmatic and self-righteous observations. Where he expressed his appreciation for foreign cultures and his sympathy with the determination of the underprivileged to obtain social and economic advancement, she pronounced only her belief in the superiority of everything British.

They stopped first in India where Barnett was even more critical them in Egypt about the goals and actions of British imperialism. Soon after boarding the ship, he complained; "We get used to thinking of the ignorance of the poor & assume that any of the other classes old be teachers - Here we have seen that women well dressed & fond of talking are ignorant of common facts, that colonels & majors use commanding voices to say nothing, that the stupidest books are the most popular, that pleasures sink into gossip. ...

189

The are the people who guide India. Upon landing, his criticisms intensified. The English governors were narrow-minded, tyrannical and intolerant. Dernett was "disgusted" by a rule excluding Indians 190

from one of the finest libraries and disturbed by "luxurious institutions wh the English have made for their exclusive use & 191

discredit their talk sbt equality." While recognizing the vast

<sup>189.</sup> S.A.B., Journal of trip around the world (October 1890 - July 1891), 10 October 1890. Subsequent references to this journal will be simply by entry date.

<sup>190. 6</sup> November 1890.

<sup>191. 10</sup> November 1890.

differences between British and Indian manners and traditions, he could not "understand a division as between a higher & lower order 192 wh. English minds shrink even fr. the thot of bridging." A visit to Lucknow and Cawnpore "made us feel the native side of things & more than ever realise that there is hidden in their hearts feelings 193 they never express." Moreover, educated Indians accepted the British belief in their own superiority and indiscriminately emulated British values. A meeting of the Indian National Congress was "so English ... that it failed to be national ... When there is a national movement it will be Indian a not English, it will move along 194 Indian lines & demand Indian reforms." The universities were "the most mischievous of Western institutions in India, they force the Indian mind into western grooves - they develop powers of imitation & not of thot. What is most wanted in India is indigenous aducation 195 & Western sympathy."

Barnett was more favorably impressed by Japan. Expecting to find another Eastern country "with its mystery, its stationariness & its subjection of women", he discovered instead a western country "with people eager for progress, practical common sensible & with

<sup>192. 3</sup> February 1891.

<sup>193. 19</sup> December 1890.

<sup>194. 29</sup> December 1890.

<sup>195. 13 \*</sup>ebruary 1891.

196

women taking an equal place in the work of life". The educational system was equally commendable: "the marvel of it is that it is so new & yet so perfect." But Barnett believed that the Japanese had sacrificed basic human values and ideals to material progress. Soon after arriving, he wrote: "Japan seems to be an America with a nursery story for its past & a revolution for its future. I feel the absence of old buildings ... the worship of Herbert Spencer the regard for material progress." Four days later he continued: "Japan ... has adopted fr. other nations what in theory is best the newest philosophy & the newest instruments ... There is a something - an association with the past - a moral atmosphere wh. camnot be exported or imported - The people all work as few work at home. ... They have caught sight of western progress & thinking it to be the holy Grail they pursue it with enthusiasm." One month later he was still blind to the spiritual values apparent to most western observers: "The Japs have few, hardly any, of the elements of religion, now ewe, no reverence, no sense of humanity."

From Japan they sailed to America and slowly made the journey from San Francisco to New York. In this country Barnett found the same disturbing social distinctions he continually condemned in

<sup>196. 22</sup> April 1891.

<sup>197. 14</sup> April 1891.

<sup>198. 22</sup> March 1891.

<sup>199. 26</sup> March 1891.

<sup>200. 22</sup> April 1891.

England. This was particularly true in Chicago where Jane Addams supervised his sight-seeing. An admirer of the permetts, she had founded Hull House, the first /merican settlement, on "the Commercial St. of Chicago", after visiting Toynbee Hall in 1887 and 1888. Barnett was pleased with the way in which his ideals flourished in this foreign soil: "Hull house ... is modelled on Toynbee - it has residents - tlasses &c - It is without tests & occupies no platform. The spirit in wh all is done is most beautiful - Miss Addams represents the simple - puritan & lowing character of the old Americans ... Hull House represented by her is becoming a strong influence -It attracts & raises American sentiment - it wins both rich & poor. " A settlement could play the same role in Chicago as it did in London hecause the physical segregation of the classes had produced two unequal societies. Bernett was "shocked" by the authorities' refusal to clean the streets and alleys of the district inhabited by Italian The sight of the armory built by the federal governimmigrants. ment after the enerchist riots "stirred me & must stir up bad feeling in people who see how wealth takes for itself the best things ... while it leaves the poor to live in wretched hovels - amid the smoke where grass will not grow." Travelling to New York, he now noticed

<sup>201. 15</sup> June 1891.

<sup>202. 22</sup> June 1891.

<sup>203. 17</sup> June 1891.

<sup>204. 16</sup> June 1891.

that Central Park, an object of admiration in 1867, was "mils from 205 the poor quarter of the city."

Barnett had other bases for his aversion to America. Although he was more sympathetic to American egalitarianism than he had been in 1867, reservations remained. In Chicago he wrote: "The men to whom I talked were as a rule somewhat ponderous & their thots were not fresh - The head of the city Board of Charities - a congressman & a professor all said abt the same sort of thing - They suffer I shid say fr the "equality" of the society in wh. they move." By exalting the concept of equality, Americans remained blind to the beneficent potentialities of "service", a recurrent word in the writings of Barnett as of other late Victorian reformers. The service which each class performed for the other was the means by which a nation could cement its inhabitants into an organic whole and thereby could resist the disintegrating pressures of industrialization and urbanization. Like numerous suropean observers, sernett criticized the way in which Americans treated their servents. Because women felt that "a girl owht to resent service as beneath the dignity of a free woman," they did not "give the friendship wh. transfigures service. This was the source of henrietta pernett's most caustic

<sup>205. 7</sup> July 1891.

<sup>206. 17</sup> June 1891.

<sup>207. 18</sup> June 1891.

comments. After speaking to a group of women about her work to train domestic servents in London she wrote: "All their talk abt 'equality' is mere self puff -- I thought of Kate Courtney - the beautiful natural west-end relation to her maid & what on - tho' I never spoke to an audience who while so clever yet were unanimous in class antagonism to their servents." In New York she complained: "The rudeness of such people as train conductors & tram men is quite amnoying: They either dont answer a question at all, or else in so rude a manner as to make one quite uncomfortable. Equality: for sooth - No I say to them 'You men speak in that way to ladies who are strangers, are not equal to gentle men - who are always courteous.'"

Barnett had an even more serious objection to America and one which was central to his thought. A man strongly influenced by a sense of the past and valuing highly the historical associations of places and monuments, he found America too new a country. "Somehow people in an old country pick up knowledge, the Americans have to seek it thro books & I expect that Americans are often more ignorant 210 than Italian emigrants." As a result, Americans placed human values beneath material advancement. The head master of a large public school in California was a "hard uncultured man - but a good man of business 211 - cool - certain & undazzled by an ideal." A school providing manual

<sup>208. 18</sup> June 1891.

<sup>209. 6</sup> July 1891.

<sup>210. 7</sup> July 1891.

<sup>211. 13</sup> May 1891.

instruction in Chicago tended "to develop practical power at the expense of thought & feeling. Perh. there ought to be a poet at the head of the perfect manual school." The University of Lichigan was "rather a technical school in wh people are fitted for work then a reservoir of accumulated knowledge." Although pleased that Americans had adopted the University Extension movement, he feared that its aim would be perverted among these uncultured and materialistic people. In Chicago he wrote: "Many of the people here are keen abt University Extens. I doubt tho if that tender plantendures their rough treatment. they hardly understand teaching wh. has no direct use - wh. is offered & not chosen & they will make popularity the test of goodness." American philanthropic associations, oblivious to the spiritual needs of their clients, sought only "to increase bread earning capacities." American businessmen were "often narrow minded & ignorant with limited imagination & with a tendency to seek distinction thro. wealth - In their direct course they destroy beauty their children can never restore & to build a town as big as London make rivers foul & the The only hope for America was that it should regain a sense of tradition and high ideals by strengthening its ties with Britain. The journal ended: "Wld that England wid recognise that her future lies thro. the states as the eldest & greatest of her

<sup>212. 15</sup> June 1891.

<sup>213. 24</sup> May 1891.

<sup>214. 18</sup> June 1891.

<sup>215. 21</sup> May 1891.

<sup>216. 7</sup> July 1891.

colonies & that out of her wealth she shid bestow on her tressures of art & thot - The greatest loss America can receive is a break with the traditions thro. wh. her pritish characteristics can be streng-217 thened."

This was a typical conclusion. No matter where Barnett travelled, his frame of reference was always Angland; her problems, her traditions, her position in the world remained his major concerns. Meeting the Bernetts in Athens in 1880, Octavia Hill wrote: "Mr. B's whole heart is at home, and in talking of it." Through such friends as John Gorst, C.F.G. Masterman, W.J. Nevinson, the Courtneys and the Webbs, and through former Toynbee Hall residents as they reached positions of eminence in journalism and the government, he was always in close contact with events. He watched with special concern the fate of every bill for educational reform. he was intensely troubled by the Boer war, by the militarism and excessive patriotism he believed it produced end by the extent to which it distrected men from urgent demands for social reform. Particularly during his last years. Barnett filled his weekly letters to his brother with his views about current problems and governmental policies.

Cenon parnett was not an original thinker. his belief that
the art of a nation and its social and economic structure were closely

<sup>217. 7</sup> July 1891.

<sup>218.</sup> O.H. to Caroline Hill (mother), 8 April 1880, Life of Octevia Fill, ed. Laurice, 417.

related derived from Ruskin. Le followed matthew arnold in his criticism of the way of life of the upper classes, in his disdain for practical politics and above all in his determination to spread the best culture throughout all levels of society. F.D. Maurice had shown him the need for an alliance between labour and knowledge. He became an influential social reformer primarily because he gave expression to the political dissatisfactions of men who refrained from endorsing radical solutions for the condition of England. He provided a rallying ground for the significant number of men who were uneasy about the inequalities of their society but remained convinced of the worth of its traditions and ideals. Uninvolved in party politics and disapproving of all sensational and popular remedies to problems, he was praised as a detached yet thoughtful observer. Like 1.D. maurice, the man he most revered and resembled, he was known to his followers as "the seer" and "the prophet."

Not everyone, however, agreed with these eulogies. while some praised his tolerance and respect for varied opinions, others criticized that they saw as a cized this example atting inability to commit himself to any political party or movement. In 1928 George Lansbury wrote: "I am more convinced than ever that their the sametts! whole philosophy of life was wrong. They never took sides about anything, not even about religion. Nebody except themselves could possibly understand what they believed about wod and the Christian religion, and as to politics,

Socialism. ioryism and Liberalism all were a sort of jumble - nobody was right, everybody was a little wrong." Looking back after two years at Toynbee hall, C.R. Ashbee, another socialist, noted in his journal that parnett "is primarily a eunuch in spirit and heart ... He plays fest and loose with the moral enthusiasms of young men. and has not the strength either to lead or to be led by them. 229 without moral courage he hides himself behind other peoples ideas." In The Building of Thelema, a novel written in 1910, Ashbee characterized Barnett as "the Rev. Simeon Flux" who "could not take the cold plunge of twentieth-century socialism, the ripple of which he touched with a shivering toe. Perhaps it was more honest of him even not to do this, not to be definite, dogmetic; but it lost him the love of the younger generation, who looked to him for leadership,"

Nevertheless, warnett did leave a strong impact on many younger social reformers. In August 1887 pestrice Potter (webb) noted in her diary: "The sarnetts' visit braced me up to further effort and stronger resignation." Many years later, she described their influence in more glowing terms:

> How can I make my readers see, as they are engraved in memory, the figures of Damuel and Henrietta -armett, and the impression they made on the philanthropic workers and social investigators of the London of the 'eighties? ...

Lansbury, My Life, 129-130. C.R. Ashbee, "Journal," 30 December 1888. 220.

Ashbee, The uilding of Thelema (London, 1910), 175-76. 221.

<sup>222.</sup> B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 242.

What charmed his comrades at work in the Last End, and I speak from personal experience, was Barnett's fathomless sympathy; his 'quickness at the uptake' of your moral and intellectual perplexities; his inspiring encouragement for your strivings after the nobler self. 223

Adward harold Spender, a resident at Loynbee Hall in the 1880's, wrote: "How to explain to a new generation the extraordinary personal magnetism of that strange leader of men, half saint and helf statesman, so simple and yet so profound ... As darden of Toynbee Hall — let it be said — Barnett exercised for a whole generation a deep and abiding influence over the picked men of England and at the present moment our Civil Service and public life are penetrated by men who 224 fell under his sway." His brother, J.A. Spender, was equally laudatory:

What Barnett was to young men setting out in life cen never be told ... Again and again when I have been at a loss for ideas or subjects to write about, I have been to parnett and come away refreshed and encouraged and ready to set to work again. All through the subsequent years till his death, when in any serious perplexity, I went to parnett or wrote to parnett. 225

A.P. Lauries, a third Toynbee Hall resident, recalled parnett as one of the "five personalities to whom I own much, and who have pro226
foundly influenced me." Henry W. Nevinson, a volunteer worker at

<sup>223.</sup> Op. Cit., 236-37.

<sup>224.</sup> Ldward Harold Spender, The Fire of Life: A Book of Memories (London, 1926), 69.

<sup>225.</sup> J.A. Spender, Life, Journalism and Politics (London, 1927), 46.

<sup>226.</sup> Arthur Pillans Laurie, <u>Pictures and Politics: A Book of Reminiscences</u> (London, 1934), 6.

Toynbee Hall during its early years, claimed: "We made him our pettern 227 to live end to die, though we did so without the smallest success."

Canon Barnett showed a generation of young men interested in social reform how they might act in accordance with the precepts of the great Victorian prophets. In part, he did this through the example of his own personality and life. Even more important was the founding of Toynbee Hall.

<sup>227.</sup> Henry . Nevinson, Changes and Chances (London, 1923), 87.

Chapter II: The Founding and Organization of Toynbee Hall

on 17 November 1883 the Reverend S.A. Barnett delivered a speech at St. John's College, Oxford in which he outlined a scheme for a university settlement. A few young Oxhridge graduates, employed in London in the civil service, the church, law, medicine or business, would live together in a working-class area. During their leisure they would serve as volunteers for charitable agencies, as assistants in co-operative associations, friendly societies and working men's clubs and as members of the local Vestry or Board of Guardians. In this way the settlement residents would fulfill three separate aims: they would obtain information about the working classes, teach them to obtain richer and more productive lives and provide the friendship which alone could promote social harmony.

Barnett presented this scheme a few weeks after the publication of The Bitter Cry of Outcast London, a penny pamphlet attributed to Andrew Mearns, a Congregational Minister, describing the plight of East Londoners in colorful terms. Meny members of the middle class, formerly complacent about the condition of England, were shocked by the revelations of London's poverty and filled with resolves to act to improve the welfare of the people. One Oxford student, subsequently a Toynbee Hall resident and a prominent civil servent, wrote to his mother:

<sup>1.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements of University Men in Great Towns (Oxford, 1884).

Have you seen that pemphlet that everyone is talking of - The Bitter Cry of Outcast London? Kerry says that there are just as bed things in his parish at Bristol - father, and mother and three children, the first ill with consumption and the three children with scarlatine, all in one room with four pigs, and much worse than that.<sup>2</sup>

Another Toynbee Hell resident, who remained a lifelong friend of Barnett, later recalled that the pamphlet, published during his last year at Oxford, "drew me for a year or more to Whitechapel." the beginning of a career devoted to social service. But, though the pamphlet may have made his audience at Oxford more receptive to his proposal. Barnett looked upon it with distrust and disdain. He believed that all sensational reports, written after cursory observation rather than careful, judicious investigation, were dangerous because they obscured the problems of the working classes. Not all East Enders were as destitute as the pamphlet suggested. Moreover, workmen needed a continuing personal commitment from the wealthy far more than the alms which popular accounts tempted them to distribute. Despite the widespread impact of Mearns' pamphlet. Barnett remarked at St. John's College: "The needs of East London are often urged, but they are little understood ... It is impossible but that misunderstanding should follow ignorance, and at the

<sup>2.</sup> Quoted in Bernard M. Allen, Sir Robert Morant: A Great Public Servent (London, 1934), 25.

<sup>3.</sup> C.H. Grinling, Fifty Years of Pioneer Work in Woolwich (London, 1920), 2.

present moment the West End is ignorant of the East End. A settlement would furnish the knowledge "which comes only from the sight of others' daily life, and from sympathy with 'the joys and sorrows in widest commonalty spread." Living as neighbours of the poor, the residents would receive accurate information about the extent of East London's poverty and an intimate understanding of the motivations, manners and ways of thought of the working classes.

The need for such information was clear. G.K. Chesterton subsequently recalled that the middle class in which he had grown up "really was a class and it really was in the middle ... It knew far too little even of its own servents ... There was neither the coarse familiarity in work, which belongs to democracies ... nor the remains of a feudal friendliness such as lingers in the real eristocracy. There was a sort of silence and embarrassment."

Bestrice Webb admitted that until she began to investigate the lives of working men, "labour was an abstraction, which seemed to denote an arithmetically calculable mass of human beings, each individual a repetition of the other." Few socialists in the 1880's

<sup>4.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 9.

<sup>5.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>6.</sup> G.K. Chesterton, Autobiography (London, 1959), 13.

<sup>7.</sup> B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 60-61.

could boast of more intimate knowledge. William Morris, for example. did not enter the home of a workman in the East End until the end of 1884, the date of the opening of Toynbee Hall. His early attempts to communicate with East Enders were as awkward as those of the first settlement residents. After having done "a-presching Stepney way," in May 1885, he wrote, "it is a great drawback that I can't talk to them roughly and unaffectedly. ... I don't seem to have got at them yet - you see this great class gulf lies between us."

Some men believed that their isolation from the working classes made their own lives artificial. They thus went to the people in order to come in contact with reality. C.R. Ashbee. for example, was clearly motivated by this desire. Visiting Toynbee Hall for the first time in June 1886, a few months before becoming a resident, he noted his impressions of East London in his journal: "One gets I think more in contact with human nature in its essence in these parts, for one dispenses with the clothes & shems & gets to the man himself." Another resident, who continued to live in East London for a number of years after leaving Toynbee Hall, later recalled: "I passed the happiest years of my life in Stepney. East London is real. It is in touch with the facts of life." E.H. Spender, a third early Toynbee Hell resident, described

Quoted in E.P. Thompson, William Morris: Romantic to Revolutionary (London, 1955), 390.

<sup>9.</sup> Ashbee, "Journal," 28 June 1886.
10. Laurie, Pictures and Politics, 74.

11

East Enders as "the real people of England." After living in East
London for twenty years, Barnett complained to his brother about the
"unreality" of a "big "est London at home" and claimed that such
12
events seemed "nothing but false." In similar terms he later expressed his approval of the Labour Party: "it brings an element
of reality into a political situation which now partakes too much
of the nature of a game." Workmen had a "simplicity of aim and a
sincerity" and were not "so ready to pose" as people "familiar with
13
the artificialities of luxury."

sidered the exotic nature of working-class areas. C.R. Ashbee recalled that East London contained "the element of poetry and 14 adventure into the unknown." Twenty years after Ashbee's term as resident an accountant in a west End firm took rooms at Toynbee 15 Hell because "the East End of London had a thrill for me."

In one of his first speeches at Cambridge, Barnett also emphasized the romance of Whitechapel. According to The Cambridge Review, he remarked: "Individuals travel and read to gain wider interest and enlarge sympathy; but the knowledge of the poor would give as much

<sup>11.</sup> Spender, Fire of Life, 88.

<sup>12.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. /1892/.

<sup>13.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Labour and Culture," Towards Social Reform, 215-16.

<sup>14.</sup> Ashbee, "Memoirs," Vol. I: "The Guild Idea, 1884-1902," 7-10.

<sup>15.</sup> Interview with Sir Harold Howitt, 5 May 1967.

than reading about heroes." Like all foreign regions, however, the East End was also an area one entered with trepidation. Although shortly before the opening of Toynbee Hall The Oxford Magezine expressed the hope that the settlement would prove that the East End of London was "quite as healthy" as the West End, inot everyone was easily convinced. As late as 1900 an Oxford student, whose mother had attempted to disuade him from visiting Toynbee Hall, dutifully sent a post card within a day of his arrival: "I write to say that I am getting on here most excellently and have not developed any large number of infectious diseases though I have been about 18 & seen many things. I shall be back by dimmer time tomorrow."

The second aim of the settlement revealed the limits of the founders' desire to understand the attitudes and ways of thought of the working classes. Although the early settlement leaders acknowledged their initial ignorance about East Enders, they never questioned the need of the poor for the culture and ideals university men could impart. Barnett stressed the differences between a settlement and a mission in his speech at St. John's College, Oxford.

Because a settlement would not be connected with a parish church.

<sup>16.</sup> S.A. Barnett "Settlements of University Len in Greet Towns,"
Summery of Speech Relivered at St. John's College, Cambridge,
Cambridge Review (7 May 1884), 307.

<sup>17.</sup> Oxford Magazine (15 October 1884), 315.

<sup>18.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 16 January 1900.

its activities could have a broader scope than those typical of a college mission, and its residents would be men of veried religious and political beliefs. But in the most important respect, settlement residents were to be missionaries. Believing that working men were amenable to drastic change, Barnett hoped to elter the behavior and values of his neighbors. The settlement would "stir up ... feelings of self respect" in such men as casual laborers who lacked "the necessaries of livelihood." Working men who "are in no want of bread or even of better houses" would be shown "the higher thoughts in which men's minds can move. " Residents who helped to organize boys' clubs would "set up a higher standard of man's life and through friendship commend to these boys respect for menhood, honor for womenhood, and reverence for God." Although settlement residents could not directly improve the material welfare of East London's residents, they would work toward a more important goal, inculcating "habits of cleenliness and order ... thoughts of righteousness and peace." In a speech at Cambridge, P.L. Gell, who played a major role in the founding of Toynbee Hall, described the state of a large proportion of the working classes

<sup>19.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 9.

<sup>20.</sup> Op. cit., 9.

<sup>21.</sup> Op. cit., 7.

<sup>22.</sup> Op. cit., 10.

in black terms: "I don't know whether we realize sufficiently that down below all we see there is a class which is not civilized, because it never has been civilized. Their parents were uncivilized before them. They are brutal and criminal and immoral, because from generation to generation they have not received the tradition of 23 morality." Settlement residents must supplement the East End clargy 24 as "the outposts of civilization."

The early settlement residents thus assumed roles similar to those of missionaries, explorers and district officers, bringing light to the "dark" regions of London and returning with information. It is likely that two of the founders of the settlement movement, P.L. Gell, subsequently president of the British South Africa Company, and Alfred Milner, the High Commissioner for South Africa between 1897 and 1905, were both aware of the resemblemce. As students in Oxford in the 1870's, their interests in social reform and in imperialism had been interconnected. It is also perhaps significent that at least twenty—two residents of Toynbee Hall subsequently worked for a period in some part of the Empire. The very

<sup>23.</sup> The Universities' Settlement Association, Work for University
Men in East London. The Report of a Meeting Held at Cambridge,
24 May 1884, 28.

<sup>24.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>25.</sup> Ernest Aves, Harry Osborne Buckle, Herbert Summersell Davis,
Norman de Lancy Davis, Patrick Duncan, P. Lyttelton Gell,
Bertram R. Hawker, Eldred Frederick Hitchcock, Cyril Jackson,
Maxwell Studdy Leigh, Ernest Lewis Matthews, William Arthur
Moore, Henry George Rawson, John Walter Richardson, John George
Richey, C. Russell, E.B. Sargent, F.N. Schiller, J. Sinclair,
Mark Stone, H.E. Sturge, F.P.F. Vane.

term "settlement" suggested that the experience of an upper-class resident in East London was believed to be comparable to that of a settler in one of Britain's colonies.

To Barnett, the third and most important aim of Toynbee Hall was to establish personal relationships between members of different social classes. Like other observers of nine teenth-century England, he was disturbed by the atomization of industrial urban society. He described East London as a vast impersonal area, inhabited by a population with no ordered relationships, no attachment or loyalty to the community and no interest in solving its major problems. In fact, the ties of the inhabitants to the region were not strong. East London, like many other industrial workingclass areas, contained relatively few settled, long-term residents. Artisans with sufficient means moved their residence, and thus their centre of concern, to surrounding suburbs. Their place was taken by two groups of newcomers: immigrants from the country and East European Jews, neither of whom was able to furnish strong leaders. In 1889 H. Llewellyn Smith, a Toynbee Hall resident and an investigetor for Charles Booth, estimated that over a fourth of the population of East London had been born outside the district. Within East London itself, moreover, residents frequently moved in search of better jobs or housing.

<sup>26.</sup> H. Llewellyn Smith, "Influx of Population," Labour and Life of the People Vol. I: East London, ed. Charles Booth (2d ed.: London, 1889), 509.

Nevertheless, Barnett assumed that the single cause of the fragmentation of society was the physical segregation of the classes. As early as 1800 the social structure of East London had been easily distinguished from that of the West End. It was already known as a largely poverty-stricken area with notorious patches of slums. However, the opening of the docks during the early years of the nineteenth/century set the seal on this development. The remaining open spaces were rapidly built over to supply homes for the increasing number of workers. At the same time, the faw remaining wealthy squares disappeared as merchants and manufacturers moved to make prosperous areas of London. By 1872 when the Barnetts arrived, East London was an entirely working-class area, containing almost no representatives of the more leisured and cultured classes.

According to Barnett, the most serious result of this process was that the poor had lost the moral leadership of the wealthy.

Moreover, the upper classes had lost the humanity and sympathy which came from contact with poverty. Different ideals and different standards of behaviour had in turn produced class-hostility.

Barnett looked back nostalgically to the hierarchical, status relationships of the pre-Industrial village when society was not a mere abstraction but, he believed, an organic whole. Characteristically, he urged the settlement workers to refurnish for their use one of the large houses abandoned by the wealthy in their flight

from the East End; the settlers were to form a resident leisured class, providing the personal service which alone could unify the community. As E.J. Urwick, a sub-warden of the settlement, later 28 wrote, the residents acted as "the squire and person combined."

In the 1880's and 1890's the settlement movement was one of the most widely acclaimed attempts to grapple with the novel problems created by industrialization and urbanization, but in its conception it looked backward rather than forward. It idealized the social relationships of the early-eighteenth century and ignored the possibilities for a more impersonal and systematic form of social service inherent in modern cities. It sought to restore the "natural relations" which the growth of urban centers had destroyed. In the words of one prominent settlement leader, settlement workers were not

an artificial importation of alien elements into conditions to which they do not belong and in which they have no natural office. It is simply the restoration of the natural conditions which our whole public life assumes. It gives back the very people who alone can functionize (sic) on behalf of the body. It restores the right relation of people to one another.29

<sup>27.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 5.

<sup>28.</sup> E.J. Urwick, "The Set-lement Ideal," Charity Organisation Review (March 1902), 122.

<sup>29.</sup> Henry Scott Holland, A Bundle of Lemories (London, 1915), 91.

Barnett laid no claim to having originated the settlement movement. Speaking at St. John's College, Oxford, he cited the example of Edward Denison who had established residence in Philpot Street. Stepney for eight months in 1867 in order to find out for himself how the poor lived. He engaged in such philanthropic and educational work as endowing and teaching in a school, lecturing on the Bible to a group of dockers, "the crown and glory of my labours", and serving as an almoner for the Society for the Relief of Distress. Disturbed by "the terrible effects" of indiscriminate almsgiving and determined to reform the administration of the Poor Law, he anticipated the work of the Charity Organisation Society. His conception of his role as a member of the leisured class in East London likewise influenced the founders and early residents of Toynbee Hall. Residence in the East End showed him. as it later showed settlement workers, that its inhabitants were not as destitute as they appeared from the outside: "The evil condition of the population is rather owing to the total absence of residents of a better class - to the dead level of labour which prevails over that wide region." Moreover, the proper functioning of local self government depended on the presence of a resident wealthy class. Denison

<sup>30.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 10.

Work emong the London Poor: Letters and Other Writings of the Late Edward Denison, ed. Baldwyn Leighton (London, 1884), 52.

Op. cit., 79. Op. cit., 17.

explained to a friend the reason why he felt am obligation to ensure that a sanitary regulation was enforced on a street where serious epidemics were occurring: "These are the sort of evils which, where there are no resident gentry, grow to a height almost incredible, and on which the remedial influence of the mere presence of a 34 gentleman known to be on the alert is inestimable."

Edmund Hollond, whom Mirs. Barnett credits with having played an integral role in bringing Barnett to whitechapel, followed 35
Denison to East London. Around 1869 Denison, the historian J.R. Green, then a vicar in Stepney, Brooke Lembert, a vicar in whitechapel and subsequently a friend of the Barnetts, and possibly Edmund Hollond met at the home of John Ruskin in order to formulate a new scheme 36 for aiding the poor. Denison and Green suggested that a settlement should be founded in East London in order that university men might continue the work they had begun there. As Brooke Lembert later wrote, "the proposal commended itself to us, mainly as enabling men of culture to influence the life of these parts by working on 37 local boards." Although nothing immediately came of the suggestion it perhaps influenced the Barnetts to travel to Oxford on numerous occasions, describing the conditions of whitechapel and recruiting

<sup>34.</sup> Op. cit., 52.

<sup>35.</sup> H.O. Bernett, Bernett, I. 68.

<sup>36.</sup> Brooke Lambert, "Jacob's Answer to Esau' Cry," Contemporary Review (September 1884), 377.

<sup>37.</sup> Ibid.

volunteers for the philanthropic activities they had organized.

Barnett remarked that the Oxford students most receptive to his ideas were those who had been influenced by T.H. Green, then a tutor at Balliol. Green's philanthropic and civic activities later provided a model for settlement residents. Attempting to fulfil the ideals of the "social reformer" and the "citizen" which preoccupied his writings as well as Barnett's, he participated in local government and campaigned for the extension of education. He became the first Oxford don to be elected to the town council by standing as an ordinary resident rather than as a member of the University, worked for state-supported primary education first as a member of the Taunton Commission and then on the National Education League, served on the Oxford School Board in 1874 and actively supported the University Extension Movement. The beliefs which underlay such activities also had a strong impact on his students. According to his biographer, Melvin Richter, Green's appeal was to the members of a transitio al generation who shared the strong sense of duty of their evangelical fathers but had begun to doubt the faith which had shaped Victorian Society. Green taught such men how they might employ their religious motivations to solve secular problems. He sought to transform their guilt about their

<sup>38.</sup> S.A. Barnett "University Settlements," University and Social Settlements, ed. Will Reason (London, 1898), 12.

declining faith into guilt about the inequalities and injustices

of their society and to instil in them a belief in the value of
39

personal service. Beatrice Webb underlined this view when she

wrote that the origin of the social ferment in the 1870's and 1880's

is to be discovered in a new consciousness of sin among men of intellect and men of property

The consciousness of sin was a collective or class consciousness; a growing uneasiness, amounting to conviction, that the industrial organisation ... had failed to provide a decent livelihood and tolerable conditions for a majority of the inhabitants of Great Britain ...

This class-consciousness of sin was usually accompanied by devoted personal service, sometimes by open confession and a deliberate dedication of mems and strength to the reorganisation of society on a more equalitarism basis.

As she noted, Barnett frequently told his followers; "the sense of 41 sin has been the starting-point of progress." Barnett also appealed to the evangelical sense of duty and dedication of his audience at St. John's College when he stressed that a settlement would 42 provide "an outlet for every form of earnestness."

Arnold Toynbee, a friend and disciple of T.H. Green, was a second major influence on his generation of Oxford social reformers. His own career illustrates the changing emphasis from religious to social concerns. He was born in 1852, the son of a famous physician.

<sup>39.</sup> Mel vin Richter, The Politics of Conscience: T.H. Green and His Age (London, 1964).

<sup>40.</sup> B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 204-08.

<sup>41.</sup> Op. cit., 205-06.

<sup>42.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 8.

Entering Pembroke College, Oxford in the spring of 1873, he studied
43
religion as an undergraduate. In 1878, when he was appointed tutor
in Balliol, he began to take an interest in economic and social
subjects. During this second period he participated in the campaign
to reform the stablished Church, becoming a member of the Council
of the Church Reform Union and helping to organize its activity at
Oxford. A letter which he wrote to Barnett, another leading member
of the Council, in February 1879, shows the way in which this work
served as a means of connecting his early religious interests with
his subsequent secular preoccupations:

You know I daresay that I gave an address at the Palmerston about 6 weeks ago on Church Reform. I think it was successful, and ever since I have been thinking, what to do next. At last I have decided to form a society to discuss not only the principles of Church Reform but the whole range of political and social questions that are before us at the present time. Milner, Cell and myself were members of our original little club ... We have chosen subjects to work at and we intend to meet once or twice a term at London or Oxford. We hope to formulate a body of principles which will guide us in our political & social action and enable us to deal effectively with the great questions of our time. We propose to pick out the ablest of the younger men who come up to Oxford to join them on to us from time to time. Our great object to aim at is to maintain a vital relation in the growth of principles between men in Oxford and men in London, men with a peculiar and rather narrow

<sup>43.</sup> Alfred Milner, Arnold Toynbee: A Reminiscence (London, 1901), 35.

experience labouring at problems in their most fundamental aspects, and men with a wide and varied experience facing those problems in their immediate and practical aspects. ... In conclusion we do not wish a word or a hint breathed by any one as to the existence of such a society ... Those like yourself who, I hope, believe in us, will do us the greatest service by saying as little as possible about any supposed movement at Oxford in any given direction ... You will understand I doubt not, why, in the very act of confiding to an old friend what is as dear to me as my own marriage, I so carefully forbid the extension of this confidence.44

The sims of this group clearly foreshadowed those of
Toynbee Hall. Moreover, the two original members dominated the
founding and early work of the settlement. Philip Lyttelton Gell
was one of the earliest supporters of the settlement movement at
Oxford, one of the first residents at Toynbee Hall and chairmen of
its governing council from 1884 until 1896. In the early 1880's
Alfred Milner served as secretary of the Whitechapel branch of the
University Extension Society, which was founded largely by Barnett
and was later under the direction of Toynbee Hall. In 1884 he was
a member of the London Committee responsible for establishing the
settlement and during the next few years frequently delivered lectures
45
there to groups of working men. In 1912, hoping "to retire from

<sup>44.</sup> Arnold Toynbes to S.A.B., 18 February 1879. Barnett Papers, Lembeth Palace Library, London, S.E. 1.

<sup>45.</sup> John Evelyn Wrench. Alfred Lord Milner: The Man of No Illusions, 1854-1925, (London, 1958), 60.

active public affairs but to devote some part of my leisure to social work," he become chairman of the Council of Toynbee Hall. a position he held for a number of years. When Toynbee's group held its meetings in London, one of its devoted members was the journalist E.T. Cook, a volunteer at Toynbee Hall in the 1880's and in 1886 the editor of the Toynbee searnal and Students' Union Chronicle, the journal of the settlement's branch of the University Extension Society. One of the younger men whom Toynbee inspired was Bolton King, secretary of the Oxford Committee which helped to establish Toynbee Hall and one of its leading residents for eight years. Held together by the force of his personality, Toynbee's circle of friends visited workhouses, took part in the current efforts to organize charity and participated in programs to educate pupil teachers: "for it was a distinguishing mark of those who came under Toynbee's influence, that they were filled with an enthusiasm for social equality, which led them to aim at bridging the gulf between the educated end the wage-earning class."

<sup>46.</sup> Lord Milner to H.O.B., 6 October 1917. Toynbee Hall Records, Greater London County Record Office, London, S.E. 1.

<sup>47.</sup> Letters from Milner to Gell from 1871-79 ettest to Toynbee's influence on them during these years. (P.L. Gell Papers, in the possession of Colonel P. Gell, Hopton Hall, Wirksworth, Derby.)

<sup>48.</sup> J. Saxon Wills, Sir Edward Cook, K.B.E. (London, 1921), 43;
The Milner Papers: South Africa, 1897-1899, ed. Cecil Headlam
(London, 1931), 13.

<sup>49.</sup> Benjamin Jowett, "Arnold Toynbee," in Arnold Toynbee, Lectures on the Industrial Revolution (London, 1884), viii.

<sup>50.</sup> Milner, Arnold Toynbee, 27.

Toynbee himself was the most active member of his group. He lectured to working mem first in the north of England and then in London. In Oxford he assisted a local co-operative society, served as a Poor Lew Guardian and campaigned for better houses, more open spaces and free libraries. During one Oxford vacation he took rooms in Whitechapel near St. Jude's, becoming the most famous of the early "settlers" in East London. As Beatrice Webb pointed out, the motivation underlying such activities was "consciousness of sin." In fact, Toynbee's most famous speech took the form of a confession to a group of working men in London:

We - the middle classes, I mean, not merely the very rich - we have neglected you; instead of justice we have offered you charity, and instead of sympathy we have offered you hard and unreal advice; but I think we are changing. If you would only believe it and trust us, I think that many of us would spend our lives in your service. You have - I say it clearly and advisedly - you have to forgive us, for we have wronged you; we have sinned against you grievously - not knowingly always, but still we have sinned, and let us confess it; but if you will forgive us - nay, whether you will forgive us or not - we will serve you, we will devote our lives to your service, and we cannot do more.51

Always fragile in health, Toynbee died in April 1883, seven months before Barnett's speech at St. John's College, Oxford. Considered a martyr to the cause of social reform, Toynbee was an obvious choice to be commemorated by the first university settlement, founded

<sup>51.</sup> Quoted in B. Webb, Apprenticeship, 208-09.

by his friends, embodying many of his ideals and emulating his lead 52 in the field of philanthropy.

One member of Bernett's sudience at 5t. John's College in

November 1883 later recalled: "We who heard the paper decided that
53
we must act upon it." A meeting at Balliol College in January 1884
resolved to establish a university settlement along the lines
Barnett had suggested and appointed a committee with responsibility
for selecting residents and locating a suitable building in a working54
class district of London. This committee in turn requested a group
55
of men living in London to make the necessary arrangements.

Within a month they had chosen a vacant industrial school as a site
for the settlement and unanimously elected Barnett director. A
general meeting held in Oxford on 29 February decided to establish
an association which would found and maintain the settlement and to
offer the position of warden, with a salary of 1250, to Barnett.

At the same time, a committee was appointed to purchase the school.

<sup>52.</sup> In fact, some of the founders of Toynbee Hall saw the settlement primarily as a memorial to Arnold Toynbee. (See Sidney Ball to P.L. Gell, 5 November 1883 and Sidney Ball to S.A.B., 10 November 1883. Papers of P.L. Gell).

<sup>53.</sup> Quoted in J.G. Lockhart, Cosmo Gordon Lang (London, 1949), 39.

<sup>54.</sup> Oxford Magazine (23 January 1884), 4. The committee consisted of the Master of the College, W.H. Fremantle, J. Bryce, A.H.D. Acland, A. Sidgwick, W.H. Forbes, A.L. Smith, T.H. Warren, S. Ball, C.E. Dawkins, B. King, H.D. Leigh, M.E. Sadler, C.G. Lang. (Oxford Magazine (13 February 1884), 67).

<sup>55.</sup> First Annual Report (1885), 5. The London committee included J. Bryce, P.L. Gell, B. King, C.S. Loch and A. Milner.

56

to supervise its alterations, and to raise funds. By the beginning of March Barnett was able to report to his brother: "The event of the week has been the settlement of the Settlement. The premises have been bought for 16250, a committee has been formed & one 57 Oxford fellow has given 11000." Early in May, Barnett and Bolton King addressed a large meeting at Cambridge, describing in detail 58 the plans for the settlement. At a meeting of the Cambridge Committee for the study of Social Questions ten days later, Philip Lyttelton Gall outlined the steps which had been taken to establish the settlement; the meeting then resolved to form a committee to work 59 in conjunction with Oxford. On 24 May, members of this committee, meeting with a deputation from Oxford, agreed to cooperate in the 60 founding of the settlement. At the end of December 1884 Toynbee Hall opened its doors and began operating as the first university settlement.

The strong ties of Oxford and to a lesser extent of Cambridge with Toynbee Hall were always a mutual source of pride.

<sup>56.</sup> Op. cit., 6; Oxford Megazine (27 February 1884), 110.

<sup>57.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 March 1884.

<sup>58.</sup> S.A. Barnett "Settlements of University Men in Great Towns," Summary of speech, Cambridge Review (7 Lay 1884), 307-08.

<sup>59.</sup> The Cambridge Committee included A. Seeley, Michael Foster, the Master of Selwyn, E.M. Blore, W.E. Heitland, A.P. Leurie, D. MacAlister, G.M. Prothero, V.H. Stanton, J.R. Tanner, Sedley Faylor, Oscar Browning, J.A. Chamberlain, W. Cunningham, H.S. Foxwell, Arthur Gray. (Oxford Lagazine (4 June 1884), 281).

<sup>60.</sup> G.C. Moore Smith to P.L. Gell, 11 May 1884. Papers of P.L. Gell; The Universities' Settlement Association, The report of a Meeting Held at Cambridge, 24 May 1884; Cambridge deview (28 May 1884), cxxvii.

The Oxford Magazine expressed its belief that the scheme "is an experiment which, of all others, is at this time most helpful and 61 most worth trying." Barnett's speech and an address given by William Morris during the same week were evidence that "Oxford has turned from playing at the Middle Ages in churches, or at a Re-Renaissance in cupboards, and a new faith, with Professor Green for its founder, Arnold Toynbee for its martyr and various societies 62 for its propaganda, is alive amongst us." Two years later the journal commented: "The most important movement of the three years has doubtless been the attempt to bring Oxford into connection with 63 the East End of London." For many years both The Oxford Magazine and The Cambridge Review continued to support the settlement by reporting its activities in considerable detail and devoting space to appeals for new volunteers.

Toynbee Hall held annual meetings at both universities when the warden, accompanied by residents, prominent politicians and occasionally socialist or labor leaders, sought to recruit promising residents. In March 1892 The Oxford Magazine reported that Balliol Hall was "crammed full" with between 600 and 700 students. Asquith,

<sup>61.</sup> Oxford Magazine (21 November 1883), 384.

<sup>62.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>63.</sup> Oxford Magazine (9 December 1885), 427.

the most prominent speaker. "roused the meeting to the last pitch of enthusiasm" when he described the future of Toynbee Hall "in language that thrilled through his audience." In subsequent years the meetings were smaller and the reporting more restrained. In 1899 two hundred students attended a meeting and in the following year between fifty and one hundred. In 1902 The Oxford Magazine noted that the annual meeting "was the fullest and most enthusiastic which has been held for some years ... and should give a fresh impetus to all settlement work." In February 1908 the hall of St. John's College was "completely filled". Between the annual meetings, such dons as Edward Caird, A.L. Smith, William Markby, W.H. Forbes and Sidney Ball continued to organize support for Toynbee Hall at Oxford. The most important of these was Sidney Ball in whose rooms at St. John's College Barnett had first presented his proposal for a university settlement. Influenced by T.H. Green and Arnold Toynbee, he was, from the mid-1880's, one of the leaders of Fabian socialism at Oxford. Moreover, he shared Barnett's interest in the extension of university education to the working classes. He became a member of the Council of Ruskin College, a working man's college established in Oxford, and actively perticipated in the

<sup>64.</sup> Oxford Magazine (9 March 1892), 224.

<sup>65.</sup> Oxford Magazine (15 February 1899), 193; Oxford Magazine (14 February 1900), 202.

<sup>66.</sup> Oxford Magazine (12 Merch 1902), 271.

<sup>67.</sup> Oxford Lagazine (27 February 1908), 224.

founding and early activities of the Workers' Educational Association. In the 1890's Barnett wrote to Ball: "If you had not kept up the fire of social interest where would Toynbee Hall ... have been?"

Barnett also sought to maintein his cwn ties with Oxford. His speech in November 1883 was the fourth he made during the year at the university; and he subsequently considered his annual visits there to appeal for support for Toynbee Hall his most enjoyable tasks. In 1884 he wrote to his brother: "Oxford is lovely, very lovely in this weather & there is that to be found in a college garden wh. is in no other country or garden. Twenty-seven years later he sent his nephew a similar letter from Oxford: "We are having a good time here ... rejoicing in the beauty & in the reviving of old memories & friendships. Oxford has a great charm in its society of people who are cultured - human & not rich." Barnett was most impressed by Balliol, the college of T.H. Green and Arnold Toynbee. As he wrote to his brother in 1886, "The Balliol boys are the best." He partially explained his preference in 1889: "At present Balliol is easily top. There is more honest work, more humility & therefore more religion in it then in other colleges."

<sup>68.</sup> Quoted in Oona Howard Ball, Sidney Ball: Memories and Impressions of 'An Ideal Don' (Oxford, 1923), 70.
Oxford Magazine (7 March 1883), 130; Oxford Magazine (23 May

<sup>69.</sup> 1883), 241; Oxford Magazine (30 May 1883), 268, 270.

<sup>70.</sup> 

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 May 1884. S.A.B. to Valvey Barnett, 6 June 1911. 71.

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 26 June 1886. 72.

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 22 June 1889.

In 1894 he was appointed a select preacher to Oxford, a position enabling him to visit the university more often." Moreover during the early years of the twentieth century Barnett revealed his continued feith in Oxford and Cambridge by participating in the movement to reform the universities in order that their values and ideals might have a greater impact on the nation. On 17 November 1913. exactly thirty years after he had presented his scheme for a university settlement, a group of his friends again met in the rooms of Sidney Ball end decided to found a memorial to Barnett at Oxford. On 6 June 1914 "Barnett House," an Oxford center for social and economic studies, was formally opened.

Barnett's effection for Oxford did not extend to Cambridge. After addressing a group in Cambridge in 1886 he wrote to his brother: "The meeting was large & took - I think - the proposition well. There was however an absence of Oxford enthusiasm & there were few questions." In 1902 he noted that a Cambridge meeting "was not large - Cambridge has not the life of Oxford, it is duller & gooder." Three years later he again remarked that Cambridge "hes less life - or less show of life than Oxford." Such comments reflected the smaller role which Cembridge played in both the founding

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 17 November 1894.

<sup>75.</sup> C.V. Butler, Barnett House 1914 to 1964" (Oxford, 1964), 4-5.

<sup>76.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 3 May 1886. 77. S.A.B. to F.G.B., 16 November 1902.

<sup>78.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 18 November 1905.

Review castigated members of the university for their relative lack of support for the settlement: "While Oxford, to whom the credit is due, has fully done her share toward its realisation, Cembridge up to the present has lent, comparatively speaking, but little 79 assistance, either in money or men." At least one resident from Cembridge acknowledged that Toynbee Hall was basically an Oxford 80 settlement.

The connection between Toynbee Hall and the universities was even broader then the frequency of Bernett's visits or the number of university graduates working with him in Whitechapel would suggest. It will be seen that the settlement's residents continually looked to Oxford and Cambridge for a frame of reference. The image of Oxbridge thus dominated every aspect of Toynbee Hall, limiting its revolt from the accepted standards of the day and restricting its adventurousness in the field of social reform.

Toynbee Hall was situated at 28, Commercial Street, a few yards from St. Jude's Church, in a district administered in 1884 by the Whitechapel Board of Works and after 1900 by the Stepney Borough Council. When the settlement was founded the population of the neighborhood was rapidly changing. During the early 1880's a great

<sup>79.</sup> Cembridge Review (18 February 1885), 214.

<sup>80.</sup> Laurie, Pictures and Politics, 73.

deal of demolition had been carried out under Lord Cross! Act. According to one early resident, in 1884 "the parish of St. Jude's. Whitechapel, seemed to be half pulled down. A few years later large model blocks were built, partly by the East End Dwellings Company, the housing association of which Barnett was a leading member. A primary goal of the builders was to evict the criminal element of the neighborhood and replace it with a more respectable segment of the working class. By 1890 Toynbee Hall was thus surrounded by a sizable proportion of independent, upwardly mobile working men. who the residents could reasonably hope would be more receptive to their overtures then Barnett's first parishioners. But the residents looked with less favor on the second and more significant change then occurring in the social composition of their neighborhood. Although whitechapel was already the center of a Jewish community. a series of pogroms in Eastern Europe beginning in the early 1880's greatly increased the district's foreign population. Between 1881 and 1905 about a million Jews left Eastern Europe, of whom about 151,000 settled in Britain. Out of a total of 286,925 aliens in Britain in 1901, 135,377 lived in London and 54,310 of these were resident in the Borough of Stepney. The foreign community of Whitechapel increased more rapidly than that of any other district; it

<sup>81.</sup> Liewellyn Smith, "Influx," Lebour and Life, I, 521.
82. Mr 1903 IX, Report of the Royal Commission on the Aliens
Question, 4. (The Commission stresses that these statistics,
based on the 1901 census returns, should not be considered
completely accurate).

<sup>83.</sup> Op. cit., 14.

grew from 9,660 in 1881 to 29,188, or 37.02 per cent of the total 84
population, in 1901. By 1899 much of the area to the north, west 85
and east of Toynbee Hall was inhabited overwhelmingly by Jews.
The settlement reacted to this influx in two, somewhat contradictory ways. On the one hand, the residents campaigned to control the growth of anti-alien hysteria and to prevent the enactment of restrictive legislation. But few of the residents sought to establish contact with members of the Jewish community surrounding them.

Nevertheless, the residents stressed the advantages of the settlement's location. Its propinquity to St. Jude's enabled the residents to assume responsibility for many of the organizations the Barnetts had founded during their first years in Whitechapel. Moreover, the settlement was within easy access of all parts of London. Situated in the north-west corner of Stepney, it was close to the East End boroughs of Bethnal Green, Shoreditch and Finsbury. Similarly, it was no more than a fifteen-minute walk from the Bank of England and by 1905 a five-or-ten minute walk from five underground stations which connected it with the rest of London and the suburbs. In its location as in its intention Toynbee Hall was thus a bridge between "East and West."

<sup>84.</sup> Op. cit., 22-23.

<sup>85.</sup> H.S. Lewis and C. Russell, The Jew in London: A Study of Racial Character and Present-Day Conditions (London, 1900), xxxvii - xxxix.

Entering Toynbee Hall from Commercial Street, a visitor during the period passed through a long arched tunnel under the warden's lodge into a quadrangle surrounded by the settlement building. The first story consisted of a lecture room, a dining hall and a drawing room and the second of the residents' quarters. A raised yard in the rear had been converted into a termis court. The architect had been forced to use part of the building already existing on the site but within this limitation he had striven to model the settlement on an Oxbridge college. It is likely that he was largely successful. In 1885 The Cambridge Review remarked that the apartment of a resident "differs in no respect from an ordinary college room." An Oxford graduate wrote to his parents fifteen years later that "the narrow winding corkscrew staircase rather reminds one of college." The similarity of the design of Toynbee Hall to that of a university college was increased in 1894 when some friends of Bolton King constructed in his honor a clock tower, perhaps also a symbol of the sense of order the residents hoped to impose on East London.

In other ways also Toynbee Hall was easily distinguished from the surrounding slums. The residents themselves frequently called the settlement "an oasis" in the midst of the city. Although

<sup>86.</sup> Cembridge Review (18 February 1885). 214.

<sup>87.</sup> T.E.H. to William and Arma M. Harvey, 6 March 1900.

<sup>88.</sup> Tenth Annual Report (1894), 7.

an early resident drew in his journal a sketch of the tenement he saw from his room and wrote to a friend at Cambridge that "the factory chimney outside depresses." if a resident's room faced in another direction he saw and heard far less of East London. One settlement worker later remembered Toynbee Hall as an "oasis of quiet" in the "roar of traffic" of Whitechapel. Another wrote to his parents that his room, "being on the inside of the quad ... is also very quiet, which is a great advantage." The early residents also believed that in time their quadrangle could serve as a symbol of the countryside, the virtues of which they frequently invoked. It is true that an early drawing of Toynbee Hall in The Oxford Magazine, showing a fountain surrounded by flowers in the center of the settlement, was largely funciful; but the Magazine noted that the residents "hope it may not be long before a little casis of greenery may be planted emongst the dreary wilderness of bricks and mortar that stretch away on every side."

The style of life of the settlement residents similarly separated them from their neighbors. In his speech at St. John's College Barnett claimed that members of the upper classes would be 93 better citizens after they had "shared the life of the poor."

<sup>89.</sup> C.R. Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger Fry/, 11 November 1886.

<sup>90.</sup> W.H. Beveridge, Power and Influence (London, 1953), 23.

<sup>91.</sup> T.E.H. to William and Anna M. Harvey, 6 March 1900.

<sup>92.</sup> Oxford Magazine (18 February 1885), 77.

<sup>93.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Settlements, 8.

But four months later, having accepted the position of warden, he
94
told his brother that he would live "in space & comfort & quiet."

Visitors to the settlement during subsequent years frequently
remarked on the high standard of comfort which its residents
95
enjoyed. In fact, the congeniality of their surroundings was a
source of pride to many settlement workers; as the representatives
of the leisured class in the community, they considered themselves
responsible for providing a model of good breeding, cleanliness and
order. In an article in 1902 E.J. Urwick, then sub-warden, emphasized
this point:

It is sometimes thought that to be a part of a poor neighbourhood we must ourselves become like the poor - imitating, to some extent, their conditions of living. If I am right in my hypothesis, we shall attempt no such thing. We want to fill the place of the well-to-do cultured class as such; and while our consciences ought to prevent extravegence or undue luxury, it is not part of our duty to lower our standard of living to a level of merked discomfort. 96

Writing to Beveridge two years later, Barnett stressed that the annual expenses of the settlement could not be reduced if "the standard of living is to be kept at a height of cleanliness & comfort wh. shall be an exemple to East London. The ... point seems to me to be important ... People in East London need to have

<sup>94.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 March 1884.

<sup>95.</sup> E.g. Annette Jeanie Beveridge sister of W.H.W., to Annette Beveridge, 23 January 1905. Beveridge Papers, L.S.E.

<sup>96.</sup> Urwick, "Settlement Ideal," Charity Organisation Review (March 1902), 123.

47

before them a way of life at wh. they may aim." A memorandum written in 1913 by three residents and endorsed by four others stated that "the mere exemple of a well kept, bright, cheerful house is in itself of no little value to the people of the neighbourhood who frequent it. It can raise their whole standard of life 98 & in a very real memner." The significance of comfort to these residents was shown by the fact that a discussion of the need for a new common room occupied about one-seventh of the memorandum, an attempt to evaluate the role of Toynbee Hall at that time. Settlement workers in the mid-twentieth century, hoping to establish the revelence of their work to the demends of the poor, have attempted to minimize the distinctions between themselves and members of the community; but Toynbee Hall residents, more confident of the superiority of middle-class values and standards, tried to emphasize their distance from their neighbors.

Even during the period, however, there were occasional murmurs of dissent. As a student at Balliol in the early 1900's, Stephen Hobhouse had been influenced by Canon Barnett and an admirer of Toynbee Hall. But in 1913, when he went to live at Toynbee Hall, he found the settlement "too comfortable for my present purposes, an 'ossis' of Oxford and Cambridge academic life, whose doors shut

<sup>97.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 13 February 1904.

<sup>98.</sup> Memorandum 10. Toynbee Hall Records. (The memorandum is not deted but in a letter to Lord Milner on 31 May 1913, Henrietta Barnett stated that it would be presented to the council on 2 June 1913).

99

one off from the drab poverty of most of the humble houses around."

George Lansbury, a working-class neighbor of Toynbee Hall, was

later more sarcastic about the way in which its residents shielded

themselves from contact with members of the community. His comment

cuts through the pieties which settlement workers were prone to

offer:

Young men who came to tast London answering the call of the Barnetts ... were to mix with the poor, learn about conditions and the health of the poor. What a good and blessed thing it would be that rich and poor should live together! But 'living' only extended to meetings ... in Toynbee Hall and its fine parlours, dining and other rooms. 100

Despite the gracious style of life which the residents enjoyed,
Toynbee Hall had no capital endowment and it was run with a minimum
of operating expenses. In fact, the size of the budget was an integral
part of parnett's conception of the meaning of a settlement. Like
Matthew Arnold, he frequently used the word "machinery" to describe
means which were valued as ends. Institutions, societies, large and
expensive buildings were all machinery. foynbee hall, on the other
hand, was not so much an institution as a group of individuals devoted
to furthering the welfare of fast London. Although the residents
worked through existing organizations, they realized that the only
goal worth achieving was the establishment of personal relations.

<sup>99.</sup> Stephen Henry Hobhouse, Forty Years and en Epilogue: An Autobiography, 1881 - 1951 (London, 1951), 133.
100. Lansbury, My Life, 139.

The settlement movement was founded when "people became distrustful of the machinery for doing good. Men at the Universities ... were asking for some other way than that of institutions by which to reach their neighbours. ... They welcomed ... the proposal for a settlement where they might live their own lives and also make friends among the poor." In 1892 Barnett wrote to his brother: "A plague on schemes & politics & institutions. If a dozen people live rightly & neighbourly they are worth more than many organisations.\* Moreover, a large endowment was unnecessary because the residents paid for their own board and lodging and no settlement worker received a fee for the services he performed. This too reflected the founders' original definition of a settlement. The residents could be expected to support themselves because they were to be members of the upper classes who alone could exert a beneficial influence on the community through the example of their behavior, education and culture. Then too, the founders were convinced of the superiority of volunt sales as opposed to professional workers. According to Octavia Hill. only the volunteer knew "how to enlist that individual gentle help ... which the trained agent has neither the time nor capacity to give." E.J. Urwick, sub-warden of Toynbee Hall at the turn

<sup>101.</sup> S.A. Barnett "University Settlements," University and Pocial Settlements, 12.

<sup>102.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 26 May 1892.

<sup>103.</sup> O. Hill, "District Visiting," Our Common Land and Other Short Essays (London, 1877), 35.

of the century, agreed:

Your ideal settler must first have absorbed true principles as part of his or her nature; and so fortified, she or he will not bother very much about the theoretical correctness of each step. I would even put in a plea for the adventages of impulse and the value of occasional mistakes. 104

During the past fifty years social reformers have increasingly expressed doubts about the value of unpaid service. By 1914, some Toynbee Hall residents themselves realized that the relationship between a voluntary worker and the recipient of his aid was frequently patronizing and that the formal, structured relationship which existed between a professional worker and his client was more in accord with the demends of an impersonal, urbanized society.

In the 1880's, however, the settlement founders, exalting the feudal relationship, did not question the necessity for volunteer work. Had Toynbee Hall residents been paid they would have lost the sympathy, spontaneity and enthusiasm which were the key to their work.

Toynbee Hall was the creation of the Universities' Settlement Association which was registered as a joint stock undertaking
105
in July 1884. Consisting of men who contributed at least \*\* five Provides

<sup>104.</sup> Urwick, "Settlement Ideal," Charity Organisation Review (March 1902), 123.
105. First Annual Report (1885), 3.

to the settlement and remained members for five years, of annual subscribers of at least one guines and of no more than fifteen men elected by its council, the Association was responsible for purchasing and maintaining the settlement building, providing the 106 salaries of employees, and receiving and allocating contributions. In practice, however, members of the Association had little to do with the actual functioning of Toynbee Hall. In January 1888 Barnett wrote to his brother: "Lest afternoon the USA /Universities" settlement Association had its pretence of a meeting, no shareholder came & nothing was done good or bad." The council of the Association administered the settlement. The first council consisted of those men who had been elected by Oxford and Cambridge to serve as an executive committee in 1884; subsequent councils comprised twelve members elected by the association, one member elected annually by the council itself and two elected each year by all past and current residents. The warden and residents supervised the daily operation of the settlement.

Samuel Barnett served as warden of Toynbee Hall from 1884 until 1906. During the 1880's and early 1890's he devoted most of his time to the settlement. Soon after accepting the position

<sup>106.</sup> Op. cit., 3. The Universities' Settlement in East London, Memorandum and Articles of Association (Oxford, 1886), 3.

<sup>107.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 14 January 1888.

<sup>108.</sup> First Annual Report (1885), 10; Universities' Settlement, Memorandum and Articles of Association, 10-11.

of warden he wrote to his brother that he intended to "give up the hard, wearing details of parish work to efficient curates." Ten months later he described his new life at the settlement: "The weeks record will henceforth be a record of interviews more or less lively with the residents in Toynbee Hall. Every morning I spend in seeing them, stirring up some & repressing others so as to get them into work. I am only concerned because the place is absorbing us both." After becoming Chairman of the Whitechapel Board of Guardians in 1893 he wrote: "I must do the work fully for a spell & then retire - It may be /an/ honour but it is too costly. For the future we must more & more keep ourselves to Toynbee work." By the turn of the century, however, he had begun to spend his time more at his home in Hampstead than at Toynbee Hall and to search for a competent assistant. Between 1900 and December 1906, when he resigned the position of warden and assumed the more honorary role of president, he rarely attended functions at Toynbee Hall more than 112 twice a week. After 1906, the number of visits he paid to the settlement continued to decline. His attendance at a reunion of old

<sup>109.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 March 1884.

<sup>110.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 January 1885.

<sup>111.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. (probably 1892).

<sup>112.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 27 January 1900, 3 February 1900, 4 May 1901, 11 October 1902, 25 April 1903, 2 May 1903, 6 May 1905, 12 January 1906, 20 October 1906, 27 October 1906, 10 November 1906, 17 November 1906.

residents in February 1911 was, as his successor, remarked, "his 113 first visit for some 16 months." But Bernett's influence on the settlement did not diminish. Long after he had ceased to play a role integral to the life of Toynbee Hall the residents were still attempting to fulfil his sims in education and philanthropy. The two subsequent wardens, T.E. Harvey and Maurice Birley, will be discussed in the following chapter. Neither left a comparable mark on the settlement.

The ten to fifteen men who had rooms at Toynbee Hall were the heart of the settlement. Most residents remained at the settlement for approximately two years, but between 1884 and 1914 one man spent twenty-eight years there, another nineteen years, a third eleven years and two over ten years. The residents thought of themselves as members of a club which perpetuated its character by a careful process of selection. Each candidate lived at the settlement for a probationary period of three months, after which the current members judged his credentials. He was required above all to show that his residence would be justified by his activity in some field of social work. The residents and the werden governed themselves through a "grand committee" which acted under the guidance of the council of the Association.

<sup>113.</sup> T.E.h. to Anna M. Harvey, 11 February 1911.

Because Barnett believed that the primary goal of Toynbee hall was to establish personal relationships, he claimed that the settlement should be juded "not by what we do, but by who we are."

Both the backgrounds and the subsequent professions of the individual residents were thus as significant as their corporate accomplishments in various fields. At the same time, an analysis of the residents' careers helps to indicate the changing direction of the settlement's concerns during the period.

In March 1884 Barnett wrote to his brother that as warden
he expected to be surrounded by "the salt of the earth in the shape
114
of Oxford men." For the following thirty years Oxbridge formed the
background of a majority of Toynbee Hall residents. It has been
possible to trace the education of eighty-seven of the 102 residents
who lived at Toynbee Hall during its first fifteen years. Of these,
fifty two attended Oxford and twenty seven Cambridge; twelve Oxford
graduates, moreover, had been students at Balliol, the college of
T.H. Green and Arnold Toynbee. Of the ninety-five residents between
1900 and 1914 whose education is known, sixty studied at Oxford and
twenty+one at Cambridge; seven of the latter had been at Balliol.
The settlement residents were thus largely drawn from the uppermiddle classes; none had been required to work his way up from the
bottom. They believed, however, that they were distinguished as a

<sup>114.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 March 1884.

group not by the material advantages they had always known but rather by the values and ideals they had recently imbibed at the universities. To working men whose first demand was a share of their material wealth, they preached the need for moral and spiritual reform. Although a few residents were men who had been established in a career for a number of years, a high proportion came to the Toynbee Hall within a few years of leaving university. The average age of the 107 residents whose birth date is known was twenty six when they arrived at the settlement. Toynbee Hall was thus a young society; the activities organized by its residents reflected not only the dominant social thought of the period but occasionally the idealism and enthusiasm of youth.

Occupations of 178 Residents

	1884-1899	1900-1914	total
Church	19	2	21
Lew	8	7	15
Accountency	1	2	3
Medicine	5	8	13
Engi neering	2	0	2
Civil Service	14	31	45
Parliament	4	2	6
Local Government	3	1	4
Social Service	4	10	14
Universities	8	10	1.8
Secondary Schools	5	1	6
Journalism	3	5	8
Creative Writing	0	2	2
Art	2	2	4
Publishing	0	1	ı
Farming	3	2	5
Science	0	1	1
Business	4	l	5
Military	4	1	5

The majority of residents were part-time social workers. who devoted their evenings to philanthropic or civic work in East London and their days to their professions in the City or West End. The table shows the careers which the residents assumed. The most important change between the first and second fifteen-year periods of Toynbee Hall was the decrease in the number of clergymen. In fact, the decline was sharper than the table indicates. Five, or one-third, of the original fourteen residents were or became clergymen; eleven of the thirty-seven men who resided at the settlement during its first three years had careers in the Church. On the other hand, between 1897 and 1914, only two residents became clergymen either while living at the settlement or upon leaving it. In part, these figures may be explained by the increasing attraction for the more religious university graduates of Oxford House, a settlement inspired by Barnett but founded by the leaders of Keble College and connected with the Established Church. Although it was opened a few months before Toynbee Hall, its work expanded far more gradually. When Arthur F. Winnington-Ingrem was appointed warden in 1889, he found the settlement "not a very impressive affair," consisting of only three residents who lived in a disused By 1898, however, Oxford House had opened a new Church school. 116 building and comprised over thirty residents. But the decline

<sup>115.</sup> Arthur Foley Winnington-Ingram, Fifty Years' Work in London, 1889-1939 (London, 1940), 6.
116. Op. cit., 8.

in the number of clergymen at Toynbee Hall may also reflect a broader social phenomenon. During the period the number of clergymen ordained diminished each year and the proportion of Oxford and Cambridge graduates among the candidates for ordination similarly 117 declined. Deterred from entering the Church by religious doubts, students at the universities were drawn to the expending opportunities in the civil service, education, business, journalism and law, the major careers of the second group of Toynbee Hall residents. Most significantly, the diminishing number of clergymen reveals the changing emphasis of the settlement. Just as the scope of its activities gradually broadened from those typical of a parish church, so did its interests begin to center less on the moral improvement of the community and more on wider social and economic problems.

Nevertheless, religious aims may have motivated some of the leter settlement workers. At least twenty residents who were not themselves members of the clergy had fathers who were clergymen.

<sup>117.</sup> Anthony C. Deane, "The Falling Off in the wuentity of the Clergy"

Nineteenth Century, Vol. XLV (January - June 1899), 1023-30;

R.C.K. Ensor, England, 1870-1914 (London, 1936), 305; Elie

Halévy, A History of the English People in the Nineteenth

Century, Vol. I: Imperialism and the Rise of Labour, trans.

E.I. Watkin (2d. ed. revised: London, 1951), 170.

<sup>118.</sup> W.R.L. Blakiston, W.J. Braithwaite, George Grey Butler, William Macbridge Childs, John Ainsworth Dale, P. Lyttelton Gell, Wilfred Julius Hanson, John Alexander Herbert, Edward A. Coles McCurdy, J.A.M. MacDonald, David Hutchinson MacGregor, Samuel Ellison Minnis, William Arthur Moore, William Arthur Price, Henry Maunsell Richards, Charles Henry Roberts, Rolfe Arnold Scott, Francis Gordon Sherriff, Morton Gray Stuart, Harold Fraser Myatt.

The wife of one of these men, W.R.L. Blakiston, entered the civil service after twelve years as a settlement resident, believes that he was drawn to Toynbee Hall by "the idea of service" which he learned from his father, a country vicar. Robert Morant. a second and far more distinguished civil servant, appears initially to have viewed residence at Toynbee Hall as a practical alternative to a career in the Church. Arriving at New College. Oxford, in October 1881, determined to become a clergymen, he studied theology and actively participated in the evangelical work of the Inter-Collegiate Christian Union. During his last year, however, his religious ardour gradually weakened just as he began to take an interest in social and economic problems; at this time he was particularly enxious to gain information about the new scheme for a university settlement in East London. Soon after his return from Sism in 1895, where he spent seven years as tutor first to the nephews of the King and subsequently to the Crown Prince, Morant became a resident of Toynbee Hall. H.P.W. Burton was enother settlement resident whose religious zeal declined while at university. The scion of five generations of clergymen, he entered St. John's College, Cambridge, in 1907, assuming without question the truth

<sup>119.</sup> Letter from Mary Blakiston, 1 May 1967.

<sup>120.</sup> Bernard M. Allen, Sir Robert Morant: A Greet Public Servent (London, 1934) 1-95; Violet Markhem; Friendship's Harvest (London, 1956), 169-70.

of the Christian feith" and considering it likely that he would
be ordained. Disturbed by the higher criticism, sceptical literature
and the arguments of free-thinking friends, he left Cambridge "in
122
a state of intellectual confusion." For a time he considered law,
politics and varied openings in the field of education, but "the

pastoral urge was still alive, even though the mind was confused.

So I compromised with both the secular and spiritual sides of my
123
nature by taking a temporary post in the East End of London."

Then too, the work which T.E. Harvey undertook as warden of Toynbee
124
Hall, can be considered an embodiment of his Quaker beliefs.

A settlement inspired by T.H. Green was an attractive place to such
men as Blakiston, Lorant, Burton and harvey, motivated initially by
religious feelings but increasingly concerned with the social and
economic problems of the world in which they lived.

at the settlement as a means of acquiring information which would help them assume careers as university professors, journalists and civil servants. The disciplines of seven of the eight residents before 1900 who became professors are known; of these, only two 125 specialized in the social sciences. On the other hand, seven of the

<sup>121.</sup> H.P.W. Burton, Weavers of Webs (London, 1954), 49.

<sup>122.</sup> Op. cit., 50.

<sup>123.</sup> Op. cit., 58.

<sup>124.</sup> This will be expended in the following chapter.

<sup>125.</sup> William Macbride Childs, E.J. Urwick.

ten man residing at Toynbee Hall between 1900 and 1914 who became professors were in the field of social science. Significantly. at least six Toynbee Hall residents after 1897 held positions in the Department of Social Science and Administration of the London School of Economics, helping to divert its activities from the training of social workers to a consideration of the basic problems of poverty. The Department had its origins in a small School of Sociology founded in 1903 and administered largely by the Charity Organisation Society. E.J. Urwick was director of the school in which Ronald C. Urwick, assisted by Davison. Davison and W.H. Beveridge lectured. remained director of the school in 1912 when it was merged with a new social science department in the London school of Economics. The department was given financial aid and in other ways connected the Ratan Tata Foundation which, under the headship of R.H. Tawney, undertook investigations into the causes of poverty. Early in 1913 C.R. Attlee was appointed tutor in the department; after the war Moreover, from 1919 to C.M. Lloyd succeeded Urwick as chairman. 1956 the London School of Aconomics was led by former settlement residents. W.H. Beveridge held the position of director from 1919

<sup>126.</sup> R.H. Tawney, N.B. Dearle, H. Clay, Alexander Morris Carr-Saunders, H.D. Henderson, G.F. Shove, John St. George Heath.

<sup>127.</sup> Cherity Organisation Review (October 1907), 210.

<sup>128.</sup> Record, Vol. XXV, No. 3 (December 1912), 34; Roy Jenkins, Mr. Attlee: An Interim Biography (London, 1948), 63, 83; Mowat, Cherity Organisation Society, 171; Richard M. Titmuss, Essays on the Welfare State, (2d ed.: London, 1966), 15-16.

to 1937. His successor was A.M. Carr-Saunders, another sub-warden of Toynbee Hall.

The rise in the number of civil servents emong settlement residents also indicates that an increasing number were more concerned with social investigation than practical philanthropy and moral exhortation. Six of the fourteen residents between 1884 and 1899 who became civil servants received appointments in the Board of Education. After 1900, when the major interest of the settlement shifted from education to the problems of poverty and unemployment, at least nine residents joined the Board of Trade or the Ministry of Labour. A large number of the settlement residents who became civil servents played significent roles in the framing and early administration of the social legislation enacted between 1900 and 1911. As an official of the Board of Education, Robert Morent devised the Education Act of 1902 which brought secondary education under the control of the state. Soon after the passage of the Unemployed Workmen Act of 1905. Henry MayBard, W.H. Beveridge and T.E. Harvey became members of the Central Unemployed Body for

R.E. Mitcheson, F.S. Marvin, h.M. Richards, R.L. Morent, R.E.S. Hart, F.E. Douglas. In addition, Cyril Jackson, listed as an elected officer in local government, served for a period in the Board of Education; E.B. Sargent had a career in educational administration in the Transuael.

<sup>130.</sup> W.R.L. Blekiston, W.H. Beveridge, C.B. Hawkins, J.S. Nicholson, R.C. Davison, E.C. Bligh, Ralph R. Enfield, J. Ainsworth Dale, John St. George Heath.

London, with responsibility for administering the act in London; Cyril Jackson served as konorary secretary of the Stepney Distress Committee of which at least four other members were either current or former Toynbee Hall residents. Robert Lorant drafted the Education (Administrative Provisions) Act of 1907 which, instituting medical inspection of school children, formed one of the early acts of "New Liberalism." As Chairman of the first Trade Boards, Ernest Aves took charge of administering the Trade Boards Act of 1909. The Labour Exchanges Act of the same year was largely the work of W.H. Beveridge who was appointed the first Director of the Labour Exchange Department of the Board of Trade in February 1910. Beveridge and Hubert Llewellyn Smith, the Permanent Secretary of the Board of Trade, were the chief architects of the unemployment insurance sections of the National Insurance Act of 1911. Other former settlement residents dominated the history of the insurance sections. When Lloyd George travelled to Germany in 1907 to study old age pensions, a trip which intensified his interest in health

<sup>131.</sup> Fwenty-third Annual Report (1907), 19; Twenty-fourth Annual Report (1908), 11; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 9; Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 11; Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 3 (December 1905), 30; Record, Vol. XXII, No. 2 (November 1910), 18.

insurance, he was accompanied by Harold Spender, then a Liberal 132
journalist. More significantly, William John Braithwaite, as
Lloyd George's adviser, was largely responsible for drafting the
Mational Health Insurance Bill. In this work he was aided by James
Arthur Salter and William Hubert Stuart Garnett and, in a more
133
minor role, by Samuel E. Minnis. After the passage of the Act,
Robert Morant took charge of establishing the national health administration. Other early members of the National Health Insurance
Commission included E.F. Wise, J.A. Salter and J.R. Brooke.

canon Barnett considered the work of these residents an important means of extending the influence of Toynbee Hall. At the same time, he helieved that residence at a settlement would enhance the prospects of any Oxford graduate. Presenting his proposal for a university settlement to Cambridge, he assured his audience that "residence would not be a halt; it might be the part of a man's career most rich in teaching, be his end Parliament, science 134 or business." Throughout his tenure as warden he watched solicitiously over the careers of his most promising residents, introducing

<sup>132.</sup> Bentley B. Gilbert, The Evolution of National Insurance in Great Britain: The Origins of the Welfare State (London, 1966), 291.

<sup>133.</sup> Interview with Samuel E. Minnis, 8 May 1967; Lloyd George's

Ambulance Wagon: Being the Lemoirs of William J. Braithweite,

1911-1912, ed. Henry N. Bunbury (London, 1957); J. Arthur

Salter, Memoirs of a Public Servent (London, 1961).

<sup>134.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Settlements of University Men in Great Towns," Cembridge Meview (7 May 1884), 308.

them to eminent men in public life and showing them the paths to success. A letter to his brother in 1905 illustrates his attitude:
"Beveridge has been made leader writer on the Morning Post at \$500 a year so he has got home, Maynard is sec of the new Central Body on the Unemployed Fund. Nunn ... is on the Royal Commission on 135 Poor Law. Toynbee men to the front !!!"

george Lensbury, however, viewed their good fortune from a different perspective. In 1928 he wrote:

What Toynbee Hall actually accomplished was just this: men who went in training under the Barnetts, just as men and women who later came under the spell of the Webbs, could always be sure of government and municipal appointments. The number is legion of those who, after a few months, or at most a year or two, at Toynbee have discovered themselves as experts on social affairs and, on the reputation created by the atmosphere and surroundings of the Dettlement, claimed and received very fine appointments. 136

He could have cited numerous examples of men who found residence at Toynbee Hall a means of acquiring positions of influence in the field of social reform. J.A. Spender, subsequently a prominent Liberal journalist, came to the settlement first in January 1886 and again in March 1891, with little prospect of a job. Encouraged by Barnett, however, he made use of the settlement to establish

<sup>135.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 25 November 1905.

<sup>136.</sup> Lansbury, My Life, 129.

137

necessary contacts and to gain an introduction to social problems.

In 1903, when Beveridge hoped to convince his parents that his career would be furthered if he left law end became sub-werden of Toynbee Hall, he argued:

Toynbee Hall is prospectless if you estually stick to it in the same way that scientific work is prospectless; it gives you a living and the work you want to do. But just because it is not a mission but a residence & meeting place of men doing work it is not a cul de sac. It is known among men of position; I think the list of past residents would surprise you. I will however give you the one instance of Morant (who might have been Balfour's private secretary & has been suddenly rushed up very high in the Education department ...) yet he was first a resident with no other work at Toynbee Hall ...; he got his chance simply because he was known to Canon Barnett to have done excellent educational work ... & Canon Barnett knew great educational people. Toynbee is a force in the same way that Balliol is. 138

Fifty years later Beveridge concluded that he had taken the correct path: "Toynbee Hall gave me everything that my parents could have 139 desired for me of launching on a career." During his four-year residence beveridge acquired his first knowledge of the problems of unemployment and a taste for exercizing power. More concretely, at Toynbee Hall he met Hubert Llewellyn Smith, later his superior in

<sup>137.</sup> Wilson Herris, J.A. Spender (London, 1946), 13, 19; J.A. Spender, Life, Journalism and Politics, 29.

<sup>138.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 11 May 1903.

<sup>139.</sup> Beveridge, Power and Influence, 36-37.

the Board of Trade, and Cyril Jackson, a leader in London local government and an investigator for the Moyal Commission on the Poor Jackson in turn introduced Beveridge to the Webbs through whom, peveridge later claimed, he gained "access to the seat of power." Beveridge himself was instrumental in bringing at least three other Toynbee Hall residents into the government. The wife of W.R.L. Blakiston, a civil servent first in the Board of Trade and then in the Ministry of Labour, recalls that Blakiston came to Toynbee Hall "undecided about his career" and that he entered the civil service because Beveridge "pressed him to join." As a resident at Toynbee Hall between 1906 and 1910, J.S. Nicholson assisted Cyril Jackson's investigation of boy labour for the Royal Commission on the Poor Laws and subsequently undertook research for Beveridge who was then writing Unemployment. In 1910 Beveridge invited Nicholson to join the department of labour exchanges, the beginning of his career in the Board of Trade and Ministry of E.C. Bligh was a third Oxford graduate who arrived at Toynbee Hell with a vague interest in social questions but with no formulated plans for a career. After working in the field of publishing for a few years, he joined the board of Trade at Beveridge's Increasingly, then, Toynbee Hall served as a meeting behest.

<sup>140.</sup> Op. cit., 70.

<sup>141.</sup> Letter from Mary Blakiston, 1 May 1967.

<sup>142.</sup> Interview with J.S. Nicholson, 31 March 1967.

<sup>143.</sup> Interview with Sir E.C. Bligh, 6 April 1967.

place for men determined to understand the problems of an urban society and to employ the power of the government in solving them.

A significant proportion of the residents were convinced that they were destined for prominence in public life. Because they did not seek identification with the poor, they were able to consider residence at an East London settlement as a means of edvancing their own positions within middle-class society rather than of disassociating themselves from it. When they criticized Britain they did so as members of its establishment whose words should be heavily weighted. At the same time, their dissetisfaction with British society was sharply circumscribed. Despite their uneasiness about the poverty they discovered around them, they fully endorsed Britain's basic values, traditions and institutions.

Chapter III: Major Trends in the history of the Settlement

The sims of Toynbee Hall did not remain static. The residents saw themselves as individuals each of whom was committed to understanding and solving current social problems rather than as members of an institution bound by a fixed ideology or programme. Between 1884 and 1914 they thus continually reassessed the function of a settlement in order to adapt Toynbee Hall to changing social forces and needs. As the analysis of the residents subsequent professions has indicated, although Toynbee Hall was founded as a means of restoring a leisured class to test London, it gradually developed into a centre for social investigation and reform.

The major trends in the history of the first thirty years of the settlement can best be discerned through the experiences of three residents, C.R. Ashbee, W.H. Beveridge and T. Harvey. Beveridge as the most important sub-warden during the period and Harvey as the warden between 1906 and 1911 influenced the settlement during their terms of residence, showing the inadequacy of practical philanthropy and the necessity for broader solutions to east London's problems. Ashbee, on the other hand, is an exception who proves the rule. Coming to Toynbee Hall with sims and social ideas which sharply diverged from the se of his fellow residents, he was at odds with the settlement during a large part of his stay; his reactions and criticisms nevertheless reveal the spirit of the settlement during

its early years. The personal accounts of these residents are also significant because settlement leaders stressed that the value of the institution should be measured not only by its corporate accomplishments in various fields of social work but also by the impact it made on the lives of individual residents. These personal experiences thus represent an important aspect of the history of Toynbee Hall.

The decision of C.R. Ashbee to reside at Poynbee Hell, like that of all early residents, reflected the new uneasiness among middle—class men in the 1880's about the condition of England. Most residents, however, hoped to solve the problem of social distress without bringing into question the basic ideals and traditions of English society. Ashbee was attracted to movements and men espousing a deeper revolt against the established order. While studying at Cambridge, he found his model in Edward Carpenter who, he believed, gave expression to his sense of estrangement from the mainstream of nine teenth—century british life. Carpenter himself was a disciple of walt Whitman. He first read an edition of Whitman's poems while a student at Cambridge in 1869: "From that time forward a profound change set in within me. I remember ... feeling all the time that my life deep down was flowing out and away from my surroundings and traditions smid which I lived—a current of sympathy carrying it westward, across the Atlantic."

<sup>1.</sup> Adward Carpenter, My Days and Dreems: Being Autobiographical Notes (London, 1916), 64.

Upon graduation, he become a Fellow at Cambridge, but "hitman's poems revealed the life of the university as "a fraud and weariness." In 1874 "it suddenly flashed upon me, with a vibration through my whole body, that I would and must somehow go and make my life with the mass of the people and the manual workers." For the next ten years Carpenter worked as a full-time University Extension lecturer in Leeds, Halifax and Skipton. Like numerous other teachers in the extension movement, however, he found his pupils disappointingly middle class. In 1881, therefore, he moved to a small plot of land at Millthorpe, near Sheffield where, inspired by Walden's Thoreau, he attempted to lead the life of a manual laborer. After reading England for All by H.M. Hyndman in 1883, "the mass of floating impressions, sentiments, ideals, etc., in my mind fell into shape - and I had a clear line of social reconstruction before me." Although Carpenter never joined the Social Democratic Federation, he helped subsidize its journal, Justice, and maintained close ties with many members. In Sheffield he organized a group of socialist working men inspired by Milliam Lorris.

Ashbee first met Carpenter in May 1885: "Seems to me a man much in earnest, of a hard but genuine type; ... we had an hour's

<sup>2.</sup> Op. cit., 72.

<sup>3.</sup> Op. cit., 72.

<sup>4.</sup> Op. cit., 114.

<sup>5.</sup> Op. cit., 115.

<sup>6.</sup> Op. cit., 216-17.

nature." In December, when Ashbee visited Millthorpe, his admiration grew: "I dwell tonight under the roof of a poet. Edward Carpenter seems to me to come nearer to one's ideal of The Men than anyone I have ever met ... Millthorpe is a most ideal place ... in fact an entirely idyllic haunt & fit for the dwelling of a poet." In London four days later, he concluded: "London gloomier than ever, but I, much refreshed from inhaling the fresh clear atmosphere of Millthorpe, the ideal, the poetic. It was a recollection that last (sic) a long while in my mind; a glimpse into the real & the true which we are apt to forget in a world of smoke & folly etc." At the beginning of January 1886 Ashbee and G. Lowes Dickinson accompanied Carpenter to a private lecture at the Hammer smith branch of the Pocialist Leagus in the home of William Morris:

Carpenter took us up to the platform afterwards and introduced us to Morris, who received us kindly and invited us all in to supper. Averything in his house is beautiful, - such Rosettis, and such a harmony of colours and tones! ... Ditting at table one felt like one of the people in Millais' pre-Raphaelite picture of Isabella. Everything was harmonious. ... Old Morris was delightful, firing up with the warmth of his subject, all the enthusiasm of youth thrilling through veins and muscles; not a moment was he still, but ever sought to vent some of his immense energy. At length banging his

<sup>7.</sup> Ashbee, "Journal", 14 May 1885.

<sup>8.</sup> Op. cit., 11 December 1885.

<sup>9.</sup> Op. cit., 15 December 1885.

hand upon the table: 'No!' said he 'The thing is this; if we had our revolution tomorrow, what should we pocialists do the day after?' 'Yes ... What?' we all cried. And that he could not enswer. 'We should all be hanged, because we are promising the people more than we can ever give them!'

We sat talking round the supper table till midnight and then wandered homeward, first accompanying the Miss Carpenters and Edward to their place, then going our own ways very thoughtfully. On the road we were joined by Bernerd Shaw the Socialist, - a very clever man and profoundly interesting to talk to. Carpenter had introduced us to him, and he kept us well engaged in the long walk back from Hammersmith, - on the coming devolution and the collapse of the Capitalistic system. ... He left us at the corner of Goodge St., Goldie and I walked on to Tottenham Court id., together slowly. 'And what do you think of it all? I asked him when we were alone. 'I don't know' he said. 'I don't seem to feel any forrader with Socialism, do you? 'I don't know' I replied 'but I believe I am more clear about one or two things, - through as to the whole ..., well ... 10

While visiting Millthorpe again in April, Ashbee noted in his journal:
"This afternoon a long walk over the hills with adward Carpenter ...

He told me a deal about "alt Whitman, and I believe I am beginning to learn more of the workings of his own mind. Democracy, Socialism, Christian ethics of the finest type, - all seems to be compact and ll constructive."

At the end of June, 1886, Ashbee paid his first visit to

Toynbee Hall, "my object to explore. I hope perhaps to live here

later for a while, but rather as a sop to my own conscience, having

<sup>10.</sup> Op. cit., 4 January 1886.

<sup>11.</sup> Op. cit., 2 April 1886.

now for three years talked philanthropy." Motivated by Carpenter's ideals, he was impressed with parnett: "I believe in the man. He is sincere & his work is a noble one. He has a gift of saying things clearly & calling them by their right names" but he mistrusted the settlement "for what seems at first sight a top hatty philanthropy."

Anhbee's comment after accompanying a resident on his rounds as district visitor for the Charity Organisation occiety showed him to be more of a democrat than the majority of residents: "Fearful the sense of class distinction down here. I got into the workman's train today and my neighbours actually thought it necessary to apologise to me

At the end of July, when Carpenter spent sometime with Ashbee and his circle of friends at Cambridge, Ashbee noted: "To have Edward 15 up here is wonderful. It is as if we had a hero among us," Three days later he wrote: "Everything is wonderful just at present, but 16 heving Carpenter among us more wonderful than all." Soon after returning to Millthorpe Carpenter sent Ashbee a letter which, as Ashbee later recalled, provided the key to his work at Toynbee Hall: "I conjure you - get to know the people - you will never understand yourself or your work till you do - don't be baffled till you know

<sup>12.</sup> Op. cit., 27 June 1886.

<sup>13.</sup> Op. cit., 27 June 1886.

<sup>14.</sup> Op. cit., 28 June 1886.

<sup>15.</sup> Op. cit., 22 July 1886.

<sup>16.</sup> Op. cit., 25 July 1886.

them thoroughly - that is the only thing that will save you from the deadly torpor of a profession." In September, Ashbee visited Millthorpe for the third time: "The last of Millthorpe for a While, but by no means the end I hope ... Adward's 'idea' is still burning within me. I feel so proud that he should have chosen me as a vessel in which to place it."

One month later, imbued with this sense of mission, Ashbee entered Toynbee Hall, confident that it would provide an antidote to his work as an apprentice in an architectural firm and the best means of fulfilling his ideals. He was not disappointed at first. The exuberant language he had formerly reserved for Carpenter he used during the following six months to idealize the life of the settlement. Soon after erriving, he reported to a friend at Cambridge that he was "perfectly and completely happy" with the settlement: "Once and for all Toynbee is out of the charmed circle of grim & despicable detail. & here is all the enthusiasm of the university collected & concentrated. There are some splendid he here & a great deal of silent unostentatious heroism." He enjoyed discussing religious and ethical questions with other residents and praised the opportunities for contacts between the different classes, no matter how formal:

<sup>17.</sup> Edward Carpenter to Ashbee, 29 July 1886.

<sup>18.</sup> Ashbee, "Journal", 5 September 1886.

Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger\_rry/, October 1886. Ashbee, "Journal," 21 /October/1886. 19.

An exciting time tonight. Debate between Champion & Benjamin Jones - Socialism v. Cooperation. And such a mixture in sudience & speakers could only be at Toynbee. An aristocratic Socialist versus a working man Cooperator. a courgeois 'trusted' politician Leonard Courtney in the chair, a bishop to provose a vote of thanks to the debaters & a radical sect of the working mens clubs to second it. 21

The response of a working class audience to whom he lectured at the beginning of February convinced him that "the influence of Toynbee is spreading." On 23 February he told a friend: "Toynbee ... is one's reascent into belvation. Indeed life here is very wonderful if there were only more of it." Three days later he wrote: can't conceive how splendid it is to fly up to our little other world at Toynbee Hall from the quagmires of Society, to meet men who ere really men & not men plus top-hats, & to come whenever one will, in actual living contact with great ideas."

Convinced that his goal in East London should be to establish contact with working men, he chronicled his somewhat self-conscious attempts in considerable detail. After entertaining a young builder in his rooms in November he admitted that he couldn't "get myself into focus yet quite for the radical working man." His confidence

<sup>21.</sup> Op. cit., January 1887.

<sup>22.</sup> Op. cit., 6 February 1887.

Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger Fry/, 23 February 1887. Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger Fry/, 28 February 1887. 23.

<sup>24.</sup> 

Ashbee, "Journal", 10 November 1886. 25.

grew less than two weeks later when he delivered a lecture to members of a Liberal working men's club: "I felt myself getting nearer to these men, and beginning to understand the whitmanic position ... 26 the 'B.W.M.\* / British working Man/ is no more a terror for me."

After lecturing to a second group about a week later, he wrote to a friend at Cambridge: "I feel that I am learning a deal ... from the B.W.M., his keenness, his strength, his enthusiasm. You remember 27

Edward's / Carpenter's letter to me." In February Ashbee noted the impact which five months at Toynbee Hell had made on him: "I am beginning to perceive ... strangely enough that men are always men, even though they wear clothes of different colours, a curious fact which before I failed to conceive, & even yet do not understand; it seeming so natural to one - in this, one's rudimental state of development, - to think a man in a black coat different from a man in a brown."

<sup>26.</sup> Op. cit., 22 November 1886.

<sup>27.</sup> Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger Fry/, 30 November 1886.
Ashbee's Cambridge friends, however, were somewhat supercilious.
Roger Fry wrote:

I am very much delighted about the Lecture & hope someday to feel sympathy with the beer-drinking shag-smoking B.W.M. for whom as you know I have already some potential love though not more than I extend to other classes perhaps.

<sup>(</sup>Roger Fry to Ashbee, 28 November 1886)

The tone of G. Lowes Dickinson's letter was similar:

It's excellent that your lecture went off so well. I
hadn't somehow imagined you a successful sans-culotte,
but then you're always developing some unsuspected faculty.
And it must be very pleasant to discover that one can
drink whisky & fraternise with the B.W.M. when necessary.

(G. Lowes Dickinson to Ashbee. 7 December 1886)

<sup>(</sup>G. Lowes Dickinson to Ashbee, 7 December 1886) 28. Ashbee to n.n. /probably Roger rry/, 23 February, 1887.

Ashbee's work at Toynbee Hall centered around his efforts to disseminate the ideas of John Ruskin. Shortly after arriving at the settlement, he delivered a series of lectures on Ruskin to workingmen's organizations throughout best London. He noted after his first lecture: "Ruskin is little known, but immensely appreciated, and they enjoyed the fiery humour of 'Fors'." The response to the second lecture was even more encouraging: "I lectured again last Sunday to an audience of about a hundred - very successful! Ruskin goes down like anything." In February he gloated: "Tonight I planted the standard of St. George in the Coop. Wholesale in Leman St. The Lecture took like powder. ... A working woman Miss Laurenson got up & made a charming speech. She had read 'Unto this Last' over & over again. Believing that Ruskin had a special message for members of the working classes. Ashbee organized a class which met each week to read Ruskin's works. Soon afterwards he established a second class for the study of design, attended by thirty students. In June 1887 he reported to a friend: "My classes at Toynbee are growing - the men & boys are very keen.\* These two classes provided

Ashbee, "Journal," 22 November 1886.

Ashbee to n.n. \_probably Roger ry], 30 November 1886.

<sup>31.</sup> 

Ashbee, "Journal," 16 February 1887.
Ashbee, An Endeavour towards the Teaching of John Ruskin and William Morris (London, 1901), 2.

Ashbee to n.n. /probably moger ry/, 8 June 1887.

the basis for the Guild and School of Handicraft, Ashbee's major accomplishment as a settlement resident. He first conceived of the undertaking in September 1887 when he noted in his journal, "a good evening, cheered by the love of my men and boys. The inauguration 34 of an Idea." Two months later, he discussed the project with William Morris:

William Morris and a great deal of cold water:
Spent last evening with him, - by appointment, a propos of 'Art Schools', He says it is useless,
and that I am about to do a thing with no basis
to do it on. ... If I could draw him it would be
thus, - a great soul rushing through space with
a halo of glory round him. ... I could not exchange a single argument with him till I granted
his whole position as a Socialist and then said:
'Look, I am going to forge a weapon for you; and thus I too work with you in the overthrow of
Society.' To which he replied, 'The weapon is too
small to be of any value.' How hard it is for a
great mind to see the single point of a lesser.
Were men of his metal we should need no weapons. 35

The Guild and School were formally opened on 23 June 1888 on the top floor of a warehouse in Commercial Street, close to Toynbee Hall. Forming part of the arts and craft movement, they sought to encourage the union of art and industry by enabling the tentral Enders to develop their creativity while acquiring the necessary technical skills. The Guild consisted of a group of workmen who produced and sold, on a cooperative basis, decorated metal and wood articles. Members of the

<sup>34.</sup> Ashbee, "Journal," 8 September 1887.

<sup>35.</sup> Op. cit., 5 December 1887.

<sup>36.</sup> Ashbee, Endeavour, 2-3.

Guild also taught in the school which began with fifty students.

Ashbee was thus satisfied with the relationships he had been able to form with individual working men and with the fact that his early work had laid the foundation for the Guild and School of Handicrafts. Nevertheless, his enthusiasm for Toynbee Hall did not last. Unfortunately, he rarely mentioned the settlement in his journal after February 1887 and it is not possible to trace the process of his disillusionment. His journal does show, however, that by December 1888 he had decided that he could fulfill his goals only by leaving Toynbee Hall. Lore rebellious, more democratic and more receptive to socialist ideas than the majority of other residents, he criticized the settlement's unwillingness to endorse bold measures of social reform. As he later recalled, he saw Toynbee Hall as the center of "feshionable" philanthropy, "poking and prying into other people's 38 lives" rather than establishing "human intimecies." Increasingly

<sup>37.</sup> Ashbee, A Description of the Work of the Guild of Handicraft, (London, 1902), 5-12; Ashbee, Endeavour, 1-9; Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1888), 9. The settlement sought to promote the early work of both the Guild end the School and employed their members to decorate the settlement dining room. Nevertheless, the formal connection between the two organizations ended with Ashbee's departure from Toynbee Hall in March 1889. The School was closed in 1895. (Ashbee, Endeavour, 5) In 1902 the Guild moved from Last London to Gloucestershire where the members were able to follow the example of Edward Carpenter, combining outdoor manual labor with their artistic work. (Ashbee, Description, 8-12; Ashbee, Endeavour, 36).

<sup>38.</sup> Ashbee. "Memoirs." I. 7-10.

elienated from most of the settlement workers, he also felt the lack 39 of any corporate life at Toynbee Hall. While the Guild and School of handicrafts, applying the ideas of Ruskin and Morris, expressed "the New Socialism," the settlement remained the embodiment of out40 moded, middle-class social ideals.

Ashbee left the settlement in April 1889, moving eastward to Stepney Green with four Toynbee Hall residents. In a note in his journal he listed the other original members of the 'colony' as Arthur Rogers, Hugh Fairfax-Cholmely, Arthur Pillans Leurie and Hubert 41 Llewellyn Smith. As a Yorkshire squire, Fairfax-Cholmely represented the type of man the founders of Toynbee Hall had glorified. The extent to which Ashbee's friends were consciously rebelling against the settlement is thus shown by the fact that while Fairfax-Cholmely considered residence at a university settlement to be in harmony with the ideas of his background, he believed that membership in Ashbee's community involved a complete break with his past. As he told Ashbee on April 17, his departure from the settlement would be 42 "a cutting asunder of all the old ties." Four days later he wrote:

Only if you had known all my past life and connectious, you would find that they are many things to go. I need not openly quarrel of course: but there are many things to part with for all that. ... But perhaps you will

<sup>39.</sup> Op. cit., 7.

<sup>40.</sup> Ashbee, "Journal," December 1888.

<sup>41.</sup> Op. cit., 25 March 188.

<sup>42.</sup> Hugh Fairfax-Cholmely to Ashbee, 17 April 1889.

realize that ... I have been brought up for twenty years among people who would cut me tomorrow if they knew half of what I think and say.  $^{43}$ 

A close friend of Ashbee and subsequently a distinguished scientist,

A.P. Laurie first became interested in social reform while reading

Progress and Poverty as a student at Cambridge: "Up to then I had

taken the social conditions for granted, or rather, absorbed in

44

science, had not been aware of their existence." Like Carpenter,

Laurie became an Extension lecturer after leaving Cambridge in order

to establish contact with workmen, but he too was disappointed by

the high social status of his students. "My audiences are cussedly

well dressed unfortunately," he complained to Ashbee. In 1887, while

working as a chemist in London, he established residence at Toynbee

Hall. He later recalled his reasons for leaving the settlement:

We liked East London, we had friends and interests there, but there was an atmosphere about Toynbee Hall which irritated us ... We wished a closer contact with the people and lives of East London, and more especially, the Labour leaders. As long as we lived in Toynbee Hall that was difficult.

It is likely that Hubert Llewellyn Smith was motivated by similar aims. An investigator for Charles Booth, he assisted sen Tillett during the 1889 London Bock Strike and subsequently wrote an account of it with Vaughan Nash. Two months after the end of the strike, Nash joined Ashbee's group in Stepney.

<sup>43.</sup> hugh Fairfax-Cholmely to Ashbee, 21 April 1889.

<sup>44.</sup> Laurie, Pictures and Politics, 57.

<sup>45.</sup> Laurie to Ashbee, n.d.

<sup>46.</sup> Laurie, Pictures and Politics, 73-74.

Despite the defection of a large group of residents, Bernett's faith in the value of the settlement remained unshaken. For over ten years, his letters to his brother expressed his pleasure with the large number of university graduates attracted by the work and his belief in their potentiality to transform Lest London. One month after the opening of the settlement, he wrote: "All are going well & working well together. Of course we shall have times of failure & depression but now we are gaining way." Within two months, he had grown more confident. Toynbee Hall would become "a centre to diffuse wermth as well as light, love as well as culture." In June 1889 two months after Ashbee's group departed, he still believed that "Toynbee might be extended & influence public opinion." In November 1895 he "realised once more its /the settlement's sbundance of life." In other letters Bernett used the terms "flourishing" 51 and "overflowing" to describe the settlement.

By the turn of the century, however, barnett had begun to recognize the chasm between his initial hopes and the actual achievements of Toynbee hall and to reappraise the value of a settlement.

In part, his new mood was conditioned by social and political events.

<sup>47.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 24 January 1885.

<sup>48.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 28 Merch 1885.

<sup>49.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 1 June 1889.

<sup>50.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 9 November 1895.

<sup>51.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 17 September 1887, 6 October 1888, 6 November 1889, 11 July 1896.

As a result of the Boer War, he had a feeling of malaise about British society and a new awareness of the need for radical solutions to the nation's problems. The formation of the Labour Party and the i ability of the Liberals to improve the condition of the people appeared to underline the inadequacy of personal relationships and private benevolence. But his discontent was also caused by his belief that Toynbee Hall had not kept pace with changing social forces. Its educational program, philanthropic activities and ties with workingmen's organisations were all at a low ebb. Because the majority of Oxford graduates no longer viewed social work in the East End as an exciting or even useful endeavour, the settlement had difficulty finding competent residents. True, Barnett believed that Toynbee Hall could claim credit for a number of significant reforms in East London. Toynbee Hall residents had helped to reveal the inadequacy of the region's communal services and to raise the level of its sanitation, housing and education. In 1898 Barnett could point with pride to an increased number of recreational facilities, open spaces and public bathhouses, to better school-buildings and to improved teaching methods and to the demolition of some of the worst slums. Whitechapel's death-rate fell from 26.0 per 1,000 in 1879 to 19.3 per 1,000 in 1899.

<sup>52.</sup> S.A.B., "Twenty-Five Years of Lest London," Contemporary Meview (August 1898), 280-89.

<sup>53.</sup> Japhson, Senitary Svolution, 391.

But Barnett hed always considered social harmony and the cultural and spiritual transformation of society to be Toynbee Hall's primary objectives and in 1900 these were still distant and visionary goals. It was clear that the settlement had proved unequal to the herculean tasks to which he had set it.

Barnett first noted his discontent in a letter to his brother in October 1897: "Toynbee has not yet found its legs & I don't see any ewolution in that direction. ... I expect I care too much & am too bissed to be a good midwife to new ideas wh. may be coming to the birth. At any rate at the present moment I don't know where Toynbee 54 is." By January 1899 he had become more convinced that Toynbee Hall's activities were not appropriate responses to East London's problams: "It is curious to note the change of society in wh. the place moves. The House floats down a river - the people who try to order its goings are the same but the currents are different - the 55 winds are different & the scene of the bank is different." He continued his nautical analogy a few months later: "I ... am still putting TH in order for its winter voyage ... I heve a good crew & am going to run the ship without changing either her shape or course - That must be done some day. It is curious how that has

<sup>54.</sup> D.A.B. to ..G.B., 9 October 1897.

<sup>55.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 22 January 1899.

56 changed." Toynbee nall's annual report of 1901 echoed parnett's discouragement:

In the early days a new enthusiasm lent a wider sweep to men's aims. The work took two directions; education on the one hand, co-operation with working-class movements on the other. Both were undertaken with the vigour which accompanies a new thing; both were successful, for a time at least.

Times change rapidly; the spirit of the 'eighties,' and even the early 'nineties', has already passed away. ...

... There is now, perhaps, less confident hope of great and far-reaching results. It has been felt that the ideals of seventeen years ago could be realised only in a more distant future, and after many years of slow and patient work. 57

Characteristically, he believed that only members of a younger generation could mould the settlement in accordance with present social thought. As he wrote to his brother in 1899, "there is nothing harder for us old pilots than to give up old methods but I em sure that it must be done ... If only I had a vigorous second I wld give him a free hand to change things to suit the present needs."

The following year he was still determined to try the experiment "of 1991 leaving everything to a new generation"; in 1901 he wrote that he 60 was looking "for new men with the new spirit." In the spring of 1903.

<sup>56.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 8 October 1899.

<sup>57.</sup> Seventeenth Annual Menort (1901), 26.

<sup>58.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 22 January 1899.

<sup>59.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 6 October 1900.

<sup>60.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 30 November 1901.

when permett selected W.H. Beveridge as his sub-warden and possible successor, he began to write more confidently about the future of the settlement.

Beveridge was born in Remgpur, India in 1879, the son of a judge in the Indian Civil Service. Returning to England for his education, he attended Charterhouse and, in 1897, entered Balliol College, Oxford. Years later Beveridge recalled that the most valuable advice he received in his youth was that of the Laster of Balliol, Edward Caird:

While you are at the University, ... your first duty is self culture, not politics or philanthropy. But when you have performed that duty and learned all that Oxford can teach you, then one thing that needs doing by some of you is to go and discover why, with so much wealth in pritain, there continues to be so much poverty and how poverty can be cured. 61

A friend and admirer of T.A. Green, Caird had been a leader of the university settlement movement in Scotland while Professor of Moral Philosophy at the iniversity of Glasgow. In Glasgow he helped to found and organize the early activities to Toynbee House, a settlement modelled on the Toynbee Hall, and aiming, as he remarked, "to bridge the gulf that separated the well-to-do from the poor, and foster mutual understanding and goodwill by social intercourse."

<sup>61.</sup> Quoted in neveridge, Power and Influence, 9.

<sup>62.</sup> Quoted in Henry Jones and John Henry Muirhead, The Life and Philosophy of Edward Caird (Glasgow, 1921), 115.

When Caird succeeded Jowett as Master of Balliol in 1893, thus becoming more firmly rooted in the tradition of T.H. Green, his interest in the settlement movement continued. He took the chair at a meeting of Toynbee Hall at Oxford, visited and lectured at the settlement and entertained the Barnetts when they came to Oxford.

In accordance with Caird's advice, Severidge devoted his years at Oxford to the pursuit of a liberal education. Entering as a mathematician, he soon switched to "Greats," in which he graduated in 1901 with first class honogras. His almost weekly letters home were filled with accounts of essays, lectures, discussions with friends, social calls and sports. He also wrote frequently about George Aliot, for whom he displayed a typical Victorian veneration. It is clear from a letter in which he described a meeting of friendly societies at Oxford that he was both ignorant of, and supercilious about, working-class organizations: "We have been overrun yesterday and today by the Society of Odd Fallows. ... What their precise purpose is, has not transpired (sic), to me, but I believe they are some class of benefit club. There has also arrived a party of the Fonourable and Ancient Order of the Foresters, who may have something to do with the Odd fellows."

<sup>63.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 11 August 1894, 10 February 1900; Jones and Muirhead, adward Caird, 149, 202, 210-11.
64. M.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 30 May 1898.

Occasionally, however, peveridge's letters did show the beginning of a social awareness. As early as 1898 he told his mother that he felt that he was

somewhat wasting my time here, not in the way of idleness, but I should like actually to do some practical social work. The fact is I feel so immensely responsible at having the opportunities always said to be afforded by a residence at Oxford in my grasp, and feel that I cannot be employing them probably.

Uncertain about his future he believed that he would be "much 65 happier if I knew that what I was doing would be directly useful."

By January, 1902, his sense of commitment to social investigation and reform had grown stronger:

I think that what perhaps is most of all demanded from ... my generation (while we are young at least) is a consideration of the big modern social questions & so I feel bound to join in that above all things. ... I have always seen two possible ways before me - one that of scholarship ... the other ... the chance of doing something immediately for this age. But I think that I can always come back to scholarship; for the present as I remember Fether often telling me the thing is to get some ideas about modern problems. 66

One month later, Beveridge organized a society at Oxford for the study of social questions with R.H. Tawney, his friend and future 67 brother-in-law. Perhaps because Beveridge was influenced by Caird,

<sup>65. #.</sup>H.B. to Annette beveridge, 13 February 1898.

<sup>66.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Deveridge, 19 Jamuary 1902.

<sup>67.</sup> d...B. to Annette Deveridge, 24 - ebruary 1902.

his first ventures in the field of sociel work centered on Toynbee
Hell. he spent two days at the settlement in January 1900, acted
as host to a group of Toynbee Hall students who were visiting Oxford
the following June, and in Lay 1901, considered working during the
summer as a visitor for the Children's Country Holidays Fund, organiza68
tion closely connected to Toynbee Hall. Nevertheless, in July 1902,
when Barnett offered peveridge a salaried position at Toynbee Hall,
69
he replied that he intended instead to read for the Bar.

Beveridge spent the year following his graduation preparing first at Oxford and then at rooms in the Temple for fellowship examinations. Receiving a fellowship in pertember 1902, he began to read in Chambers. Five months later, however, he decided that he would not become a practising barrister. He outlined his reasons in a letter filled with adolescent resolves and ideals. Uniquely, he was able to look back on it fifty years later as having provided the key 70 to his life. He criticized law because it was both "worldly in the extreme & remote from reality." The barrister's work was a mere "intellectual exercise", comparable to "the solving of endless chass problems"; moreover, it was "essentially solitary and self-centered

<sup>68.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 16 January 1900, 5 June 1900, 8 May 1901.

<sup>69.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 22 July 1902; d.H. Forbes to W.H.B., 23 July 1902; Beveridge, Power and Influence, 15.

<sup>70.</sup> Beveridge, Power and Influence, 15.

not to say selfish." Nor was Deveridge attracted by the "prize at the end", namely money and position:

That is to say one gets power in one shape and I suppose power is the thing that everybody desires to exercise. I too - but it is just that sort of power which rests upon money & position that I should care very little about; the power of knowledge and experience seems the only thing worth having.

He was already certain of the path his life would follow: "I think
I may take it that the one thing in which I am interested wholly &
completely is the getting to know something about human society &
71
working at some part of its machinery."

Beveridge elaborated his goals about a week later in answer to a letter from his father suggesting that he should become a professor of Law:

I have the conviction that in times such as these it is necessary for every man who possibly can to be out in the storm to some extent. The times seem stagment; people seem to sit waiting to see in what direction things will move and therefore now above all there seems need of an effort to make them move in a direction dictated by reason rather than by the line of least resistance.

If Beveridge devoted his time to writing a book of purely technical interest he might forget about the outside world but he would always 72 "awake from that forgetfulness to utter discontent & shame."

<sup>71.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 25 June 1903.

<sup>72.</sup> W.H.B. to Henry Beveridge, 3 rebruary 1903.

As alternatives to lew, weveridge first considered positions in local government and education. At the beginning of April, however, Bernett invited Beveridge to come and speak with him; at a meeting ten days later Barnett tentatively offered him the position of subwarden, which Beveridge accepted on April 27. Beveridge was as certain of what he intended to accomplish at Toynbee Hall as he was of his longer range goals. When he informed his mother that he was considering the sub-wardenship, he told her that the position would not entail responsibility for all the "casual settlement work" such as organizing clubs and lecturing to small classes: "I have extremely little faith in the directly profitable results of such work: whatever they are they are wholly inadequate to the labour." He stressed the ways in which the position would increase his abilities and further his career. First, he would receive "immediately practical experience in management organisation talking to & talking over people & the chance of really learning what I want to know about - the state of other kinds of people from my own kind." In addition, he would meet "the people who from my point of view are the right sort of people - and who should have the chance of many outlets when I knew what I wanted."

<sup>73.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 7 April 1903.

<sup>74.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 18 April 1903; W.H.B. to Henry Beveridge, 28 April 1903.

<sup>75.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 18 April 1903.

writing to his father soon after accepting the position,
Beveridge defined his ideal of a settlement:

I do Intreat you to believe that you cannot possible have a greater objections to 'soup kitchens' & 'genial smiles dispersed on horney handed mechanics' & sentimentalism generally than I have. I am not going to Toynbee Hall to devote myself to such things. If anyone ever thought that colossal evils could be remedied by small doses /of/ culture & charity & amiability I for one do not think so. The real use I wish to make of Toynbee & kindred institutions is as centres for the development of authoritative opinion on the problems of city life. 76

In a more self-righeous manner he wrote to his mother a few weeks

later: "I for one have no right to waste my education by becoming

77

an organiser of charity or children's holidays or missions!"

Beveridge claimed that Barnett "did not merely assent vaguely" to his interpretation of his role at the settlement "but agreed (or 78 seemed to agree) positively." In fact, a letter Barnett wrote to his brother two days earlier suggests that he was initially hesitant:

"We have been weighing a man as sub-warden. He is very able - a whale for work - with definite views of fitting up his life in social service but not very patient of his tools, not a lover of the man in the fool - I hardly know what to say - He might draw together a 79 more intellectual set but what abt dear boys, such as Douglas."

<sup>76.</sup> W.h.B. to henry Beveridge, 28 April 1903.

<sup>77. #.</sup>H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 11 kay 1903.

<sup>78.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>79.</sup> S.A.B. to r.G.B., 9 kay 1903. (F.E. Douglas was a leading resident at the time whose primary concern was to organize social and educational activities for pupil teachers.)

This letter foreshedowed the conflict about the aims of a settlement which was to dominate the next ten years of Toynbee Hall's history.

The residents who arrived at the settlement after peveridge debated whether they should follow his lead in emphasizing social investigation or whether they should attempt to fulfil the original aims of the founders by continuing to participate in practical philanthropy.

Bernett's own doubts about deveridge, however, so on disappeared.

At the end of May he told Beveridge that he hoped that young men would gather around him inaugurating "a new life" at the settlement "just as the first 13 men made the life which has gone on for the last 80

19 years." Although Beveridge's activities ran counter to the original meaning of a settlement, Bernett allied himself firmly with Beveridge's goels. his tenure as sub-warden should mark a turning point in the 81 history of Toynbee Hall.

<sup>80.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 21 hay 1903.

<sup>81.</sup> Beveridge was not of course the first worker at Poynbee Hall who was concerned with conducting research into current social problems. According to the "Articles of the Universities' Settlement Association," one of Poynbee Hall's aims was to "inquire into the condition of the poor." (Record, Vol. I, Po. 8 (May 1889), 96) Barnett himself strongly encouraged Charles Booth in his landmark investigation and two of Booth's leading assistants were H. Llewellyn Smith and Arnest Aves. What did distinguish Deveridge from earlier residents was that he emphasized social investigation to the exclusion of philanthropic activities and insisted that the aims of the settlement accordingly be reformulated.

82

Antering Toynbee Hall on 1 September 1903, Beveridge remained faithful to his ideal of the sub-warden of a settlement. True, he did perticipate in many typical settlement activities. He edited the Toynbee Hall Record, served a term as manager of a board school on Old Montagu Street, organized election campaigns for candidates for both the Stepney Borough Council and the London County Council. attended the meetings of, participated in a local working men's club and lectured to various organizations throughout East London. But he never forgot that these activities were not ends in themselves but rather the means by which he could gain the experience of leadership and an understanding of economic and social forces. Upon being appointed aditor of the Record he wrote: "I have never edited a paper before & I am very glad to have this chance both for the experience & because I think the second wants reforming bedly. Also I shall practice the art of self-expression." As a school manager he wrote less about his relationship with the students and teachers than about the power which the position gave him: "I ... /chose/ a head mistress for a board ochool from three ladies each old enough to be my mother." He only once mentioned an evening spent at a working men's club, noting merely that he was "acquiring an exhaustive knowledge of beer." After speaking

<sup>82.</sup> beveridge, "Diary," 1 september 1903.

<sup>83.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 22 September 1903.

<sup>84.</sup> N.H.B. to Annette Deveridge, 20 April 1904.

<sup>85.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 10 July 1904.

at the meeting of a local Ethical society he made this pronouncement: "No more 'popular' lecturing to Ethical or Self Improvement Societies for me; I have done my share & got experience!" His comment after attending a meeting of the British Institute of Social Service in July 1904 also shows that his disdain for the ordinary activities of a settlement did not diminish. He feared that the organization would become a center "for collecting information not on social subjects but on mere philanthropic activities ... As you know I don't think social work activities worth much study in themselves ... but useful first & foremost as themselves means of knowledge. " Perhaps because Beveridge was so determined to remain aloof from the typical endeavoors of Toynbee Hall, he learned little about the lives and attitudes of individual working men. In his letters he never mentioned a workman by name. On the rare occasions when he wrote about members of the community he told humorous stories illustrating the strange ways of working men. His view remained that of an outsider.

"'Economics & Industry' But why???" Beveridge asked himself in a note in his diary the day after he entered Toynbee hall. The primary goal of his four-year residence was to discover their relationship. On November 15, two and a half months after arriving, he reported to his mother on the progress of his quest:

W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 4 Larch 1905. 86.

W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 10 July 1904. W.H.B. to Annette severidge, 13 December 1903, 5 key 1904, 88. 1 October 1905; W.H.B. to Henry Beveridge, 10 February 1905.

<sup>89.</sup> Beveridge, "Diary," 2 September 1903.

During the week ... I have been in two ways concerned with the 'Unemployed' - first in getting signatures to a letter for the papers written by Canon Barnett (& touched up by me), proposing a really sensible & non philanthropic way of dealing with the problem & abolishing street processions; second in getting together a joint committee of Toynbee, Balliol House & Wadhem residents, which is to sit in the manner of a Royal Commission on the Unemployed examining in particular the various schemes proposed in the past. 90

In a letter to his brother a day earlier, Barnett had expressed his own approval of the direction of Beveridge's activities: "My young men are I think rising & I am more & more convinced that Toynbee 91 needs young men in the fort."

During the following few months Beveridge devoted most of his time to administering the Mansion House Fund of 1903-04. Sponsored by Canon Barnett, the Bishop of Stepney and other East London leaders, the Fund embodied Barnett's scheme for a farm colony, offering relief work in the country to the unemployed while supporting their 92 families at home. Although the project lested no more than a few months, it inaugurated Beveridge's career as an economist and provided the means by which he attempted to alter the function of Toynbee Hall.

<sup>90.</sup> W.h.B. to Annette Beveridge, 15 November 1903. (Balliol end Wedham Houses were hostels for students at the settlement).

<sup>91.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B. 14 November 1903.

<sup>92.</sup> In a subsequent chapter this project will be discussed in greater detail and its impact on governmental programs will be considered; here we are concerned only with the way in which the project influenced Beveridge himself.

Shortly before the project ended. Beveridge wrote to his mother: "I have been very busy studying the subject theoretically & have spent the last few days constructing the most glorious curves representing seasonal & cylical variations of employment, the effect of frost, strikes, etc., etc. I begin to think I know something!" A few months later Beveridge and H.R. Maynard, a second settlement resident, visited the men who had worked at the colony in order to discover whether the experience had broadened their prospects of employment. They found that, contrary to their expectations, few of the men had obtained anything but casual labour. In a series of articles in The Toynbee secord and in The Contemporary seview, severidge and Maynard claimed that the results of the survey revealed the necessity for a national system of farm training colonies supported by the state. The colonies would be compulsory for men who were considered "unemployable," men temporarily out of work could voluntarily reside at colonies with more favorable conditions. However, even while writing

<sup>93.</sup> 

<sup>\*.</sup>H.B. to Annette Severidge, 2 March 1904.
Beveridge and H.R. Maynard, "The Unemployed: Lessons of the 94. Mansion House Fund," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 629-38; "Unemployment in London," No. I: Deveridge, "Unemployment," Record (October 1904), 9-15, No. II: Maynard, "Mr. Long's Proposals," Record (November 1904), 23-26, No. III: Beveridge, "The Making of Paupers," Record (November 1904), 27-29, No. IV: Beveridge, "The Preservation of Afficiency," decord (December 1904), 43-47, No. V: Beveridge, "The westion of Disfranchisement," Record (March 1905), 100-102.

these articles, peveridge was awere that relief work could no longer provide en adequate solution to the problem of unemployment. As he wrote to his father in November 1904, "Compulsory colonies of course are not meant to be & could not possibly be a remedy for the problem of unemployment - i.e. of the fluctuations of trade throwing out of work people willing to work. This problem seems to me much the harder one & I certainly don't see where the remedy is yet." Beveridge's work in connection with the Mension House Fund thus led him to conclusions which later encouraged him to advocate labor exchanges and unemployment insurance. In 1953 he wrote: "I remember asking myself what had gone wrong with economic laws in East London; if there was no demand for these men who had been trained at the farm colonies why did not they either go away or starve and die? What kept them just alive where they were? From this came the theory of underemployment and the reserve of labour, as I developed it later in articles and lectures and in Unemployment: A Problem of Industry."

By the end of the Mansion House scheme, peveridge had begun to consider himself an important authority on economic problems. He was encouraged to believe that the articles he wrote at this time, analyzing the impact of the Fund, would be widely influential. In the middle of August 1904 Barnett asked peveridge if he had finished

<sup>95.</sup> w.H.B. to henry Beveridge, 19 November 1904.

<sup>96.</sup> everidge, Power and Influence, 24.

his first article on the unemployed: "There seems to be promise of trouble this winter & we must be ready." A few weeks later Barnett wrote to Beveridge that the problem of unemployment "is yours & Maynard's job whom I shall back with all my power." Soon after Beveridge's first article on the subject appeared in The Record, the publisher J.M. Dent wrote urging him to circulate it among social reformers and to consider expanding it into a book. During the following few months, moreover, Beveridge continued to make use of the information he had acquired as a director of the Mansion House Fund. In February 1905 Beveridge and two secretaries of Walter Long's Unemployment Fund, H.R. Maynard, a current Toynbee Hall resident, and Armest Aves, a former sub-warden, spent an evening with Bernett devising an Unemployed Bill to be presented first to Long and then to a Liberal V.P.: "It really is rather interesting being behind a person like Canon parnett, who (through Maynard) will instil wisdom into long, & on the other side has Herbert Damuel (M.P. for Whitechapel) coming down next Monday specially to be coached by him (& me) as to what he is to say in the House when the question comes up." The following month Barnett chose Beveridge to assist him while addressing a meeting of Liberal M.P.s at the House

<sup>97.</sup> S.A.B. to W.h.B., 20 August 1904.

<sup>98.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 6 september 1904.

<sup>99.</sup> J.M. Dent to W.H.B., 16 October 1904.

<sup>100.</sup> W.L.B. to Henry Beveridge, 10 February 1905.

of Commons on the problem of unemployment. "Some of the questions 101 Canon Barnett turned over to me," Beveridge proudly reported.

In letters to his parents during this period Beveridge mentioned with increasing frequency having lectured to groups throughout the country on various economic topics.

Barnett had originally hoped that Beveridge would devote his primary energy to the settlement itself. He believed that the Mansion House Fund was important partly because it could enable Beveridge to alter the direction of Toynbee Hall, substituting social investigations and experiments for some of the out-moded philanthropic activities. Barnett thus spent the months during which Beveridge executed the scheme in Italy in order that beveridge might have an opportunity to mould the settlement in accordance with his own ideals. At the end of January 1904 Barnett counselled:

I am writing to you abt. Unemployed & LCC but I don't forget that our - yours & mine - concern is the House. ... You said you wished advice fr. me, all I can say I have said & that is 'Remember to strengthen the House.' I was always struck by the way Jowett remembered Balliol. Whatever he undertook in public & for the public, he always made it lend to the glory of the college. So it seems to me that for his sake & for the future - whatever be the object for which it may be used, the House shid be strengthened. 102

At the conclusion of his trip, Bernett again wrote,

<sup>101.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 4 March 1905.

<sup>102.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 30 January 1904.

Our time is drawing to an end & our experiment will come to the judgment. For us it has, I think, been a success ... But the experiment was much more for the sake of giving Poynbee the means of "finding itself" than of giving us a holiday. Do you see any signs of its new life? "Things" are I know going well & the Bp. of Stepney has written to me his satisfaction re. the unemployed. That is a great matter but as I told you before it is the instrument - the House itself rather than the things it has done for wh. I care. Are you men at the centre conscious of a policy? Do you see more clearly what there is to be done? These are the sort of questions wh. my mind leeps to ask as time draws near.

Markby is here & we have been having some talks together. He with us is concerned for the future of Toynbee & recognises that new times demand new men & new ways. The experiment of leaving you has cost some anxiety but if the place gets the power of drawing in the enthusiasm of the time it will be more than repaid. 103

In a letter to his brother seven months later Barnett expressed his satisfaction with Beveridge's leadership: "Toynbee is going very well & the young men cheer me much. The new spirit is I think loaging to find a resting place in the old form."

However, as Beveridge became more concerned with the problems of unemployment, he gradually withdraw his interest from the settlement itself. In July 1905, when he was appointed secretary of the C.O.S. Committee on Unskilled Labour which investigated casual employment at the London docks, he resigned the position of sub-

<sup>103.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 19 March 1904. (Sir William Markby, a Fellow of Balliol and All Souls', Oxford, worked in support of Toynbee Hall at the University.)
104. S.A.B. to F.G.B., 29 October 1904.

105 In October 1905 Beveridge became a member of the staff of the Morning Post, responsible for its articles and leaders on social questions. "Just think of the people I should see & the wisdom I & the Canon, through me, should pump into the comfortable public about Poor Law, Trade Union Law, Unemployed, Garden Cities, Locomotion & Decentralisation." he wrote to his mother upon his One month later Beveridge was co-opted a member of appointment. the Central (Unemployed) Body for London. From then on, his connection with the settlement became more tenuous. He remained at Toynbee Hall until February 1907, but he regarded it as a useful place of residence rather than as a center of his concerns. In letters to his parents after the autumn of 1905 he rarely mentioned the settlement.

Although a resident for less than four years and sub-warden for only two, Beveridge left a strong impression on Toynbee Hall.

His demand that the settlement should emphasize social investigation rather than philanthropic activities motivated a large number of the residents who followed him. Moreover, it is likely that he was responsible for the residence of R.H. Tawney who also influenced the settlement during the period. Beveridge later remarked on the

<sup>105.</sup> Beveridge, Power and Influence, 32.

<sup>106.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 27 October 1905.

<sup>107.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 20 November 1905.

parallelisms between them: "Nearly everything worth while in our lives and choices of careers was common to us." Beveridge at Balliol, Tawney had also received Edward Caird's advice that men should investigate the causes of poverty after obtaining an Oxford education. One week after Beveridge entered Toynbee Hall, Tewney wrote: "How are you and Toynbee? At first. before you settle down to anything in particular it must feel a bit lonely. But I envy you having made the plunge. A few months later. having obtained the position of secretary of the Children's Country Holidays Fund, Tawney himself became a resident at the settlement. He summarized his impressions at the end of his first year. A settlement was "something deeper than the desire of certain persons of the 'leisured' class to reside in a district where leisure is a rare possession, to make the acquaintance of individual working men." It was useful primarily because it served as "a common repository of social knowledge." Speaking at Oxford a few months later, Tawney again echoed Beveridge's ideas. According to Beveridge he urged students "not to do good at Toynbee Hall, but, while doing good if & how they can, at least to put themselves in a position

<sup>108.</sup> Beveridge, Notes for a speech on R.H. Tawney, recorded 13 Merch 1962. Beveridge Papers, L.S.E.

<sup>109.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>110.</sup> R.H. Tawney to W.H.B., 8 September 1903.

<sup>111.</sup> Twentieth Annual Report (1904), 22.

112

to get some sound comprehensive social theory." While a resident, moreover, Tawney increasingly became involved in the field of adult education. Soon after Beveridge's appointment to the Morning Post, 113

Tawney joined the staff as Aducational Fritic. In September 1906 he told Beveridge that "teaching economics in an industrial town is 114

just what I want ultimately to do. As a leading tutor for the Workers' Educational Association from 1909 to 1914, Tawney helped to link Toynbee Hall with the most important adult educational program during the period.

In June, 1904, Barnett wrote to his brother, "We have now arranged that a man Harvey, a Queker -1st class Oxford comes in to Toynbee as Deputy Warden. I hope the younger generation will gather 115 round him." To Edmund Harvey arrived at Toynbee Hell less certain than either Beveridge or Ashbee of what he intended to accomplish there. His experience and attitudes are nevertheless significant because they are perhaps typical of a majority of residents. Unlike Ashbee, his activities in the field of social reform were the result not of rebellion or rejection but of continuity with his background;

<sup>112.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 28 November 1904.

<sup>113.</sup> Tawney succeeded beveridge as leader-writer in 1908; Tawney in turn was followed by A.R. Brooke, a third Toynbee hall resident. Although none of these men shared the conservative views of the paper, they were encouraged to express their own opinions on social questions.

<sup>114.</sup> Tawney to W.H.B., 20 Deptember 1906.

<sup>115.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 19 June 1904.

he became a reformer by loyally following the values and traditions of his upbringing and religious training. Like the settlement itself he was never willing to challenge fully the basic assumptions of a previous generation.

The nineteenth century was a period of isolation for the Society of Friends in Britain. A regulation in force until 1859, requiring Quakers to marry within the Society, encouraged the growth of large, closely-knit families. Excluded from the Universities and thus from a majority of professions, Quakers were deprived of most contact with adherents of other faiths. A large proportion of the most promising members of each family entered business, a field in which their pooled financial resources, talents and energies won them a high degree of success. By 1900 the Society of Friends was dominated by an aristocracy of wealthy, interrelated families, observing Quaker austerity while enjoying a considerable degree of material comfort.

The Harveys comprised such a family; its wide ramifications were always a source of pride to T. Edmund Harvey, one of its most distinguished members. He was born at Leeds in 1874, the eldest son of William Harvey, a linen manufacturer and of Anna Maria Harvey, the daughter of the Whitings, another prominent Quaker business 116 family. Through marriage the Harveys were closely connected with

<sup>116.</sup> Interview with Helen Thompson, sister-in-law of T.E. Harvey, 16 December 1966.

the Rowntrees; through common interests and participation in Quaker activities with such families as the Cadburys and Frys. In 1888, when T. E. Harvey was 14, his father was able to retire from business. From then on William Harvey provided a model of the wealthy, leisured philanthropist and civic leader whom the founders of Toynbee Hall frequently glorified. T. E. Harvey's brother later recalled his parents:

They were continuously busy, not only in the work of the Society, but in a multitude of philanthropic causes. There were public meetings, drawing-room meetings, committees and sub-committees to be attended, subscriptions to be given and appealed for, visiting Friends who were sometimes not entertaining to be entertained, hospitality to be provided for people who had lately appeared or would shortly appear on a platform, usually a honconformist platform, from which they would address solid Yorkshire Nonconformists on Opium, on Gambling, on the iniquities of the Trade (which should be controlled), on the blessings of Free Irade (which should not be controlled), on Peace, on Social Purity, on Foreign Missions. 117

William Harvey was a leader of the local Adult School, a member of most of the charitable institutions of the community, a Town Councillor 118 and a Poor Law Guardian.

Religion was the force which knit the family together; daily prayers and attendance at Quaker meetings formed the center of its life. Between 1881 and 1884 William Harvey was flerk of the

<sup>117.</sup> William Fryer Harvey, We Were Seven (London, 1936), 2-3. 118. Op. cit., 3-4.

Brighouse Monthly Meeting and subsequently served as clerk of the Yorkshire Quarterly Meeting. While still a young boy, T.E. Harvey began to accompany his parents to the "riends" meeting at Leeds. Known as a man of firm religious conviction, he always spent much of his time in the service of the Society. His letters to his family from Toynbee Hall were filled with accounts of the rriends' meetings and societies he had attended and of the numerous weakers with whom he maintained close contacts. At his death The Friend remarked that he "did very much throughout a long life to strengthen and enrich the witness of the Society of Friends ... His devotion to the pociety of Friends /wes/ shown both in eager care that its records should be preserved and studied ... and in steadfast support of contemporary Quaker activities." He observed Quaker habits as faithfully as Quaker rituals. He wrote to ask his father's advice about the wisdom of attending the theatre as late as 1898. a student at Oxford he joined a temperance society; Toynbee Hall, he found it worthy of comment that at the annual dinner of a group of settlement classes, three-fourths of the men "only

adward Milligan, "T. Edmund Harvey: His Life and Times" 119. (eight chapters in typescript; not yet published).

Reprint from The rriend, Vol. 113, No. 19 (13 May 1955). 120.

T.C.H. to William harvey, 16 January 1898.
Membership card\_of harvey Exford University Total Abstinance 122. Society, n.d. /1894?/. Harvey Papers, Friends House, London N.W. 1.

drank water, and of the remainder half drank only mineral waters & 123
ginger beer." When he became friends with men who smoked at Oxford,
124
his mother encouraged him to find non-smoking companions. When
his grandmother heard a rumor that he himself had begun to smoke
she wrote: "We do long dear led that thy example may be pure in
125
every respect and I think we cannot call smoking pure."

Harvey's early education, like that of all nineteenth-century Quakers, took place in the provinces. In 1887 he attended the York Friends' Boys School, which his father and both grandfathers had also attended. Known more popularly as Bootham, it was a small boarding school founded in 1822. a Quaker version of the contemporary public schools. In 1891, Harvey entered Yorkshire College, Leeds, a second typical choice for a Quaker of the period. Nevertheless, his career did not remain competely within the waker mold. By the time of his birth the isolation of the waker community had begun to diminish. Although some Friends took pride in preserving their sense of distinction from the rest of British society, others felt freer to participate in the world around them. In 1893, after spending four terms at Leeds, Harvey became a student at Christ Church, Oxford, thus taking advantage of his foremost opportunity to enter the mainstream of contemporary British life. he craduated in 1897 with first class honors in "Greats".

<sup>123.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. harvey, 26 January 1907.

<sup>124.</sup> Anna M. Harvey to T.E.H., 28 October 1894.

<sup>125.</sup> A.R. Whiting to T.E.H., 7 May 1896.

In another way also Harvey's generation differed from that of his parents. During the 1890's a number of his contemporaries. influenced by John Wilhelm Rowntree, began to question both the authoritarianism of the Society of rriends and its indifference to broad social questions. While remaining faithful to waker values, many younger members of the Society felt the need to make these values relevant to current social needs. T.E. Harvey was one of Rowntree's leading disciples. He participated in the first summer school which Rowntree established in 1897 for Biblical and social study. At its conclusion, he joined a Summer School Continuation Committee responsible for promoting additional summer schools, 128 reading circles and lecture courses. When downtree died suddenly in 1905. Harvey and eleven other young Friends organized a series of tramps through the northern countryside to disseminate Rowntree's Moreover, he remained concerned with reinterpreting and ideas. applying Rowntree's thought throughout his subsequent life.

During his last year at Oxford Harvey considered both 130 publishing and board school teaching as possible careers.

<sup>126.</sup> Richenda Scott, "Authority or Experience: John Wilhelm Rowntree and the Dilemma of Nineteenth Century Dritish Quakerism,"

Journal of the Friends Listorical Dociety, Vol. 49, No. 2

(Spring, 1960), 75-95.

<sup>127.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 9 August 1897, 15 August 1897.

<sup>128.</sup> Milligan, "T. Edmund harvey".

<sup>129.</sup> Rough draft of message from the organizing committee to other interested Friends, 28 June 1905. Earwey Papers.

<sup>130.</sup> William Harvey to T.E.H., 5 February 1897, 23 rebruary 1897; Anna M. Harvey to T.E.H., 23 rebruary 1897.

Still uncertain at the time of his graduation, he spent a year travelling and studying in Berlin and Paris. he returned in 1900 and on Larch 6 began work as an assistant in the Prints Department of the British Luseum. During the same month he established residence at Toynbee Hall. In June, however, he was appointed warden of Chalfont House, a small Quaker settlement in Bloomsbury, opened in 1894. The following day he wrote to his father:

You can imagine how overpowering \_the offer] was, even with all he did to minimise the onerousness of the task. ... I told him that someone older was needed & that ... I didn't think I would be fitted for the great responsibility. That might be a bit lessened by dropping the title 'warden' ... But still it would be, I fear, a burden for better shoulders than mine ... 131

Hervey accepted the position at the end of July elthough he still 132 felt "very incompetent and unworthy of the post."

Harvey's involvement in political activity began while he

was warden of Chalfont House. During his first years at the settleporticipated in the work of
ment he worked in sonnection with the Holborn Progressive Committee.

At the end of January 1904 he was selected by the Finsbury Progressives
134
as a candidate for the London County Council. Resigning his position
at the British Luseum in the beginning of February, he "plunged at
135
once into election work." he was successful in gaining a seat.

<sup>131.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 19 June 1900.

<sup>132.</sup> T.E.H. to William and Anna L. harvey, 20 July 1900.

<sup>133.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. harvey, 10 January 1904.

<sup>134.</sup> P.E.H. to William Harvey, 20 January 1904.

<sup>135.</sup> T.A.r. to Anna L. mervey, 7 rebruary 1904.

Although Harvey's initial residence at Toynbee Hall lasted no more than a few months, he maintained his contacts with the settlement during his stay at Chalfont House. In the Se tember after he left, he attended the opening "conversazione" of Toynbee classes and societies. Two months later he canvassed for a resident seeking a position on the school seard and delivered an address at a meeting of the settlement in Oxford: "On the whole I didn't find speaking as formidable as I had expected, and it was very enjoyable meeting the men, a very good set of fellows." After joining a gathering of old residents at the settlement he wrote that he "found it very stimulating ... and it was enjoyable to meet old friends agains. In June 1904, when it became clear that beveridge's interests no longer centered on Toynbee Hall, samett invited Harvey to become deputy-warden. harvey was as unsure about his ability to lead Toynbee Hall as he had been about his competency as warden of Chalfont house: "I went over on Thursday again to see the Canon ... I think I made him feel more doubtful as to whether I was equal ... to the height of the res ensibility." A few days later he wrote: "I went to see Canon barnett on rriday; he says all the members of

<sup>136.</sup> I.E.h. to William Larvey, 30 Deptember 1900.

<sup>137.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. harvey, 2 November 1900.

<sup>138.</sup> T.E.h. to william arvey, 28 November 1900.

<sup>139.</sup> T.E.h. to Anna M. harvey, 15 rebruary 1903.

<sup>140. 1.</sup>E.F. to Anna M. harvey, 13 June 1904.

the Council (including 3 Residents) to whom he has told the news have been very pleased with the suggestion: Sir Charles Elliott only wishes I had been a Churchman, but otherwise is pleased, and he would much prefer a quaker to a High Churchman, the Canon says!"

Hervey began his tenure as deputy-warden at the end of September.

In November 1906 he became warden, a position he held until his marriage in the summer of 1911.

Unlike Beveridge, Harvey was an enthusiastic participant in the settlement's traditional activities. As manager of the Baltic Street School he found pleasure in organizing excursions for groups of its students. A description of these outings was often the only reference he made to his life at the settlement i 1 ers to his parents. For example, in Lay 1905, he wrote: "I enjoyed taking my four boys to Kensington Gardens & Palace on Saturday; two of them had never been before to Hyde Park, though there are no open spaces in East Finsbury and hardly a green thing to be seen. Baines & I took another party first on Sunday afternoon to 143 the zoo." The following September he reported "a very warm greeting from my Baltic Street boys." He recognized the strength of his feelings for these students in 1907 when he was told he would be

<sup>141.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 19 June 1904. (Elliott was chairman of the Founcil of the settlem nt).

<sup>142.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 20 September 1904.

<sup>143.</sup> T.E.h. to Anna M. harvey, 6 May 1905.

<sup>144.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 24 September 1905.

transferred to another school: "I am afraid it will never be possible to take up the work in the same wey again." As late as 1913 he noted spending an afternoon "with one of my old Baltic Street boys."

But Hervey did not doubt that the problems of east London required more fundamental and farther reaching solutions. Significantly, John Wilhelm Rowntree, Harvey's mentor in the fields of both religion and social reform, had pointed out the inadequacy of prectical philanthropy. Like his brother Seebohm, whose classic investigation of poverty in York was published in 1901, he stressed the need for careful research into the causes of contemporary The philanthropic activities which preoccupied such problems. members of the Society of Friends as harvey's parents were no longer enough. In 1907, Harvey himself wrote in the settlement's annual report:

> More and more men realize that good intentions and generous sympathies will not suffice to heal our social evils. We need patient study, on every hand, the collecting of information, the observation of existing experiments and the laborious putting together of the isolated stores of knowledge and experience which existing social exencies already possess. 148

<sup>145.</sup> T.E.h. to Anna M. Harvey, 3 June 1907.

T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 23 . ebruary 1913. 146.

R. Scott, "Authority or experience," Journal of the Friends 147. Historical Society (Spring 1960), 91.

148. Twenty-Third Annual eport (1907), 3.

As a member of both local and national governmental bodies Harvey attempted to fill this need. He held his seat in the London County Council until 1907 and served on the Stepney Borough Council between 1910 and 1911. From 1906 to 1910 he was a member of the Central (Unemployed) Body for London, succeeding peveridge as Chairman of 149 the Employment Exchanges Committee in November 1907. In 1910, when Harvey was elected a Liberal Member of Parliament from West Leeds, his interests began to center almost completely on parliamentary affairs. Because his tenure as warden of Toynbee Hall spenned the period during which the major Liberal social legislation was emacted, he was able to bring the settlement into contact with governmental agencies concerned with the control of poverty. In this manner he continued the trend which Beveridge had begun.

Harvey's political views were similar to those of the majority of other residents. During the period when socialist and collectivist ideas were becoming increasingly popular, he remained faithful to the individualistic tenets of the Liberal Party.

Nevertheless, he also displayed some admiration for socialism.

As a student at Oxford he seriously considered joining the Pabian Society, one of the few steps of which his father disapproved when 150 asked for advice. In a note in her diary in May 1904, four months

<sup>149.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 3 November 1907.

<sup>150.</sup> William Harvey to T.E.H., 2 November 1895, 5 December 1896.

described described included him as one of a "new group of friendly young men disposed 151 to take our views seriously ... and anxious to see more of us."

While a resident at Toynbee Hall, Harvey often expressed his sympathy and respect for such East London labor leaders as Will Crooks and 152

George Lansbury. In March 1910, when Lansbury was elected a hember 153 of Perliament, Harvey sent him "hearty congratulations." More concretely, in December 1918, Harvey wrote to his father:

I have been feeling increasingly drawn towards the Labour Party during the last ten days ... In many ways the greater freedom of Liberalism, at least as it exists ideally, is preferable, but it looks increasingly as though Ll.G. has broken up the party and the other leaders have given out no great positive programme like the splendid Labour manifesto ... The international appeal of Labour, too, draws me very much and also our old Liberal leaders seem to be far behind it.

But Harvey was never able to sever his connection with the Liberal Party. His activities in the field of social reform were rooted in

<sup>151.</sup> B. Webb, Our Partnership (London, 1948), 291. The note continued: "The relation of man's mind to the universe is constantly present as a back-ground in my own thought, and with some of our more intimate acquaintances - with T.E. Harvey, Masterman, Haldane, Russell - I have long talks; but the subject bores Sidney as leading nowhere and as not capable of what he considers valid discussion" (Op. cit., 292).

<sup>152.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 9 May 1906; T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 11 February 1911.

<sup>153.</sup> T.E.H. to George Lensbury, 5 March 1910. George Lensbury Papers, L.S.E.

<sup>154.</sup> Quoted in Milligan, "T. Edmund Harvey."

his religious beliefs, in his family background and in his position in the quaker community; his radicalism was always limited by his respect for tradition. In this manner he thus typified the settlement which he led.

Harvey was succeeded as warden by Meurice Sirley. In the words of one former Toynbee Hall resident, Birley was "an old style 155 gentlemen whose conscience was touched." A men of independent means, he was the prototype of the leisured citizen whom the founders of the settlement had sought to reintegrate into working-class areas. His difficulties as warden lay in precisely this fact. At a time when a majority of the residents were concerned with investigating current problems and with applying new social legislation, he was preoccupied with boys' clubs and with a settlement branch of the Boy Scouts. He hoped to unify the different classes in friendship, not to frame social policy. Moreover, he was a modest and unassuming mem, with few leadership qualities. At the other residents wrote at the conclusion of his tenure, he was never able to obtain "the support and help for which he might have looked to us who have

In 1912 Canon Barnett urged the Council to appoint Alexander

Morris Carr-Saunders sub-warden as a means of supplementing Birley's

157

leadership and of broadening the scope of the settlement's concerns.

<sup>155.</sup> Interview with Sir E.C. Bligh.

<sup>156.</sup> Record, Vol. XXVI, No. 7 (April 1914), 81.

<sup>157.</sup> H.O.B. to Lord Milner, 23 May 1913. Toynbee Hall Records, G.L.C. Record Office.

His appointment, however, precipitated a crisis at the settlement. The residents split into two groups, each guided by a different conception of the meaning and purpose of a settlement. Those restdents who supported Birley agreed that the primary function of a settlement should be to engage in practical philanthropy. The second and large group, believing that the problems of East London were more complex than the founders of Toynbee Hall had realized. hoped to continue Beveridge's policy of emphasizing social investi-At ameeting of the Council on 2 June 1913, gation and reform. seven residents led by Carr-Saunders presented a confidential memorandum attempting to provide a "thorough review of the aims and work of Toynbee Hall." Such a reassessment was necessary because "Toynbee Hall has now been in existence for thirty years. During that period there have been significant changes not only in the social and educationel condition of the East End but also in the work of the settlement and the position of its residents. ... But there has been no general review and restatement of its position, no attempt to judge the new Toynbee Hall in the light of the new London." Moreover. there was a general feeling "that Toynbee Hall is not flourishing at the present time. There is a certain atmosphere of decay; Toynbee

<sup>158.</sup> Interview with Sir E.C. Bligh.

<sup>159.</sup> Memorandum, L. /.

<sup>160.</sup> Op. cit., 1.

Hell, in fact, seems to be living on its capital - on the reputa
lion which was built up during the earlier years of its existence."

The authors defined the goal of the settlement as social investigation and urged that new residents be men "with a serious and intelligent interest in the political, social and industrial problems of 162

the day." In particular, they recommended that the settlement publish a series of pemphlets, embodying the results of research conducted to the conducted series and organize conferences on current social 163 and economic problems.

This memorandum provided a distinction for the policy of
Toynbee Hall during the following months. Arriving at the settlement
in October 1913, anxious to devote his time to organizing boys'
clubs, desil Henriques found the other residents "fearfully
164
sociological." In December the council appointed John St. George
165
Heath warden, a position which he assumed in Merch 1914. As an
investigator of social problems and a participant in governmental
organizations, Heath undoubtedly intended to follow the lead of
166
Beveridge and Harvey. But the memorandum is also significant

<sup>161.</sup> Op. cit., 1

<sup>162.</sup> Op. cit., 2.

<sup>163.</sup> Op. cit., 14.

Basil L. Q. Henriques, The Indiscretions of a Warden (London, 1937), 36; Interview with Lady Rose Henriques (wife of Basil Henriques), 19 April, 1967.

<sup>165.</sup> T.E.H. to William Harvey, 8 December 1913.

<sup>166.</sup> A Quaker by conversion, Heath went to Oxford, where he was President of the Union. Graduating in 1905, he sent the following letter to Beveridge:

because its presentation marked the end of the first period of

Toynbee Hall's history. The memorandum was an acknowledgement of a

decline in the influence of the settlement and of its increasing

## 166. (continued)

I am writing to consult you as a father. I meant to stay up at Oxford a fifth year and take history but I have just received an offer which tempts me very much. Some of the residents in the West End of Sheffield. (principally business people but some connected with the University) are starting a settlement or Hall, something on the lines of Toynbee and have esked me to become the first Warden. At present this means simply being the secretary to their committee, but the future of the settlement would depend very largely on myself and I should have a great deal of initiative, more than I could hope to get elsewhere, at the age of twenty three ... Do you advise me to accept this. Personally I am very keen on doing so. But my people are sgainst it. They say what will it lead to? and I have to say frankly that I don't know and don't care. All that I want is to make myself more or less master of the social problems and this seems to me an excellent way of doing so. (John St. George Heeth to W.H.B., n.d.)

Heath followed Beveridge's example, becoming warden of the Neighbourhood Guild Settlement in Sheffield. He then spent six months in Germany studying social questions. Returning in the autumn of 1906, he was appointed Lecturer on Social Economics at the Woodbroke College, Birmingham. This was a Quaker College for advanced biblical and social studies founded in 1903 as an outgrowth of the summer schools organized by John Wilhelm Rowntree. An active participant in the summer schools, T.E. Harvey was also a member of the Woodbroke Council for a number of years. In July 1912 Heath was appointed Secretary of Lloyd George's Land Enquiry Committee, a position he held until he assumed the wardenship of Toynbee Hall. He was also an active member of the Morkers' Educational Association and of the British Committee of the International Association for Dealing with Unemployment. Soon after he became warden,

irrelevence to contemporary social reeds. Moreover, the council was forced to recognize the fact that the two groups of residents had become irreconciliable and could no longer function within the same institution. At the meeting of the council on 2 June 1913. both Birley and Carr-Saunders resigned. The latter left within a few days; Birley remained until the following March when he moved eastward to Limehouse where he sought to continue the social work 168 activities in which he had been engaged at Toynbee Hall. Although the settlement continued to operate until the outbreak of the First World dar, it did not succeed in regaining its former position. During the war it was moved to Poplar and partially disbanded. it was reopened in whitechapel in 1918, it was reconstructed in barmony with new social ideals and in order to meet the problems of an altered East London.

Heath decided to move Toynbee Hell to Poplar in order to inaugurate a new era. The experiment was not a success. The war drastically altered the condition of the country and Heath's own pacifist sentiments alienated many possible residents. He resigned the wardenship in 1917, obtaining a position in the Ministry of Labour. He died the following year.

<sup>167.</sup> T.E.H. to A. Irene Harvey (wife), 7 June 1913, 10 June 1913.

<sup>168.</sup> Interview with Sir E.C. Bligh.

## Chapter IV: The Settlement's Educational Program

Social reformers are frequently differentiated by their interpretation of the nature of social change. One group believes that political reform can significantly elter the structure and functioning of a society. The other insists that a spiritual renaissance must precede any real social advence and that it is therefore futile to attempt to change governing institutions and policies until the values, attitudes and even the character of the individuals comprising the society are transformed. The majority of early residents at Toynbas Hall clearly belonged to this second group. As missionaries in East London they saw themselves regenerating the community by inculcating what they believed were higher ideals. A large portion of the activities of the settlement before 1900 can in fact be considered an attempt to work out Matthew Arnold's theory of culture. The term culture represented all that was opposed to the vulgarity, materialism and spiritual emptiness of modern English society; it stood for reason, idealism, religion and tradition. Accepting this definition, the early settlement residents set themselves the task of making "the best that has been known and thought in the world current everywhere." Like Matthew Arnold, moreover, they did not believe that culture could spring from the daily life

<sup>1.</sup> Matthew Arnold, Culture end Anarchy, ed. J. Dover Wilson (Cambridge, 1935), 70.

and institutions of the mass of the population. They regarded East London as a <u>tabula rasa</u> and its inhabitants as possessing no indigenous culture; the advancement of the working classes was dependent upon their being brought into contact with men of superior education and breeding.

One way in which Toynbee Hall attempted to give East London its share in the best culture of the nation was by organizing popular lectures, concerts and art exhibitions. Of these, the art exhibitions were clearly the most important. They were founded in 1881 by Samuel Barnett, then vicar of St. Jude's. Although Toynbee Hall did not assume control of the exhibitions until 1894, the settlement residents took a leading role in both planning and superint ending them. The exhibitions were held for about two weeks each spring and consisted of about 250 paintings, largely by pre-

<sup>2.</sup> Matthew Arnold's personal relationship with Toynbee Hall is also significant. In December 1884 he attended the presentation of a mosaic in honor of Barnett at St. Jude's Church and spoke at a subsequent meeting held at the settlement. According to an account in The Times, "he was received with cheers" when he rose to speak and he recalled his own contact and interest in 'the behind-the-scenes' of English civilization." (Quoted in W.F. Connell, The Educational Thought and Influence of Matthew Arnold (London, 1950), 165n.) In 1886 a report which Arnold wrote on elementary education abroad was published by the Education Reform League, an organization under the auspices of Toynbee Hall. (Connell, Matthew Arnold, 141) H.V. Nevinson, an early worker at the settlement, later wrote that Toynbee Hall "had received the blessing of Matthew Arnold, who assured the immates that their names were written in the Book of Life." (Changes and Chances, 78) Tenth Annual Report (1894), 4.

<sup>4.</sup> Record, Vol. VII, No. 8 (key 1895), 102; Record, Vol. VIII, No. 8 (May 1896), 93.

many as 72,000 spectators, a significant proportion of whom were working-class residents of East London. The settlement never doubted that the shows were able to improve the characters of these viewers: moral and allegorical paintings in particular inspire, members of the working class with a sense of lofty ideal. One reporter of The Oxford Magazine confidently noted in 1884: "Those ... who noticed last year groups of rough hard-worked women becoming silent and calmed before Rossetti's Annunciation, can have no doubt of the refining influence of pictures." Mrs. Barnett was even more emphatic:

Mr. Schmalz's picture of 'For Ever' had one evening been beautifully explained, the room being crowded by some of the humblest people, who received the explanation with interest but in silence. ... I was standing outside the Exhibition in the helf-darkness, when two girls, hatless, with one shawl between them thrown round their shoulders, ceme out. They might not be living the worst life; but if not they were low down enough to be familiar with it, and to see in that the only relation between men and women. The idea of love lasting beyond this life, making eternity real, a spiritual bond between man and woman, had not occurred to them until the picture with the simple story was shown them. 'Real beautiful, ein't it all?' said one.
"'Ay, fine, but that 'For Ever' I did take on with that," was the answer."

<sup>5.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 8 (May 1892), 100; Record, Vol. VI, No. 8 (May 1894), 120; Record, Vol. X, No. 8 (May 1898), 102; Seventh Annual Report (1891), 40; Eighth Annual Report (1892), 26.

<sup>6.</sup> Oxford Magazine (23 April 1884), 176.

<sup>7.</sup> H.O. Barnett, "Pictures for the People," Cornhill Magazine (March 1883), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism, 183.

The art exhibitions, moreover, paved the way for the whitechapel Art Gallery, founded in 1901, largely through the efforts of Canon Barnett and other settlement residents. Music was also considered an important means of humanizing the life of the community. Classical concerts, begun in 1897, were performed by Oxford and Cambridge musical societies each Sunday afternoon during the winter months and attended by audiences numbering 250. The popularity of the concerts was sufficient proof to the settlement residents that working men were as capable as members of the upper classes of appreenwying "the best." "It is difficult to say how greatly they /the concerts/ are appreciated by the audience, who come from all parts of East London week by week," the settlement council wrote in 1910. "They give to men and women who have few opportunities of attending the great concerts in west London a chance to leave the racket of the streets, to get away from their crowded homes and the care and burden of industrial life, and ... to feel themselves

<sup>8.</sup> The Gallery still stands on whitechapel High Street, two blocks from Toynbes Hall. Although it serves a somewhat different purpose from that originally envisioned by the early settlement residents, it can in a sense be considered a testiment to their faith in the value of art in modern, mass society.

<sup>9.</sup> Sixteenth Annual Report (1900), 38; Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 37; Twentieth Annual Report (1904), 35; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 25; Twenty-second Annual Report (1906), 27; Twenty-Third Annual Report (1907), 43; Twenty-fourth Annual Report (1908), 41; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 36; Twenty-Fixth Annual Report (1910), 40; Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 41; Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 36.

freed from the fetters of circumstances and to realise faculties

10

of their natures which otherwise might have lain dormant."

Saturday evening lectures, generally on historical and literary topics, provided East Enders with an opportunity to hear such eminent figures as A.V. Dicey, Lealie Stephen, Arthur bidgwick and Frederic Harrison. By 1902 they were attended by an average of 200 hundred 11 people.

These popular cultural events, however, comprised only a subsidiary part of Toynbee Hall's educational program. Like Matthew Arnold, the residents generally identified culture with the ideal of Oxford. Until the turn of the century, the settlement was primarily a centre for adult education, offering university-level courses to East London's working-class population. Following the example of the London Working Men's College, established by a group of Christian Socialists in 1854, the settlement emphasized liberal and humane subjects rather than those which could be directly utilitarian. The courses should enrich the personal lives of the students, not help them to improve their social and economic positions. The residents' goal was to share with the underprivileged the knowledge and values they themselves had recently imbibed at the universities. Nevertheless, the residents did not fully distinguish

<sup>10.</sup> Twenty-sixth Annual ..enort (1910), 19.

<sup>11.</sup> Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 45.

between the Oxbridge ideal of a liberal education and the traditions and atmosphere of the institutions. They considered the social, collegiate life of Oxbridge a natural and integral part of the educational experience of every student without recognizing the extent to which these were irrelevant to members of the working class.

Hopefully, the settlement's courses would pave the way for a university in East London, modelled on Oxford and Cambridge, and adapted as little as possible to conform to the values of the community in which it would stand.

The greatest dilemma facing the early residents lay in this discrepancy between the aims of their educational program and the interests of its consumers. Although the residents disavowed any intention to stimulate social mobility, they in fact expected each student to become culturally middle class without affording him traditional middle-class rewards. But working mem wanted the material advantages Toynbee Hall residents had always enjoyed, not their attitudes, ways of thought or ideals. In place of liberal studies and a university social life, they demanded an education which could prove immediately useful. Like many reformers who have attempted to alter the values of others, the settlement residents thus found themselves rejected by the very men they had hoped to influence.

however, a number of residents sought to avoid this problem by redefining their objective. They spoke in terms of attracting not the average working man but "the best." As one resident wrote in 1886.

Our endeavour has been, and I think should continue to be to find out the best working men and women, and to interest them, leaving it in their hands to transmit the ideas worth preserving to those whose habits and thoughts are less in accord with our own. No doubt good work can be and has been done by direct contact with the classes holding the lowest moral stendards, but there is a disregard of economy of labour in such dealings, and vitality seems to pass out of the worker so quickly without a corresponding gain. 12

The settlement should concentrate on the men Matthew Arnold defined as "aliens," on those few men who had already rejected the dominant ideals of their class.

The tensions between the sims of the settlement residents and the demands of the community are illustrated by the courses the settlement offered in conjunction with the University Extension Movement. This ovement was organized by the universities during the early 1870's as a means of broadening their influence over the life of the nation. It began at Cambridge in 1873 and spread to London three years later; an Oxford society, established in 1878, began work in 1885. These various societies provided university-educated teachers for courses consisting of ten lectures in urban centifes throughout the country; discussion classes generally followed

<sup>12.</sup> loynbee Hall, The Work and hopes of the Universities' Settlement in East London (Oxford, 1887), 19.

the lectures, preparing students for examinations administered by the universities.

In the spring of 1877 Barnett helped to establish a Whitechepel center, under the direction of the London society. In October the first hundred students attended four courses at the London Hospital.

Four years later the courses were conducted at St. Jude's Church 14 and in 1885 the center moved to Toynbee Hall. Until the turn of the century, the Toynbee Hall Extension center offered four courses during each of three terms in literature, history and natural science. The peak year was 1891 when 542 students registered for the courses and an average of 327 attended them each week. In general, at least ten per cent of the students participated in the discussion classes following the lectures and sat for the examinations.

The early intentions of the Whitechapel center may be seen indirectly in the experience of one of its prominent students.

Frederick Rogers, subsequently a leader in the movement for old age pensions and chairman of the Lebour Representation Committee, has described the impact which the courses had on him in considerable detail. He was born in Whitechapel in 1846, the son of a docker, and began work at the age of ten as an errand boy. When he was 14,

<sup>13.</sup> Frederick Rogers, Labour, Life and Literature: Some Memories of Sixty Year's (London, 1913), 80.

<sup>14.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 1 (October 1888), 10; Record, Vol. IX, No. 5 (February 1897), 56.

<sup>15.</sup> Seventh Annual deport (1891), 22.

he undertook to learn book-binding, a trade with which he was associated during most of his subsequent life. Nevertheless, from an early age he evinced a strong interest in literature and read voraciously whenever he had an opportunity. In 1870 he spent his first holiday visiting Oxford. Many years later he phrased his reactions in just the terms which would have pleased Toynbee Hall residents:

It was early on bonday when I entered the city ... With the help of a popular guide-book, I was soon busy exploring its colleges and their gardens ... The spell of the streets soon began to work ... I had read of such streets, but had never beheld them before. No ambitious boy, coming to his university for the first time, felt a keener delight than I at all he saw ... it was a symbol of the intellectual growth of bengland for me; history and romance filled my mind, the phantoms of the great dead were with me, and a yearning to be, or to do, something worthy of those out of whose life the encient city grew possessed me, and so, dreaming, aspiring and enjoying my holiday passed.16

In 1877 Rogers attended the first public meeting of the Whitechapel branch of the University Extension Movement: "to me the speeches end the ideas they embodied came like a revelation which drew together and synthesized ideas that had been working for years in my imagination ... now had come the new movement which might bring me into touch with all I loved, and to this I resolved to devote myself." Like other Extension students, Rogers was particularly inspired by the lectures of the historian S.R. Gardiner:

<sup>16.</sup> Rogers, Labour, Life and Literature, 57. 17. Op. cit., 79 - 80.

"I had dreamed of what scholarship might be, and perhaps I had fancied myself a scholar; but now I was face to face with true 18 scholarship, and I sat silent and with bowed head." In 1878 Rogers became one of the secretaries of the center, responsible for introducing literature into its program. The following year he changed jobs, having secured a position with shorter hours. His increased leisure

gave a new zest to my University Extension work, and I was constantly in touch with the varied activities which clustered round the church work of the Rev. S.A. Barnett. I lectured often at workmen's clubs all over London ... and had many inspirations from his sermons at St. Jude's, which an hour later I carried on to the platform of some wellfilled workmen's hall. I left off talking politics and discussed education, history, and literature, and soon found eager listeners. It was at this time a desire, which afterwards became a passion, shaped itself in my mind of connecting, if I could, the various Labour movements which I instinctively saw were presently to mould the life of the nation with the finer elements in our national life - with religion, education, art and literature - and to that end I gradually worked. 19

Thus although Rogers, like other working men, was attracted to socialism in the 1880's, it

never gripped my imagination as it did that of my shopmates. This was because, when it arose as a new gospel of Labour, my mind was passing into a critical stage ... I had

<sup>18.</sup> Op. cit., 81.

<sup>19.</sup> Op. cit., 88.

begun to look at social problems from the human side, rather than from that of Machinery. I was greatly attracted by the early activities of the rabian Society... but the pull of Toynbee Hall was stronger. There I carried on the earlier traditions of my life, and sew later on that I was entirely right in doing so. 20

In 1883 Rogers spoke in support of Toynbee Hall at a meeting held at St. John's College, Oxford and wrote a pemphlet endorsing the new settlement movement. Three years later, he began to participate actively in the work of Toynbee Hall, becoming secretary of one of its literary societies.

Rogers is perhaps most important because he was the type of student many of Toynbee Hall's residents hoped to attract. Like the settlement itself, he represented a rebellion against the nine-teenth century assumption that a liberal education was proper to the upper classes alone. Moreover, he can be considered an example of Matthew Arnold's aliens; because he was inspired by the atmosphere and traditions of the older universities and was determined that the advancement of his because he was proper to state the advancement of his because he was inspired by the atmosphere and traditions of the older universities and was determined that the advancement of his be measured by spiritual rather than by material standards, his vision transcended narrow class interests.

In general, however, Toynbee Hall was not successful in attracting East London's working-class population. Throughout the country, Extension lectures appealed primarily to middle-class groups. Of the hundred students who enrolled for the first courses 21 in Whitechapel in 1877, only 12 were working men. In subsequent

<sup>20.</sup> Op. cit., 140.

<sup>21.</sup> Op. cit., 82.

years, a substantial proportion of the students were Board School teachers, most of whom were considered members of the lower-middle 22 class. As a result, the Extension courses had little impact on the immediate neighborhood of Toynbee Hall. According to an analysis of the student body in 1890, only half of the students were residents of either East London or Hackney; twenty per cent came from North London, ten percent from the south-east districts and 23 about five per cent from the western districts.

nature of its courses helped to determine the social composition of the students. The total annual expenses of the settlement's Extension program were about \$250\$, of which only \$50\$ came from \$24\$ outside subscriptions; the rest was raised by students' fees.

The minimum fee of one shilling for a course of lectures at Toynbee Hall was in fact lower than that charged by the majority of other centers but even this sum was prohibitive to working men, many of whom could not expect to earn more than twenty shillings a week.

Moreover, the students were encouraged to buy associates' tickets of five shillings to help to subsidize the center. In 1890 the center attempted to increase the number of associates' tickets

<sup>22.</sup> Eleventh Annual Report (1895), 33.

<sup>23.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 7 (April 1890), 74. (The article does not account for fifteen per cent of the students, many of whom probably lived in the suburbs.)

<sup>24.</sup> Fifth Annual Report (1889), 21.

although this policy "tended to circumscribe the classes from which the students are drawn. " During the following few years about thirty percent of the Extension students paid five shillings for the course. a sum far exceeding the means of the average workman. A course of lectures was even more expensive for those students who lived a substantial distance from the settlement. On an average, transportation cost them 8.53 d for each class or 3s 9 d for a full course. A significant proportion of students who bought the higher priced tickets thus paid as much as 8s 92d for a single series of Extension lectures.

Despite the predominance of middle-class students at Toynbee Hall, Barnett was at first convinced of the value of its educational program. In March 1885, a few months after the opening of the settlement, he told his brother that he looked forward to Toynbee Hall becoming "a real centre of learning." The early students and settlement workers shared his initial optimism. The Toynbee Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, dited by the journalist E.T. Cook and published during the term 1885-86 as the organ of the Toynbee Hall extension centre, most clearly expressed the spirit guiding

Seventh Annual Report (1891), 23. 25.

Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1888), 3; Record, Vol. I, 26. No. 4 (January 1889), 46; Fifth Annual deport (1889), 21; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 17.

<sup>27.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 9 (June 1890), 98. 28. S.A.B. to F.G.B., 28 March 1885.

the early work. The dominant tone was that of men embarking on an exciting adventure. The last page of the journal was devoted to quotes from such men as Ruskin, Carlyle, Bagehot and Toynbee, thus connecting the work of the center with the thought of great Victorians. Large segments of each issue recorded speeches by leading contemporary educationalists who stressed the long-range significance of the Extension lectures. The April 1886 issue contained a fanciful yet revealing letter by a correspondent from the United States in 1932 reporting a visit he had paid to the "University of East London", situated on a transformed Commercial Street. In handsome Gothic buildings surrounded by luxurious vegetation 400 clerks and mechanics from the neighborhood attended a six-year course of study. The students generally travelled for one month during the summer. Each week they held "spirited debates" in their "Union" and attended musical performances in a "lovely little Gothic church." About forty professors and tutors were associated with the university; the former devoted their full time to teaching and research but the tutors were largely mechanics, who worked in the same factories as the students. Most significantly, the lectures rooms were in "telephonic communication with Oxford and Cambridge" so that the best lectures from the universities were simultaneously conveyed to the students.

<sup>29. &</sup>quot;The University of East London," The Toynbes Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, Vol. I, No. 7 (April 1886), 57.

Barnett's satisfaction with the Extension program did not lest. As early as 1888 he wrote to his brother: "On Friday I went to a Univ. Extens. meeting ... The society wants the sight of another peak, it has reached the height it saw ten years ago & is now deceived in thinking itself at the top." During the 1890's, When the number of students attending Toynbee Hall's lectures began to decline, he became more discouraged. By 1899 the attendance had fallen to two-thirds of what it had been in 1891 and for the first time the settlement offered three extension lectures each term instead of the customary four. At the beginning of October 1901, Bernett told his brother: "The lectures are badly taken up & I fear it is our last year of the UES." He continued the following week: "We have had a good Toynbee week ... but some of the things in wh we believed are gone. It seems impossible to get people to lectures - We have 22 where we used to have a 100 - Of course one might condemn the times but it may be that conditions are changed."

Barnett believed that the ineffectuality of the Extension movement stemmed from its lack of co-ordination; it offered isolated miscellaneous lectures for adults with a casual interest in various subjects rather than intensive courses for the serious student.

A program which provided students with no more than a smattering of

<sup>30.</sup> 5.A.B. to F.G.B., 18 February 1888.

Record, Vol. XII, No. 1 (October 1889), 4; Fifteenth Annual 31. Report (1899), 26.

S.A.B. to F.G.B., 5 October 1901. 32.

<sup>33.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 12 October 1901.

culture could not effect the transformation of society he had envisioned. In 1892 the settlement thus began to continue courses for at least two years instead of concluding them at the end of 34 each term. A more significant innovation was the formation of tutorial classes in place of the lecture courses. The first of these classes, in history, began in October 1899 and lasted for 35 two years. By 1900 the settlement was organizing three tutorial classes each term, in history, literature and science. The classes were limited to ten or twelve students who agreed to devote considerable time to the systematic study of the subject.

In 1903 the settlement also participated in offering a fouryear course of study in history, literature and art established by
the newly reconstituted Extension Board of the University of London.
Soon after the program was announced, The Record commented: "This
means much for Toynbee people. It means that teachers and other
busy people may during four years follow a course of reading marked
out and approved by the highest authority as that most likely to
lead them to the heights where the view is far and the air is bracing.
It means that the teaching of Literature and History will be rescued
from dilettante listeners and be made as serious a study as that of
38
science." Barnett was also enthusiastic. He urged "the leaders

<sup>34.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 10 (July 1892), 123; Sixteenth Annual Report (1900), 17.

<sup>35.</sup> Record, Vol. AI, No. 9 (June 1898), 122; Record, Vol. XII, No. 2 (November 1899), 28; Record, Vol. XII, Vol. 4 (Jan. 1899), 51.

<sup>36.</sup> Sixteenth Annual Report (1900), 7.

<sup>37.</sup> Record, Vol. AIII, No. 1 (October 1900), 5.

<sup>38.</sup> Record, Vol. XV, No. 8 (May 1903), 96.

•

of opinion" to give "bold advertisement" to the scheme in order

that "the imagination of "ondoners may be struck and students collec39

ted." A month before the new courses began at Toynbee Hall he
40

asked peveridge if the program had "set you on fire?" Beveridge,
as usual, was more skeptical. He wrote to his sister that the

syllabus of the new lectures "simply flabbergasted the feducation"

committee ... the net result is that we have a lecture on "Social

Forces in bodern Literature" which begins at 1700 & ends at 1820

& is appllingly learned & literary. It will be about two miles
41
& a half above the heads of any possible audience to be got here."

In 1905 Beveridge and Tawney made a final attempt to revive the popularity of the University Extension program by lecturing on "Labour and the Law" and "Social Aspects of Industry", two topics which they believed were directly related to the current social and economic interests of the working classes. A few days before the term began, Beveridge wrote to his mother: "I know at least one student who has paid his shilling to hear us; in fact I caught him last night & made him sign on then & there ... I am told that others are coming too; but I still firmly believe that neither of 43 us will get an audience above six." He need not have been so

<sup>39.</sup> S.A. Bernett, "A College of the Humanities," (originally published 1903), reprinted in H.O. and S.A. Bernett, Towards Social Reform, 225.

<sup>40.</sup> S.A.B. to W.H.B., 5 September 1903.

<sup>41.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Jeanie Beveridge, 8 September 1903.

<sup>42.</sup> Record, Vol. XVII, No. 1 (October 1905), 3.

<sup>43.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette severidge, 1 October 1905.

discouraged. His lectures were among the most successful held at Toynbee Hall that term and similar courses were offered during subsequent years.

Nevertheless, the Extension program never regained its former position at the settlement. The residents had perhaps waited too long to seek to attract a new group of working-class students.

Despite attempts to publicize the new programs, no more than forty students a term attended either the new humanities lectures or the social and economic lectures. In 1913 a group of residents reported:

"The University Extension lectures ... are a complete failure from the point of view of numbers; they have ceased also to attract serious students. The few who attend them seem to come rather with a vague desire for a pleasant evening than for any other reason."

By 1914, the Extension courses represented only a peripheral aspect of Toynbee Hall's activities.

Toynbee Hall's efforts to broaden the scope and influence of its Extension program did however have a long-range importance. The settlement formed one link between the Extension movement and the Morkers' Educational Association, founded by Albert Mansbridge in 1903 and generally considered the most significant organization within the adult education movement in the twentieth century. Mensbridge himself later noted that the idea of the WEA was "in

<sup>44.</sup> Memorandum, 3.

line with ... Barnett's well known efforts at Toynbee Hall."

In particular, he stressed Barnett's experiment in modifying the Extension program by providing opportunities for systematic and 46 continuous study; it is likely that he modelled his tutorial classes, the core of the early work of the W.E.A., on those which Barnett had established at Toynbee Hall years before. Assistings had contact with the settlement from an early age, having heard about it from his mother, a member of a co-operative society which met at 48

Toynbee Hall. In 1897 Mansbridge became an employee of the Co-operative Wholesale Society, situated a few blocks from Toynbee Hall, and attended the settlement's art exhibitions and extension 49 courses.

Mansbridge's writings on education reflected the influence of Barnett. Like parnett, he believed that education was ideally a spiritual experience, enriching men's personal lives rather than enabling them to better their economic or social positions. Then too, Mansbridge hoped that the W.E.A. would unify the different

<sup>45.</sup> Albert Mansbridge, The Trodden Road: Experience, Inspiration and Belief (London, 1940), 60.

<sup>46.</sup> Op. cit., 66.

<sup>47.</sup> Mensbridge later wrote that the idea of the tutorial classes "erose in London; Oxford and Rochdele developed it and laid down the lines of its work." (Quoted in H.P. Smith, Labour and Learning: Albert hensbridge, Oxford and the W.E.A. (Oxford, 1956), 13.

<sup>48.</sup> H.P. Smith, Labour and Learning, 8.

<sup>49.</sup> Mansbridge, An Adventure in Working-Class Education: Being the Story of the Workers' Educational Association, 1903-1915 (London, 1920), 10; Pimlott, Toynbee hell, 147.

Bernett also offered the W.E.A. concrete assistance, securing financial sid, helping it to establish ties with the University of London and organizing both a series of lectures at Westminster Abbey 51 and pioneer classes in the south of London. With his encouragement, other men closely connected to Toynbee Hall assumed leading roles in the early history of the new Association. The first meeting of its provisional committee met in F.E. Douglas' rooms at Toynbee 52 Hall in July 1903. The W.E.A.'s earliest supporters at Oxford included Sidney Ball and A.L. Smith both of whom had actively emcouraged university graduates to become residents of the settlement.

<sup>50.</sup> Mansbridge, Fellow Men: A Gallery of England, 1876-1946 (London, 1948), 61.

<sup>51.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 27 April 1907; Mansbridge, Adventure, 20; Mansbridge, <u>fellow Men</u>, 61-62; Mansbridge, <u>Trodden Road</u>, 62.

<sup>52.</sup> Mensbridge, Adventure, 12; Mensbridge, Trodden Road, 60.

W.M. Childs, the Principal of the University College at Reading and a former Toynbee Hall resident, helped to devise the constitution of the W.E.A. and to establish its first branch. R.D. Roberts, then Registrar of the University of London extension Board, assisted Canon Barnett in organizing the classes in South London, the first of which was taught by David Macgregor, of former resident; in April 1908 Beveridge was elected treasurer of the South London 55 branch. As Secretary of the Board of Education, Robert Morant was instrumental in providing governmental assistance to the new move—56 ment. During part of his tenure as warden of Toynbee Hall, T.E. Harvey served as honorary treasurer of the Association, a position 57 to which he devoted considerable time and energy. Above all, R.H. Tawney dominated the history of the W.E.A. He was the first tutor of the experimental Longton and Rochdale classes and remained a foremost leader of the Association throughout his subsequent life.

But the connection between the settlement and the W.E.A. should not be overemphasized. The W.E.A. was not only a natural continuation of existing University Extension programs but a revolt against the whole Extension movement and a denial of its appropriateness to the needs of the time. Founded and directed by upper-class

<sup>53.</sup> Mansbridge, Fellow Len, 20; Mansbridge, Trodden Road, 207-10.

<sup>54.</sup> Mansbridge, Trodden Road, 66.

<sup>55.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette peveridge, 14 April 1908.

<sup>56.</sup> Mansbridge, Adventure, 17; Mansbridge, Fellow Men, 23; Mansbridge Trodden Road, 67, 160.

<sup>57.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 11 October 1908, 10 July 1909, 9 July 1910, 17 January 1911; T.E.H. to William Harvey, 27 February 1909, 17 October 1909, 19 October 1910.

men convinced that they had the right to make decisions for the underprivileged, the Axtension movement always retained a patroni-Sing spirit. As a product of the social and political ferment of the early 1900's, the W.E.A., on the other hand attempted to unify the universities and the working-class movement in an equal partnership. The governing council consisted of an equal number of representatives from both the universities and workmen's organizations. The tutorial classes can be considered an early example of student power; they were democratic and self-governing bodies in which the students had a controlling voice in the appointment of teachers and the selection of subject matter. Moreover, the W.E.A. focused its activities on the working classes. It appealed specifically to those workmen who had received an elementary education following the Education Act of 1870 and sought more advanced instruction to prepare themselves for the new political responsibilities they hoped to assume. In place of the cultural lectures sponsored by the Extension movement, the W.E.A. established classes concerned with the contemporary social and economic problems directly affecting the lives of the working classes.

Toynbee Hall was more in harmony with the ideals of the Extension movement than with those of the W.E.A. Significantly,

<sup>58.</sup> J.K.C. Harrison, Learning and Living, 1790-1960: A Study of the History of the English Adult Education Levement (London, 1961), 261-75; H.P. Smith, Labour and Learning.

the institutional ties between Toynbee Hall and the M.E.A. were
never as strong as they had been between the settlement and the
London Extension Society. The settlement did not attempt to become
the East End center of the new movement or to merge part of its
educational program with that of the W.E.A. Although a W.E.A.
tutorial class, consisting of twenty-five members of the Co-operative
Wholesale Society, met at Toynbee Hall in 1912, it was not conducted
59
by a settlement worker. The few residents who did lead tutorial
classes between 1910 and 1913 held them in other parts of London.
The residents who presented a confidential memorandum to the
\$\beta\$\text{Stitlement \$\psi\$ buncil in 1913 noted that the W.E.A. captured exactly
the type of student the settlement had consistently sought to
61
sttrect. But in a movement from below Toynbee Hall could have only
a minimal role to play.

The history of the small classes and reading parties taught by Toynbee Hall residents parallels that of the settlement's Extension program. By the term of 1888-89 the settlement was able to offer thirty-five such courses, half of which were in either English literature or foreign languages; other subjects included

<sup>59.</sup> Record, Vol. XXV, No. 1 (October 1912), 2; Record, Vol. XXV,
No. 5 (February 1913), 67; Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 12.
60. Memorandum, 4; Interview with Sir. E.C. Bligh; Record, Vol. XXV
No. 8 (May 1913), 114; Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 16;
Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 9; Mansbridge, Fallow Men, 61.
61. Memorandum, 14.

science, philosophy, music and history. The settlement emphasized the distinction between these courses and the lectures held under the auspices of the University Extension Society. Smaller and less formal than the lectures, the classes were expected to promote close relationships between the residents and East Enders: "The 'method of friendship' has been as much kept in sight as the positive communication of knowledge. Whilst instruction is given and received. the main object in view is the establishment of friendship and sympathy between the teachers and the taught." But the classes encountered the same problems as the Extension lectures in attracting the working-class population of East London. The students were largely teachers and clerks, few of whom lived in the immediate neighborhood of the settlement. Moreover, although 400 students attended the classes in 1889, the numbers began to fall shortly afterwards. In 1893 the average weekly attendance was 307 and in 1901 it was 250. In 1910 the settlement organized only seven classes, attended by fewer than 40 students.

<sup>62.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (October 1888).

<sup>63.</sup> Third Annual Report (1887), 15.

<sup>64.</sup> Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 19; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 16.

<sup>65.</sup> Sixth Annual Report (1890), 19.

<sup>66.</sup> Ninth Annual Report (1893), 38.

<sup>67.</sup> Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 17.

<sup>68.</sup> Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 17. (The Report also lists both a choral and a drawing class but these were in fact self-governing societies affiliated to the settlement.)

The conflict between the ideals of the settlement residents and the interests of the community in which they worked were also illustrated by the settlement's one attempt to provide elementary and commercial classes for men. The classes were organized in 1893 when a new Evening School Code enabled adult students to receive state aid. The staff comprised teachers from Board Schools and a few Toynbee Hall residents; a grant from the Board of Education provided half of the finances. In the autumn of 1893 between eight een and twenty men attended the classes each night. By 1900 the average weekly attendence had risen to about forty. Reorganized in 1902, the classes soon ranked as the most popular educational venture at the settlement. The number of students rose from 150 in 1902 to 347 in 1913, a year in which few students still attended either the Extension lectures or the smaller classes and reading parties. Moreover, these classes were far more successful than any other educational endeavor in attracting working-class residents of East London. Of the 440 students who attended the classes between 1905 and 1908, 152, or 35% were manual workers and 347, or 79%, lived in East London.

<sup>69.</sup> Necord, Vol. VII, No. 4 (January 1895), 56.

<sup>70.</sup> Twenty-fourth Annual Memort (1908), 16.

<sup>71.</sup> Twenty-first Annual deport (1905), 21.

<sup>72.</sup> Record, Vol. VII, No. 4 (January 1895), 56.

<sup>73.</sup> Sixteenth Annual Report (1900), 38.

<sup>74.</sup> Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 22; Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 13.

<sup>75.</sup> Twenty-fourth Annual Report (1908), 16.

But, though satisfactory to the community, the classes did little to fulfill the mission which the settlement residents had set themselves. While emphasizing elementary and commercial subjects, the curriculum included only a few of those subjects considered more fitting to a 'university' settlement such as Anglish literature and history. Some residents nevertheless believed that the courses furthered Toynbee Hall's goals in two ways. Because they drew a large number of workmen to the settlement each night the courses promoted intercourse between members of different social classes. Moreover, the courses brought a new group of East Enders within reach of Toynbee Hall's influence. Students who might initially be motivated by a narrow desire for utilitarian instruction could imbibe from their surroundings a sense of the higher values of a liberal education. Although a disappointingly large proportion of the students continued to concentrate solely on commercial subjects, the residents periodically noted progress. In 1909 the Record remarked on the growing popularity of the literature and art courses which pointed to the "emended ideals" of the students. Two years later the settlement announced that "the broadening outlook of the Men's Classes", bringing them "more closely in touch with the more humane studies that have always characterised the Toynbee classes proper," had encouraged the residents to unite the two types of

<sup>76.</sup> Record, Vol. CAII, No. 1 (October 1909), 16.

classes under one committee. The seven residents who presented a confidential memorandum to the Council of Toynbee Hall in June 1913, however, were far less sanguine about the possibility of altering the values and ways of thought of these working-class students.

They argued that the "students who attend commercial classes are quite out of touch with the general work of the Settlement." Despite the encouragement of their teachers "very few indeed ever pass into any other class, and they are, moreover, drawn from a type that is as little susceptible as any would be to the influence of such 78 ideals as Toynbee Hall seeks to promote." The following september 79 the settlement decided to discontinue the classes.

Toynbee Hall's various categories of lectures and classes formed only one segment of the educational program. The social activities of the settlement, like those of the universities on which it was modelled, were believed to be as significant as the more structured and formal courses. The residents were proud of the large number of self-governing societies connected with the settlement and of the corporate, or collegiate, spirit they encouraged. It will be seen, however, that the mass of East Enders considered a university social life even more irrelevant to their interests than lectures on cultural subjects.

<sup>77.</sup> Twenty-seventh Annual Report (1911), 12.

<sup>78.</sup> Memorandum, 3.

<sup>79.</sup> Record, Vol. XXVI, No. 1 (October 1913), 2.

The Students' Union, open to all students at Toynbee Hall, was the largest and most important society. Its membership reflected the attendance at the extension lectures during the period; it comprised 500 students in 1894, 400 in 1898, and no more than 250 after 1900. Its founding in 1885 was motivated by a belief that "if the students could occasionally meet together in some place other than the lecture-room, with opportunities for social intercourse, one step nearer University education would have been made." Each October the Union sponsored an opening "conversazione". attended by as many as 1,200 students. Throughout the subsequent winter months the Union held smaller social gatherings and during the spring and summer it organized excursions throughout London. The high-point of the year's activity was a trip to either Oxford or Cambridge on Whit Monday when about 100 students toured the campus and received the hospitality of undergraduates. The settlement claimed that the trip served a valuable purpose, allowing East Enders to imbibe the ethos of the universities and promoting intercourse between the working classes and university students. As

<sup>80.</sup> Record, Vol. VI, No. 4 (January 1894), 53.

<sup>81.</sup> Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40.

<sup>82.</sup> Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 17; Eight eenth Annual Report (1902), 45; Twentieth Annual Report (1904), 38; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 27; Twenty-second Annual Report (1906), 29; Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 43.

<sup>83.</sup> Toynbee Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, Vol. I, No. 1 (October 1885), 5.

<sup>84.</sup> Record, Vol. VI, No. 2 (November 1893), 24.

Canon Barnett wrote in 1902, it provided "ample opportunity for exchange of experience" and left "memories, which in after years help to draw classes to a better understanding." But a letter which Beveridge wrote to his mother after entertaining a group of Toynbee students suggests that the expedition did little to dispel the prejudices of even the most conscientious undergraduates about \*ast Enders. When he joined the students in the early afternoon he "found that they had all emphatically had enough of college inspection in the morning. N.B. they had only seen three whereas Uncle David by comparison with them aged & presumably feeble got through 17 before 10 a.m." Beveridge therefore suggested that the group spend the afternoon punting on the river. "They were most charmed at the prospect - also extremely witty at the idea of going on the water & encountering the perils of the deep." Nevertheless, the students "behaved most excellently" although "their habit of commenting freely or admiringly on passing cenoes and their occupants was at times embarrassing, though these comments were not at all ill meant and in themselves quite polite!" Beveridge's last task was to provide tea for the students in his rooms. "Finally the whole troop departed about eight o'clock; I think my party at any rate enjoyed themselves, and we managed to get quite sufficient conversation at tea to prevent emberassment."

<sup>85.</sup> Nineteenth Annual Report (1902), 16.

<sup>86.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge. 5 June 1900.

Most of the societies affiliated to the settlement attempted more directly to combine education and fellowship. Members of the Adam Smith Club, founded in conjunction with an early Whitechapel extension course, read The wealth of Nations together and discussed the various economic questions which it suggested. Although the club was one of the earliest to establish itself at Toynbee Hall, its connection with the settlement lasted only a few years; in 1892 it began to meet in the south of London. The Economics Club, which was more closely linked with the settlement, displayed a broader interest in social and economic problems. It was founded in 1891 when papers on such topics as trades unionism and General Booth's unemployment scheme were read and discussed at monthly meetings. Following its reorganization in 1899, the club chose one subject each term on which the members organized lectures and conferences and occasionally undertook their own investigations. Although The club was one of the few societies at the settlement directly concerned with the problems of East London, it was not a success. It ceased to hold meetings in 1903, resuming them again for only two years in 1906.

<sup>87.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1882), 2; Record, Vol. IX, No. 5 (February 1896), 55.

<sup>88.</sup> Record, Vol. VIII, No. 2 (November 1895), 23; Seventh Annual Report (1892), 38.

<sup>89.</sup> Record, Vol. III, No. 6 (March 1891), 62; Record, Vol. III, No. 7 (April 1891), 74.

<sup>90.</sup> Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 42.

<sup>91.</sup> Twenty-second Annual Report (1906), 37.

Other educational societies sought to fulfill the settlement's goal of bringing culture to the masses of East London. A Shake speare Club was founded in 1884 by Sidney Lee whose patronizing attitude was perhaps typical of many early settlement workers. He believed that

Shake speareen Clubs may offer them /East Enders/
a novel and valuable recreation and may aid
appreciably in eliminating from their social
life the meny evils that spring out of their
inability to amuse themselves rationally. To
encourage a taste for literature, moreover,
emong those whose daily occupations have nothing
about them that is morally or intellectually
stimulating is to discover for them a new world,
capable of breeding in them a fruitful disgust
for the mortifying taints of the old one. 92

The club consisted of about forty members who met once a week to read and discuss Shakespeare's plays and performed one play each 93 Christmas for the benefit of the other settlement students.

Sidney Lee was also the president of the klizabethem Literary Society; for many years he was assisted by Frederick Rogers and Ernest Baker, an East London errand boy. Established in 1886, the society held weekly reading parties and organized monthly lectures by distinguished scholars. With a membership averaging 50, it was considered one of

<sup>92.</sup> Sidney Lee, "Shakespeare in East London," Oxford Magazine (4 June 1884), 289.

<sup>93.</sup> Memorandum, 5; Thirteenth Annual Report (1896), 36; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 42; Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 17; sighteenth Annual Report (1902), 38; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 27; Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 29; Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 41.

the most consistently productive clubs connected with Toynbee Hall.

But it had little impact on East London. It drew its members

increasingly from other areas and in 1903 it moved to King's College,

95

London.

Two other literary societies met at Toynbee Hall during the 1890's. A Liberary Readers' Union was established in 1892 to "bring 96 readers together for literary and social discussions." It flourished until 1902 and had an average membership of 57. Between 1893 and 1895 the Literary Association, composed of members of all literary societies and classes held at the settlement, sponsored lectures and study groups, held social gatherings and organized excursions 98 to places of literary significance. A Musical Club, founded in 1901, and a more successful Art Students Club, with members by 1912, also sought to spread an interest in culture among the 99 residents of East London.

<sup>94.</sup> Rogers, Labour, Life and Literature, 156-71; Seventh Annual
Report (1891), 51; Eighth Annual Report (1892), 38; Fourteenth
Annual Report (1898), 40.

<sup>95.</sup> Nineteenth Annual Report (1903), 15.

<sup>96.</sup> Eleventh Annual Report (1895), 32.

<sup>97.</sup> Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 42; Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 44.

<sup>98.</sup> Ninth Annual Report (1893), 32; Eleventh Annual Report (1895), 35.

<sup>99.</sup> Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 44; Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 17; Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 46; Twentieth Annual Report (1904), 40; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 29; Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 43; Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 43; Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 41; Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 40.

The Antiquarian Society was, surprisingly, one of the most popular clubs at Toynbee Hall. It was founded in 1885 in order to "search into the history of East London and generally ... to pro—

100 mote in its members the knowledge and appreciation of the past."

Its 150 members held monthly lectures throughout the winter and organized excursions to local churches and monuments during the 101 summer. For many years it was led by Canon Barnett who believed that it was important for men to have a sense of continuity with the past. East Enders who were aware of the richness of their area's history might well begin to lead fuller and more productive lives.

Most of the societies which organized expeditions, however, took students out of East London, demonstrating the limitations rather than the advantages of their surroundings. This was particularly true of two other major settlement societies, the Natural History Society and the Toynbee Travellers' Club. The former, founded in 1885, sought to encourage the study of nature among students at the settlement by sponsoring monthly lectures and weekend walks in the country. Although the only scientific society connected the the settlement, it was not devoted primarily to the

<sup>100.</sup> Toynbee Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1885), 14.

<sup>101.</sup> Sixth Annual Report (1890), 40; Seventh Annual Report (1891), 51; Thirteenth Annual Report (1897), 36; Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 26; Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 40.

pursuit of science. Its leaders stressed rather the virtues of the countryside and the joy of fellowship which came from participation in the walks. They expected Toynbee students to become aware of "the very ugliness and dulness of much of London." and to "learn to emjoy the country, and in learning discover new resources of pleasure and even of excitement." The reports of its excursions which the society printed in The Toynbee Record generally centered on the scenery along the walks, the views from the spots chosen for lunch and the extent to which the weather affected the pleasure of the day. Although tramping was a favorite pursuit of working men in the north of England, in London it was considered an occupation of the professional classes alone. It is thus likely that the club reflected not the concerns of the students themselves but rather the desire of a few settlement workers to inculcate their own interests. The society had 125 members by 1890 106 1901. However, efter the turn of the century, when it faced competition from an increasing number of other nature study groups, ite membership began to fall.

<sup>102.</sup> Seventh Annual Report (1891), 27.

<sup>103.</sup> Eighteenth Annual Report (1902), 22.

<sup>104.</sup> J.F.C. harrison, A History of the Working Men's College, 1854-1954 (London, 1954), 133-34; J.A.R. Pimlott, The Englishmen's Holiday: A Social History (London, 1947), 166.

<sup>105.</sup> Sixth Annual Report (1890), 40.

<sup>106.</sup> Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 17.

<sup>107.</sup> Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 43.

The Toynbee Travellers' Club, consisting of 200 members, 108 was generally considered the leading society at the settlement.

Of all the Toynbee Hall societies it most directly fulfilled Barnett's desire to improve the quality of popular holidays. An enthusiastic sightseer himself, Barnett firmly believed in travelling as a means of self-enrichment, and as president of the Toynbee Travellers' Club for a number of years he sought to convince East Enders that travelling represented the most productive use of their leisure.

The club, which was established in the summer of 1888, generally organized two trips each year. During the 1880's, many were mountain-climbing expeditions in Switzerland, a fashionable pastime of the professional classes in Victorian England. At 109 least five early Toynbee Hall residents were avid mountaineers who did not doubt that East anders would readily share their enthusiasm. Italy was the second focus of the club's interest and the destination of at least half of its trips. In addition, the club organized twelve trips to France, a few to Germany and holland, a summer walking tour in Iceland and one twenty-five day excursion

<sup>108.</sup> Seventh Annual Report (1891), 51; Thirteenth Annual Report (1897), 36; Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40; Twentieth Annual Report (1904), 37; Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 43; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 31.

<sup>109.</sup> Ernest Aves, T.H. Nunn, hubert Llewellyn Smith, E. Harold Spender, R.G. Tetton. (T.H. Nunn, "Memoir of Ernest Aves,"

Record, Vol. XXIX, No. 2 (July 1917), 57; Thomas Hancock Nunn:

The Life and Work of a Social Reformer (London, 1942), 29, 45;

E. Harold Spender and H. Llewellyn Smith, Through the High Pyrenees (London, 1898).)

to Greece. Most of these trips lasted between one and two weeks and cost each member between eight and fifteen pounds.

But the settlement residents took pride not only in the ambitiousness of the club's enterprises but in its distinctiveness from the growing number of commercial travelling societies. It was a co-operative society, employing no paid officers and returning all profits to the travellers themselves. The members claimed that. as a result, they were unified by a feeling of corporate solidarity which participants in commercial groups could not share. club's reports always closed with an account of the perfect fellowship which had characterized each trip. In 1891 the settlement Prooke of the club as "the most effective bond of union among the students." Moreover, the club was, according to The Toynbee Record, "the first to identify education with tours of pleasure." At least six months before the beginning of a trip the members attended lectures on the art, history and social conditions of the countries included in the itinerary; the announcement of each tour contained a list of recommended books, encouraging private study among the travellers. Subsequent accounts by the members themselves attested to the value of the tours. The journalist Henry V. Nevinson recalled the trip to Greece as "one of the happiest events" in Ms life. J.M. Dent, the publisher, later wrote:

<sup>110.</sup> Twenty-ninth Amual Report (1913), 16.

<sup>111.</sup> Seventh Annual Report (1891), 26.

<sup>112.</sup> Record, Vol. XIV, No. 9 (June 1902), 122.

<sup>113.</sup> Nevinson, Changes and Chances, 131.

Try and imagine what it was to come out of the East End of London ... with its sordid grime. to cross the Alps in glorious sunshine with every mountain pinnacle draped in robes of crystalline snow, shining in light divine, with rushing waterfalls and spring tenderness adorning the hills and the borders of sapphire lakes. Oh! glory upon glories! those first great days of travel. I had literally been lifted into a heaven beyond my dreams, indeed my whole being had been transformed. Remember that I had come out of years of sordid struggle for a living. with hardly time to lift my eyes to the dull grey skies of the weary toilsome streets ... and suddenly was lifted up and carried by a magic carpet into a wealth of beauty and wonder not to be surpassed in all the world.

In one sense, however, the club was a failure. Although it was expected to form part of the projected working-man's university, the expense and length of its trips generally restricted them to the middle classes. Only a rare workman had secured an annual holiday with pay during the period in which the club flourished.

When H.H. Asquith offered travelling scholarships to artisans who were students at Toynbee Hall in 1890, none were able to accept 115 them. The report of the first expedition to Switzerland in the summer of 1889 noted that five-sixths of the women and a substantial 116 proportion of the men were elementary school teachers. Of the

<sup>114.</sup> The Memoirs of J.M. Dent, 1849-1926, ed. Hugh R. Dent (London, 1928), 52.

<sup>115.</sup> Thomas Okey, A Basketful of Memories: An Autobiographical Sketch (London, 1930), 67; Record, Vol. XXVI, No. 5 (February 1914), 73; Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 26.

<sup>116.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 12 (September 1889), 133

153 members of the club in 1892, sixty one were teachers at local board schools, eighteen were civil servents and seventeem were 117 clerks or salesmen. The participents in the twenty-five day excursion to Greece in 1894, which cost each member over nineteen pounds, were either head teachers of the London elementary schools 118 or residents and associates of Toynbee Hall. The significance of the Toynbee Travellers' Club lay in the fact that it was one of the earliest attempts to spread foreign travel, formerly the preserve of the leisured and wealthy, to a new class. But like numerous other organizations connected with the settlement, it had no impact on the mass of the population of East London.

In addition, the club gradually became divorced from the life of the settlement. Instead of gaining new members each year from the student body, the identity of the membership remained unchanged. A proliferation of travel agencies made the club appear less attractive to the current settlement students. Increasingly the members tended to regard the club as a purely social organization and in October 119

Its place was taken by the Workman's Travelling Club, the settlement's one attempt to interest working men in educational travel. At the beginning of 1902 Barnett organized a series of

<sup>117.</sup> righth Annual Report (1892), 20-21.

<sup>118.</sup> Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 26.

<sup>119.</sup> Record, Vol. AXVI, No. 5 (February 1914), 74; Twenty-ninth Annual Report (1913), 37.

conferences for members of trade unions and co-operative societies
to encourage them to make excursions to London's monuments and
museums. A few months later the settlement residents founded the
club which was led by Barnett and open only to members of trade
120
unions, friendly societies and cooperative organizations.

For a few years the club restricted its activities to tours through
London and its environs but in the spring of 1905 the club "realized
121
one of its bolder dreams," organizing a four-day trip to Belgium.

Subsequently between thirty and forty members of the club took part
in an annual trip to France, Holland or Belgium, lasting a few
122
days and costing each participant about two pounds. \*\*stablished
later than most of the other societies at the settlement, this
123
club was one of the few which was still flourishing in 1914.

Two societies differed from the others at the settlement in that they attempted to show East Enders the value of "service" rather than the means of broadening their own experience. The first was a division of the St. John's Ambulance Brigade which began to meet at Toynbee Hall in October 1894. It held classes in first aid,

<sup>120.</sup> Record, Vol. XV, No. 3 (December 1902), 31.

<sup>121.</sup> Record, Vol. XVII, No. 6 (March 1905), 93.

<sup>122.</sup> Record, Vol. XVII, No. 8 (May 1905), 130-33; Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 7 (April 1906), 92; Record, Vol. XIX, No. 8 (May 1907), 109; Record, Vol. XXI, No. 8 (May 1909), 137; Record, Vol. XXIV, No. 8 (May 1912), 115.

<sup>123.</sup> Memorandum, 5.

organized a Nursing Guild and provided elementary medical help for East Enders on holidays; during the Boer War seven of its members The Corps consisted of volunteered for service in South Africa. about forty members, many of whom lived in the immediate neighborhood of Toynbee Hall. However, it was necessarily run by paid officials of the Brigade and therefore had only a peripheral connection with The Guild of Compassion, as a philanthropic society the settlement. of women students at the settlement was self-righteously called, was more closely linked with Toynbee Hall. It was founded by Henrietta Barnett who believed that the hospitality the settlement afforded to the students was far in excess of what they deserved: "to expend so much work and to use up so much influence to provide social evenings and Saturday outings for clerks, shop assistents, factory foremen, and sons and daughters of tradesmen seemed to me but a new form of pauperising, especially for a class never backward in obtaining pleasure." In 1898 she thus organized a number of students into a society which took children from the neighborhood on weekend outings, held parties for the inhabitants of the Whitechapel workhouse and raised money to subsidize a home for young girls, old women and convalescents in Hampstead.

<sup>124.</sup> Record, Vol. VII, No. 8 (May 1895), 107; Record, Vol. VIII, No. 5 (February 1896), 63; Record, Vol. X, No. 1 (October 1897), 11-12; Record, Vol. XII, No. 9 (June 1900), 124; sight eenth Annual Report (1902), 19.

<sup>125.</sup> Memorandum, 5-6; Fourteenth Annual Report (1898), 40.

<sup>126.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, I 373-74.

<sup>127.</sup> Record, Vol. XIX, No. 3 (December 1906), 30; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 30.

Most of the societies affiliated to Toynbee Hall followed a similar pattern of developed. Founded in the late 1880's, they flourished during the 1890's but began to decline after the turn of the century. The history of these societies in fact supports the conclusions of numerous observers that settlements. like other missionary groups, draw out of society only those members who ere already deviant. A man who was willing to conform to the middleclass standards of the settlement workers was less firmly committed to the dominant values of his community and more eager to reject his present way of life than the majority of his neighbors. Sharing the settlement's contempt for his district, he refused to look upon it as his permanent home. In other words, by its very nature a settlement was appealing primarily to the men Matthew Arnold characterized as aliens. It is likely that the problems of Toynbee Hall's societies stemmed largely from this fact. A club which consisted only of the marginal members of a community could make little mark on the life of that community. More seriously, the societies were unable to establish roots in East London because their members sought the first opportunity to move out of the district. Although the members of these societies were originally neighbors of Toynbee

<sup>128.</sup> Herbert J. Gans, The Urgan Villegers: Group end Class in the Life of Italian-Americans (New York, 1962), 154-55; William F. Whyte, The Social Role of the Dettlement House, Applied Anthropology (October - December 1941), 14-19; Scudder Makeel, "Comperative Notes on the 'Social Role of the Dettlement House' as Contrasted with that of the United States Indian Dervice," Applied Anthropology (December 1943), 5-8.

Hall, by 1905 they had scattered throughout London. The annual report of that year noted that the membership of the three largest societies, the Antiquarian Society, the Toynbee Travellers' Club and the Natural History Society, was concentrated in the north of London; the other societies drew their membership from a broader 129 area. Of the 644 members of all of the societies, only twenty five were residents of Whitechapel; sixty seven, or just over ten per cent, resided in the Borough of Stepney, 261 lived in some part of North-East London and 449 in the county of London; 195 were residents 130 of outer London. In 1913 a group of residents traced the history of Toynbee Hall's societies in this manner:

It appears that in the earlier years of their existence the societies did fulfill /their/ objects in a most admirable manner. What would appear to have since happened is as follows. The members have for the most part improved their position and moved away from the neighbourhood. The work has to some extent lost its serious character and the meetings have become pleasant social gatherings ... New members are not welcomed except from their own friends and class. The societies are, in fact, divided from the residents, on the one hand, for whose energies they offer no scope, and from those who frequent Toynbee Hall. on the other, whose articipation in their work is discouraged. 131

The residents pointed to the Workmen's Travelling Club as the settlement's one attempt to attract new members from East London. The older societies, having made no effort to broaden the base of their membership, had lost their original purpose.

<sup>129.</sup> Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 13.

<sup>130.</sup> Op. cit.

<sup>131.</sup> Memorandum, 4-5.

Student hostels, modelled on Oxford and Cambrid~e colleges,
were also expected to promote a corporate life among the settlement's
students. The first residence was "edham House, named after the
Oxford college Bernett had attended and considered "the germ of a
132
university in East London." It was opened in 1887 with accommoda—
tion for 18 students. "There is no more pleasant feature in our
neighbourhood than this house for students," Barnett wrote to his
133
brother after attending the first annual dinner. The following
October he remarked, "Wadham is so overflowing that we are contemplating opening another house to take in 26 more men." When Balliol
House, the second and larger residence was founded at the end of
1889, the Record expressed the hope that the settlement would "see
something of the friendly inter-collegiate rivalry which adds so
135
much to the salt of life at a big public school or the University."

The two hostels provided accommodation for a total of Sarry seventh students, each of whom paid about seven shillings rent a week for 136 a room with a private bath and the use of a larger common room.

After 1892 the settlement required that all residents either attend two settlement classes or study for a degree at the University of London, for an examination administered by the University extension

<sup>132.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1888), 5.

<sup>133.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 18 February 1888.

<sup>134.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 20 October 1888.

<sup>135.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 3 (December 1889), 26.

<sup>136.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 7 (April 1892), 90.

137

Society or for professional qualification. At the same time,

Toynbee Hall appointed settlement residents to serve as "censors
138

of studies", or tutors, to the students. Students at both residences

established a literary circle and a debating society, edited a

house journal, organized parties and entertainments and participated

in a settlement football club. It was also hoped that the students

would acquire an interest in social service from "the spirit of the
139

surroundings." They assisted boys clubs, visited the Whitechapel

workhouse and held parties for local slum children. In addition,

a few of the residents of the hostels were associates of Toynbee

140

Hall and in that capacity helped to organize some of its activities.

But the hostels went no ferther than any other educational endeavor at the settlement in fulfilling the sims of their founders. Although intended to pave the way for a working-class university, they housed neither workmen nor serious students. According to Henrietta Barnett, of the fifty-six residents in 1895, twenty five were clarks in business houses, ten were elementary school masters, nine were clarks in the civil service, four were connected with journalism and six were medical students. Eight years later

<sup>137.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>138.</sup> Eighth Annual Report (1892), 22.

<sup>139.</sup> Eleventh Annual Report (1895), 29.

<sup>140.</sup> Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 20.

<sup>141.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, II, 17.

Beveridge commented on the disappointingly high social status of the students in a letter to his sister:

Balliol House is in theory a place where men earning their own livings in the day study in the evenings. It was originally hoped that the artisan might come here but as a metter of fact the artisan is conspicuous by his absence & the men are most superior & educated people - second-class Home Civilians, electrical engineers, elementary & secondary schoolmasters, etc. - all of whom one addresses as Esq::142

Moreover, few of the residents either attended a sufficient number of settlement courses or prepared for an acceptable examination.

After the foundation of Balliol House, both hostels had difficulty 143 filling their rooms. Balliol House was closed in 1913; Wadham House lasted only a few years longer.

The final means by which the settlement residents sought to lay the foundation for a working-men's university in East London was by establishing a library at Toynbee Hall. Developed primarily 144 145 through private donations, it contained 4,000 volumes in 1889, 146 147 6,000 in 1893 and 6,800 in 1896. Although open to all residents of the community, it was expected to serve primarily as a "students 148 library." The settlement thus restricted fiction to five per cent of the total collection while publicizing the strong section on

<sup>142.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Jeanie Beveridge.

<sup>143.</sup> Record, Vol. AXVI, No. 3 (December 1913), 42.

<sup>144.</sup> Third Annual Report (1887), 10.

<sup>145.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 7 (April 1889), 83.

<sup>146.</sup> Ninth Annual Report (1893), 36.

<sup>147.</sup> Record, Vol. VIII, No. 9 (June 1896), 105.

<sup>148.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 6 (March 1890), 69.

149

economics. According to the tabulations which the librarian conscientiously published each year, however, fiction was generally the third most popular category while economics ranked fourth. Books classified as general literature, natural science and history, the three main subjects of the Extension lectures, ranked, respectively, first, second and fifth; the philosophy, theology and language A total of 13,163 books and books were always lowest on the list. 151 periodicals were circulated in 1889 and 21,000 in 1892. opening of the Whitechapel Free Library the following year, however, reduced the demand for Toynbee Hall's collection. By 1896 the total 153 number of volumes circulated had fallen to 11,130; ten years later, a total of 2,100 books and periodicals were circulated, one tenth of the number in 1892. In 1912 the library was placed under the control of a joint committee of the settlement and the Workers\* Educational Association. While continuing to be consulted by some Toynbee Hall residents and students, it began to serve primarily as the central library of the W.E.A., responsible for sending parcels of books to tutorial classes throughout the country.

<sup>149.</sup> Sixth Annual Report (1890), 22.

<sup>150.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 2 (November 1892), 25; Record, Vol. V, No. 7 (April 1893), 86; Record, Vol. VI, No. 9 (June 1894), 131; Record, Vol. VII, No. 6 (March 1895), 81; Record, Vol. VIII, No. 4 (January 1896), 45; Record, Vol. XIX, No. 2 (November 1906), 19.

<sup>151.</sup> Sixth Annual Report (1890), 22.

<sup>152.</sup> Eighth Annual Report (1892), 25.

<sup>153.</sup> Twelfth Annual deport (1896), 22.

<sup>154.</sup> Record, Vol. XIX, No. 2 (November 1906), 19.

<sup>155.</sup> W.E.A., Ninth Annual Report (July 1912), 15; W.E.A., Aleventh Annual Report (July 1914), 17.

Thus, although the settlement library disappointed the hopes of its founders, it formed the basis of the National Central Library 156 which Mensbridge subsequently founded.

Thus. By the turn of the century. Toynbee Hall's major educational ventures had begun to appear irrelevant and outmoded to settlement residents and East Enders alike. In part, the declining popularity of Toynbee Hall's programs reflected the extent to which they were being imitated throughout the metropolis. Travelling, literary and natural history societies, modelled on those which had flourished at Toynbee Hall during the 1890's, were being provided for members of the lower middle-class. The settlement residents themselves had been instrumental in establishing public libraries and art gallery in East London, reducing the need for their own exhibition and library. In addition, Toynbee Hall had helped to popularize the very concept of adult education. By 1902 at least 13,000 East Enders were attending evening courses at students residing in other parts of London local Board Schools: could now choose from an expanding number of polytechnics close to home. Because Barnett believed that a primary function of Toynbee Hall was to experiment with new forms of adult education, he could consider the diminishing demand for the settlement's classes and society a measure of their success. But the settlement's difficulties

<sup>156.</sup> Mensbridge, Fellow Men, 61; Mensbridge, Trodden Road, 94. 157. Record, Vol. AIV, No. 8 (May 1902), 116.

also stemmed from the residents' inflexibility end lack of imagination. Convinced of the value of their mission to spread culture to the East End masses, the residents had made only belated and inedequate attempts to adapt their educational program to changing social demands or to attract the new class rapidly gaining political power. The role which the settlement had to play in the world of adult education during the 1880's and 1890's thus vanished shortly after 1900. It was clear that, were a working man's university to be founded in East London, the impetus would have to come from a group of educationalists whose ideals were more in accord with the interests of the community.

Chapter V: Toynbee Hall's Ties with working Men's Associations

The founders of Toynbee hall did not question the ability of university graduates to establish contact with the mass of East Enders. They envisioned the settlement residents gaining the friendship of large numbers of working men primarily through participation in working-class organizations. But it was in this sphere above all that the actions of Toynbee Hall became increasingly inappropriate. During the years between 1884 and 1914 many voluntary associations radically altered their form and goals. Increasingly dominated by an ideal of class solidarity, they attempted to promote the autonomy of the working class and to secure economic advancement. The actions of the settlement residents, on the other hand. continued to be based on the nature of the organizations as they had existed in the early 1880's. At that time the governing classes had realistically been able to view the organizations as a form of self help, freeing members of the working class from reliance on charity. Many friendly societies were indistinguishable from ordinary insurance societies. Sharing the Victorian faith in the moral virtues of thrift and prudence, they worked to preserve the status quo. They campaigned in the 1890's against state-provided old age pensions and before 1911 against the adoption of a national system of health insurance. Many co-operative societies were

equally conservative. Ignored by the majority of socialists, they won the support of the upper classes by seeking to promote social harmony. Although a large segment of the trade union movement endorsed militant political and industrial action by the turn of the century, at the time of the foundation of Toynbee Hall most unions were craft societies with restricted membership and moderate goals. It was thus natural for the early settlement residents to follow the example of T.H. Green and Arnold Toynbee, stressing the potentialities of these voluntary associations and offering their own support.

During the 1880's and 1890's, the settlement demonstrated its sympathy with the ideals of friendly societies by providing rooms in which they could meet. The earliest society to establish itself at Toynbee Hall was Court Garibaldi of the Ancient Order of Foresters of which at least four residents became members. In 1896 this group was joined by the United Order of the Phoenix, a

<sup>1.</sup> Thomas hancock Nunn, 40; Record, Vol. II, No. 1 (October 1889), 7; Record, Vol. II, No. 5 (February 1890), 55-56; Record, Vol. IV, No. 2 (November 1891), 19; Record, Vol. V, No. 5 (February 1893), 72; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 30; Eighth Annual Report (1892), 31; Ninth Annual Report (1893), 25; Eleventh Annual Report (1894), 19; Twelfth Annual Report (1896), 29; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 32.

temperance society with 12,000 members. Barnett, a member of a branch of the roresters while a curate in Marylebone in the 1870's, was frequently asked to address conferences of friendly societies 3 held in London.

The residents' ties with the co-operative movement were somewhat more substantial. In 1886, when fire destroyed the Whitechapel office of the Co-operative Wholesale Society, the settlement offered its rooms for the monthly meetings of the branch. The Tower Hemlets Distributive Society, the major consumer society in East London during the period, was more closely connected with the settlement. It met at Toynbee hall and was led by Thory Gage Gardiner, Barnett's curate and the Sub-warden of the settlement.

6
Founded in 1882 with 180 members, it had 1,560 members and 15000 capital by 1889. In the St. Jude's Report of 1887 Barnett described the members of the Society as "the pioneers of a higher love of unity and brotherly love, they are to promote aducation, to put down selfishness, and to give expression to that public opinion

<sup>2.</sup> Record, Vol. VIII, No. 9 (June 1896), 101; Twelfth Annual Report (1896), 29; Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 32.

<sup>3.</sup> The Foresters' Miscellany, Vol. VI, No. 69 (September 1891), 170-72; Record, Vol. II, No. 5 (February 1890), 55-56; Record, Vol. III, No. 12 (September 1891), 125; Eighth Annual Report (1892), 32.

<sup>4.</sup> Toynbee Journal and Students' Union Chronicle, Vol. I, No. 9
(June 1886), 71; Second Annual Report (1886), 28.

<sup>5.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for 1887-88, 22; welfth Annual Report (1896), 29.

<sup>6.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for 1882-83, 24-25.

<sup>7.</sup> Labour and Life, ed. Booth, I, 112.

which, though it is now often silent, is in East London for good and 8 against wrong." In his personal correspondence, however, parnett appeared far more doubtful. He wrote to his brother in 1884: "On Thursday Gardiners Cooperators came to dinner - They are a heavy & serious lot of men & women. They take pleasure as business & the main chance ends in their stomachs. I don't know if Cooperation is best able to raise them, if it is, it will be only just in so far as it conveys a bit of Xianity." He expressed similar sentiments when reporting a meeting of the same men at the settlement three years later: "Gardiner made them a fine speech but it was sad to see how uninterested the people were in men, politics or ideas. They were 10 comfortable, they had enough & everything else was immaterial."

Following the example of the Christian Socialists, the residents also attempted to assist a number of productive co-operative enterprises. Between 1888 and 1890 the settlement held a series of fortnightly meetings in conjunction with the Co-operative Aid Association, an organization which advised workers on the methods of establishing lies a successful productive society. In addition, the residents were

<sup>3.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for 1887-88, 22.

<sup>9.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., n.d. (1884). 10. S.A.B. to F.G.B., 30 April 1887.

<sup>11.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 2 (November 1888), 3; Record, Vol. I, No. 3 (December 1888), 27; Record, Vol. I, No. 7 (April 1889), 76; Record, Vol. I, No. 11 (August 1889), 126; Record, Vol. II, No. 5 (February 1890), 52; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 22.

instrumental in founding several self-governing workshops during the later 1880's and early 1890's. The only one which survived more than a few years was the Co-operative Bess Dressers Association which originated during a strike in 1889. Led by Toynbee hall residents, it held most of its weekly meetings at the settlement 12 for many years.

Toynbee Hall's claim to involvement in the trades union movement rested largely on its actions during two major strikes in East London. Henrietta Barnett later described the residents' activities during the Match Girls's Strike of 1888:

Toynbee Hall did not avoid labour questions, nor omit to try to strengthen trade-unions and to solve the troubles which lead to strikes. It was anxious work, and I remember the fear and trembling with which Mr. A.P. Laurie, Mr. Arthur Rogers, Mr. H. Llewellyn Smith, and I - in 1888 - interviewed the maneging body of Bryant & May's, intimate information of the disputed conditions having come to us through many rough girl-friends. Perhaps we did no good, perhaps we did; in any case we cared, and later the London Trades Council intervened and a solution was found.

Her account is significant primarily because she ignored completely the work of herbert surrows and Annie Besant, two socialists who helped to formulate the grievances of the girls, led their strike,

<sup>12.</sup> George Lensbury, Looking backwards - and Forwards (London, 1935), 67-68; Lensbury, My Life, 69-70; Mecord, Vol. II, No. 1 (November 1889), 16; Record, Vol. V, No. 7 (April 1893), 87; Record, Vol. VI, No. 3 (December 1893), 47; Record, Vol. XVI, No. 7 (April 1904), 94; Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 3 (December 1905), 33; Record, Vol. XIX, No. 7 (April 1907), 87.

<sup>13.</sup> H.O. Barnett, Barnett, II, 65.

and assisted them in forming a union. In comparison, Toynbee Hall's role was insignificant. Shortly after the outbreak of the strike, the three residents Mrs. Barnett mentioned and an associate, Arthur 14
Stevenson, interviewed both the girls and the directors of the company in order to evaluate their respective claims. They outlined the grievences of the strikers in a letter to The Times on 12 July and the justifications of the firm in a letter appearing two days later. On 17 July they summarized their own conclusions. They discovered that the girls were "substantially correct" when they charged that the company levied excessive fines on its workers and when they estimated that their everage weekly wage was between 4s. and 6t, not 11s. 4d. as the directors claimed. The residents also stated:

We are convinced that even the question of low wages, important as it is, is but a part of the wider question of the whole relation between employers and employed. To say nothing of the want of sympathy which we have observed on the part of the directors, and the dislike and fear on the part of the girls, the present strike furnished unanswerable evidence of the deplorable relations which exist in the factory of Messrs. Bryant and May ... In the factory at Bow large numbers of girls are drawn together, many of them from the poorest strata of society. They receive during a great part of the year a wage so small as to be totally insufficient to maintain a decent existence. ... All this time the company is dividing among its shareholders a phenomenally high dividend. 15

<sup>14.</sup> Stevenson, a lawyer, was a friend of C.R. Ashbee. Unable to devote sufficient time to loynbee Hall to become a resident, he resided in rooms close to the settlement. (Arthur Stevenson to Ashbee, 23 May 1887).

<sup>15.</sup> Letter to The Times (17 July 1888), 10.

The directors replied in a vituperative letter, accusing the Toynbee Hall residents of having conducted their investigation with "prejudiced minds" and of having been "easily misled by the strongly biased statements of some of our workers or their friends." It was unjust to speak of a lack of sympathy between the directors and their employees because the majority of the workers

were thoroughly in accord with us until the Socialistic influences of the outside agitators commenced to disturb their minds; end we can but regret that your correspondents should have associated themselves with these agitators, when we have no doubt that their influence in the opposite direction would have proved of real service to the strikers and prevented their further distress and demoralisation. 16

Nevertheless, though the sympathies of the residents were clearly on the side of the girls, it is unlikely that the settlement had any impact on the outcome of the strike. The company and the workers reached an agreement the day the residents' final letter was published. Moreover, although Mrs. Barnett helped to interview the directors, the residents who wrote the letters considered themselves members of Ashbee's group. The following year they joined the colony he established in Stepney largely as a protest against Toynbee Hall's artificial and distant relationship with the working class of Mast London.

<sup>16.</sup> Letter to The Times, (18 July 1888), 12.

The residents assumed a more prominent role in the Dock Strike of August 1889. More than any other single event this strike helped to transform the nature of trades unionism in London from a movement reinforcing the class structure to one working to alter it. The mass of strikers were casual, unskilled workers, comprising the lowest grade of labor. As pen Tillett, the leader of the strike, later wrote,

The dock labourer in those days was scarcely regarded as a respectable member of society. He came in for the foulest contempt and most vicious abuse. ... So real was the stigma attaching to dock labour that those of us who earned a living by it concealed the nature of our occupation from our family as well as our friends. 17

Tillett received assistance during the strike from Tom Mann and John Burns, two working-class socialists, whose leadership helped the dockers to win most of their demands after a month's struggle. Their unexpected success provided a stimulus to other groups of unskilled workers, many of whom established unions during the following few years. These 'new unions' differed from the older societies in two significant ways. They organized men by industries rather than by crafts and they endorsed a policy of militant industrial and political action. Toynbee Hall's attitude toward the strike and its aftermath thus demonstrates the extent to which the residents were willing to support working-class organizations when they developed a distinct labor ideology and thus departed from acceptable middle-class goals.

<sup>17.</sup> Ben Tillett, Memories and Meflections (London, 1931), 89.

Harold Spender, a Toynbee Hall resident, later recalled the settlement's contact with the strikers in romantic terms: "This revolt of the dockers, 1889, was a great uprising and it won all our hearts. The dockers were splendidly led ... We were all friends end brothers." Like numerous other East End clergymen and philenthropists, the residents helped to administer relief during the strike. Their job was not without significence. The dockers came out with 7s. 6d. in their treasury and with no organization supporting them. Their leaders were thus forced to obtain financial assistance and to devise a system of relief for thousands of workers. Both Tom Mann and Ben Tillett later acknowledged the cooperation they received from Toynbee Hall residents, many of whom devoted much of their time to supervising a relief committee near the settlement. It is likely, moreover, that their assistance contributed to the growth of public sympathy to which the dockers owed a large part of their success. The encouragement the strikers received from Oxford graduates may well have upset the stock notions the upper classes held of dock workers and enabled the dockers to justify their demands for improved conditions.

At the end of the strike, Toynbee Hall sought to maintain the contacts it had established with the dockers. In September the

<sup>18.</sup> s.h. spender, Fire of Life, 72.

<sup>19.</sup> Tom Menn, Tom Menn's Memoirs (London, 1923), 105; Tillett,
Memories and Reflections, 137; Record, Vol. II, No. 1 (October
1889), 9; Record, Vol. II, No. 2 (November 1889), 20.

residents invited the central strike committee to dinner. Although Bernett stressed that the invitation should be interpreted as demonstrating neither the settlement's identification with the strikers nor its pleasure at the successful outcome of the strike. Leonard Hobbouse, visiting the settlement at the time, was less judicious. "Everyone seems to agree that Burns and Tillett have come out splendidly", he wrote to a friend. "They and Tom Mann and the rest of them are coming here to-morrow for a sort of triumphal supper." Moreover, the settlement provided a forum for discussions on the implications of both the strike and the subsequent expansion of the trade union movement. In October 1889 Ben Tillett led a debate on the future of the Dockers' Union. The following year a meeting, opened by an official of the Dockers' Union, debated the issue of "new unionism." In rebruary 1890 the settlement held a conference, attended by both dock directors and trades unionists, on the role which strikes should play in industrial relations. A more significant conference was organized by Toynbee Hall's committee at Oxford in November 1890. In an attempt to arouse the interest of members of the university in industrial questions such men as Tom Mann,

Record, Vol. II, No. 1 (October 1889), 7. 20.

quoted in J.A. Hobson and Morris Ginsberg, L.T. Hobbouse: His 21. Life and Work (London, 1931), 27.

Record, Vol. II, No. 2 (November 1889), 15. 22.

Record, Vol. III, No. 2 (November 1890), 15-16. Record, Vol. II, No. 6 (March 1890), 66. 23.

<sup>24.</sup> 

Benjamin Jones, Graham Wallas, A.H.D. Acland and Leonard Courtney
25
discussed various espects of 'new unionism.' The settlement estimated
that the audience numbered about 500 at the morning session and
26
between 600 and 700 in the afternoon. Sidney Ball, the principle
liaison between Oxford and Toynbee Hall reported: "It really was
a success and Mann's earnestness was very real and went home - I
think the academic mind, - such as it is - was as much disturbed
27
as we could wish."

The activities of four Toynbee Hall residents both during and immediately after the strike also lend some credence to Spender's recollection. Ernest Aves, a leading resident during the period, became the first president of the Arafalgar Branch of the Dock,

Wherf, Riverside and General Labour Union which met at St. Jude's 28 for many years. Tom Mann later wrote that he owed to Thory Gardiner meny of his invitations to speak on trade unionism during the early 29 1890's. Vaughan Nash and hubert Llewellyn Smith played roles more integral to the strike. In his autobiography Ben Tillett characterized them as "two good friends of ours" who "came to us with no 30 high-brow condescension." Shortly after the conclusion of the

<sup>25.</sup> Hobson and Ginsberg, Hobhouse, 28; Mann, Memoirs, 105-06; Oxford Magazine (L9 November 1890), 95.

<sup>26.</sup> Seventh Annual Report (1891), 30.

<sup>27.</sup> Sidney Ball to Oona Ball, quoted in O.H. Ball, sidney Ball, 42-43.

<sup>28. &</sup>lt;u>Labour Elector</u> (21 December 1889), 397; <u>Record</u>, Vol. II, No. 1 (October 1889), 8.

<sup>29.</sup> Lann, Memoirs, 113.

<sup>30.</sup> Tillett, Memories and Recollections, 130, 137.

strike, they wrote what is still considered the standard history of it. It was an authoritative and sympathetic account, providing both informed opinion on the background and impact of the strike end detailed descriptions of the actual events which took place. Letters which Nash and Smith wrote to John Burns reveal that they sought his advice while writing the book and attempted to incorporate his suggestions. Tom Mann later referred to the book as "the best account" of the strike.

Nevertheless, the ties of the settlement as a whole with the strikers were far more tenuous then opender's comment implied. Both Vaughan Nash and H. Llewellyn Smith left Toynbee Hall largely in protest against its attitude toward socialism. It is likely in fact that Llewellyn >mith was no longer a resident when the strike broke out; Vaughan Nash joined Ashbee's group in Stepney shortly after its conclusion. Parnett's reactions to the strike and its aftermath provide the clearest indication of the attitude of the majority of residents. The discipline which the mass of strikers evinced did not soften his contempt for the average East and docker. On August 30 he wrote to his brother:

H. Llewellyn Smith and Vaughan Nash, The Story of the Dockers'

Strike, Told by Two East Londoners (London, n.d. (1889)).
H. Llewellyn Smith to John purns, 11 pecember (1889); Vaughan Nash to John Burns, 30 October 1889. John Burns Papers, British Museum, Vol. IX, Add, MSh 46, 289.

<sup>33.</sup> Mann, Memoirs, 92.

I dont know what this strike may bring forth. The lesson I wld enforce is the danger of letting a system continue wh. outrages public sentiment. It was that scramble round the dock gates, the sight of the wretched creatures wh. has brot. out all trades to support men who as individuals sld perhaps get no /respect/.34

Perhaps because the strike led to an alliance between socialists and trade unionists and thus widered the gap between the goals of the labor movement and the aims of middle class reformers, he stressed the need of union leaders for guidance. In November 1889, two months after the end of the strike, he wrote to his brother: "I wish I cld be more with the Bock leaders ... I shid like to get hold of Mann & Tillett for with you I feel that they are at a crisis & may turn up or down. At present we feel this world - our 35 world - a bit too big & many things drift wh. eat to be guided."

<sup>34.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 30 August 1899. It is difficult to decipher exactly the final and crucial word. Hemrietta Barnett's decision that it is "commiseration" is clearly inaccurate. Her second distortion of this letter is far more misleading. According to her version, the letter continued:

My feelings are with the men, but how to give those feelings expression is more than I know. As I walked yesterday in Regent Street I wished I were young again and beginning. How I should like to make the kindly, well-mannered, and well-dressed people of the West understand their selfishness and their folly. How I should like to smash up the sympathy which does nothing which keeps knowledge and beauty for itself.

(H.O. Barnett, Barnett, II, 66).

In fact, however, this paragraph was contained in a letter paraett wrote on 15 April 1893 about a strike then taking place in Hull. His support of the dockers in 1889 was thus considerably weaker than his wife's account suggests.

<sup>35.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 16 November 1889.

The following March he expressed the same apprehension:

Somehow without any evidence I have a sense of enxiety abt the Docks. I dont like either the feeling of Directors or Dockers. The first dont believe in the Union, the last dont know what Union demands. There may be another strike in wh the men will be beaten & driven back to strike again with renewed viciousness. In the end Labour must win but like Napoleon in Russia it may win in a field in wh. it will starve. 36

The specific policy which Bernett advocated followed from his lack of sympathy with the dockers themselves. He criticized the determination of 'new union' leaders to organize general unions which departed from the pattern of craft societies by refusing to restrict entry into a trade. The dockers' union should be based on the principle of exclusion, not of class solidarity; it was wrong to allow the lowest stratum of the working class to continue to search for work at the docks. Addressing the members of the strike committee who assembled at the settlement a few weeks after the conclusion of the strike, he stressed this point: "Organisation will always mean the exclusion of the more inefficient and this will be a source of difficulty that will inevitably ensue from the larger gain. But it is a penalty that must be paid." In fact, the

<sup>36.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., I March 1890. The last sentence probably does not mean that the demands of the workers would lead to economic collapse. Barnett believed that if the working classes were forced to become too hostile to the established order they would create a society in which it was not possible to lead a good life.

<sup>37.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 1 (October 1889), 7.

leaders of the dockers agreed. Seeking to replace the corps of cesual workers by a small, permanent labor force, they continued to look to the older craft unions for a model of union organization.

But in doing so they abendoned one of the tenets of "new unionism".

The activities of the majority of settlement residents during the strike did not necessarily imply their full support of the dockers! aims. They assumed a role similar to that which they held as visitors for the Charity Organisation Society or as Poor Law Guardians, judging the individual applicants for relief. An account of the strike in The Toynbee Record centered not on the goals of the dockers but on the problem of indiscriminate almsgiving which the strike had produced. It had been necessary to devise a careful process of relief to ensure that as little as possible "filtered through to the undeserving and the lazy." Moreover, significant as this work may have been, it did not bring the settlement residents to the center of the strike. As Tillett later wrote, "the real fight was fought on the picket line, at the demonstrations and in the 39 committee rooms." But, with the possible exception of Llewellyn Smith and Vaughen Nash, no settlement resident participated in these events. Toynbee Hall undoubtedly performed an invaluable service by acting as an intermediary between the strikers and the governing

<sup>38.</sup> Op. cit., 12.

<sup>39.</sup> Tillett, Memories and meflections, 137.

classes and by providing a center for information on the social and economic implications of the strike. The residents could undertake this work only by refusing to identify with the dockers themselves.

The residents' actions, although peripheral to the Dock Strike, represented the high point of the settlement's involvement in the 40 trades union movement. Until the turn of the century, however, the settlement's ties with East London's unions did not completely disintegrate. The residents were proud of the roster of unions which held meetings at the settlement, including branches of the Clothiers' Cutters and Pressers, the Stickmakers, the Fellowship Porters, the Women Cigar-Liekers, the Dock Labourers, the Railway Servants, the East End Tailoresses, the Jewish Cabinet-Makers and the Jewish 41 Bekers. To some members of the upper classes it appeared that the

<sup>40.</sup> According to A.P. Laurie, he and H. Llewellyn Smith organized and directed a busmen's strike of 1891 in East London. (Pictures and Politics, 87-88). Both K.S. Inglis and J.A.R. Pimlott claimed that this represented a further example of the settlement's involvement in the trade union movement. (Inglis, Churches and the Working Classes, 163; Pimlott, Toynbee Hall, 86-87) However, neither A.P. Laurie nor Llewellyn Smith was still a resident at the time.

<sup>41.</sup> Lebour Elector (28 December 1889), 412; Record, Vol. II, No. 2
(November 1889), 21; Record, Vol. II, No. 3 (January 1890), 43;
Record, Vol. II, No. 10 (July 1890), 114; Record, Vol. IV,
No. 1 (October 1891), 4; Record, Vol. VI, No. 8 (May 1894),
115; Record, Vol. VI, No. 9 (June 1894), 132; Record, Vol. VI,
No. 10 (July-August 1894), 132; Record, Vol. VII, No. 2
(November 1894), 34; Record, Vol. VIII, No. 2 (November 1895),
26; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 28; Seventh Annual Report (1891),
43; Eighth Annual Report (1892), 31; Ninth Amuel Report (1893),
25; Tenth Annual Report (1894), 4; Eleventh Annual Report
(1895), 19.

As the Record noted in 1891, "there are some, even among the warm friends of Toynbee Hall, who look with suspicion, not to say distike" on the settlement's association with trade unions. Many men who had helped to subsidize the settlement withdrew their support when Barnett offered unions rooms in which to meet. But in fact the settlement spoke about unions in terms which should have reassured even the most conservative member of the establishment.

The residents claimed that the settlement had two primary advantages over the public house as a meeting place for trades unions. First, it provided far more elevating surroundings. Second, those union members who came to Toynbee Hall might gain a new understanding of the attitudes and ways of thought of the governing classes. In

<sup>42.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 3 (December 1891), 38.

<sup>43.</sup> Interview with E.S.P. Catchpool, 17 February 1967. It is also significant that in 1889 The Oxford Magazine attacked the settlement for inviting Will Steadman, president of the Bargebuilders' Union and a Liberal-Labour politician, to address a meeting at the university on behalf of Poynbee Hall: 'Oxford is always glad to hear men of any views. ... but Mr. Steadman's name is mainly known ... for his behaviour during the Tram Strike, when, if we remember right, his conduct was not marked by modesty, good sense, or respect for law and order.' (Oxford Magazine (8 February 1899), 176). Ten days later Barnett wrote to his brother: 'We had the best meeting at Oxford we have had for a long time - Steadman spoke admirably the workmen view of TH." (S.A.B. to F.G.B., 18 February 1899).

<sup>44.</sup> Ninth Annuel Report (1893), 25.

1891 the settlement remarked that the trade union advances of the past few years had been gained "in spite of, rather than through, the action of many of the more restive, and thus the more prominent, among the ranks of the newly organized." Both workers and employers should learn more about "their mutual obligations, and \_about/
that identity of interest which ... is the fundamental economic fact that no association of employers or combination of men can over-ride."

Clearly, the settlement had continued to reject the fundamental aims of the "new unions".

Toynbee Hall after the turn of the century. The residents did, however, assist two groups of workers during minor strikes. In 1904, when 200 girls employed in the tes packing department of the Whitechapel branch of the Co-operative wholesale society left work, the residents provided a room in which they could organize their strike. T.E. Harvey, then deputy-warden, sympathetically described the incident in a letter:

We had a very interesting gathering of girls on strike from the Cooperative Wholesale Society here last Tuesday morning: they had another meeting on Friday ... I hope the girls may be able to win their point: they are now back again temporarily on the old terms, pending negociations - they have been put from time work (at 12/- a week) to piece work which would have taken away their fortnight's holiday and sick leave. 46

<sup>45.</sup> Seventh Annual Report (1891), 42.

<sup>46.</sup> T.E.H. to n.n., 16 October 1904.

The girls were able to secure their demands and to organize their 47 own union. Two years later the Jewish branches of the Amalgamated Society of Failors struck in protest against their low wages and poor working conditions. The tailors' distrust of their own union officials as well as of their employers hindered negotiations. However, a rabbi from St. Petersburg visiting Toynbee Hall at the time was known to many of the tailors and able to convince them to accept the intervention of the union. The residents themselves advised both parties in the dispute and presided over a series of meetings between the masters and the tailors. Harvey reported to his mother:

We had an anxious time last week over the East End tailors' strike some of the arrangements for its conclusion, including the final meeting of the men's representatives, being held here, and I have at the moment got 11000 pay from sovereigns locked up in my room, being the strike pay from the general Union which is to be distributed ...

They have ... won what is really a very great victory, their working day being now reduced to 12 hours, with 1 hour off for dinner & \frac{1}{2} another for tea, & a number of the middlemen have been swept away. 49

<sup>47.</sup> Record, Vol. XVII, No. 2 (November 1904), 24; Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 14.

<sup>48.</sup> A. Francis, "The East End Strike of Tailors and Tailoresses,"
Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 10 (July-September 1906), 141-44;
Twenty-second Annual Report (1905), 14.

<sup>49.</sup> T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 25 June 1906.

Nevertheless, the residents' actions during these incidents should not be viewed as a reflection of their continuing commitment to the trades union movement. Each strike formed merely an isolated example of Toynbee Hall's participation in labor affairs. By 1900 the settlement was almost completely divorced from the mainstream of the London trades union movement. In labor affairs, as in the field of adult education, the residents had misjudged the changing ideals of East Enders and had thus found themselves without a role to play. A large proportion of those Oxford graduates who believed that a university settlement could continue to serve a useful purpose saw it primarily as a means of gaining information about the problem of poverty in an urban industrial society.

Chapter VI: The Role of Settlement Residents on Philanthropic Organizations

Private philanthropy was a significant force in the formation of the modern British welfare state. Charitable organizations were often the forerunners of governmental agencies, pointing out the needs of the day and pioneering with new forms of social action. At the same time, the inability of private benevolence ultimately to improve the condition of the people in an urban industrial society helped to reveal the full dimensions of the problems and the necessity for widespread state control. The history of Toynbee Hall's activities in local public affairs between 1884 and 1914 illustrates this role of voluntary action. As some of the earliest members of the upper classes to gain information about East London, the residents felt a responsibility to identify its major social problems and to devise ways and means of dealing with them. During the 1880's and 1890's the settlement workers directed their actions toward reforming the characters of the individual members of the community. They thus participated in philanthropic organizations which sought to motivate the poor to strive for self-improvement. Although some early residents obtained positions on local government boards, they insisted that the problems of East London required a minimum of municipal planning or control. Reform would come when East Enders learned to demand a

<sup>1.</sup> Owen, anglish Philanthropy.

higher stendard for their community. The experience which the settlement workers gained, however, contributed to the revolution in social thought in Britain between 1884 and 1914 regarding the nature of poverty. After the turn of the century, the residents, like many other thoughtful men, became aware of the complexity of social and economic problems and looked to statutory agencies for their solution. Then too, the settlement was itself a voluntary organization and an experimental response to the new problems of an urban industrial society. The founders saw it as a means of establishing an ordered, structured community in East London by superimposing a residential leisured class. As the inadequacy of this answer became clear, many residents began to reconsider the meaning of a settlement and the objectives of a voluntary worker.

During the 1880's and 1890's, the settlement residents sought to improve the character of individual East Enders in three ways: by humanizing public elementary education, by transforming the physical environment of the community and by controlling the growth of pauperism.

In a speech at Cambridge in May 1884 describing the new proposal for a university settlement. P.L. Gell had remarked:

The Public Aducational system ... is founded upon the supposition that there are men of leisure in the Upper class, who will ... take care that the education prescribed by the State is afforded emidst fit surroundings, that the education of the young shall not be

degraded into the mechanical earning of State grants, but shall still draw its vitality and inspiration from the only true source - personal sympathy, personal stimulus, personal contact of mind with mind. Once more, apart from the Clergy in East London you can't find men to take this place, and here again we find the necessity of the leisured class who will give some portion of their time to inspire the public Elementary Education of East London with a little more grace, a little more enthusiasm, and a little more sympathy.<sup>2</sup>

This view was widely accepted by the early settlement residents who believed that East London's board schools embodied the worst aspects of industrial urban society. They were rigid and anonymous institutions in which it was impossible for teachers to establish contact with individual children. Upper-class men living in the community must attempt to transcend the impersonality of the schools by supplying an interest in each student's intellectual and moral development.

The first need was for the settlement residents to obtain positions on the local school board. As early as the autumn of 1885 the settlement thus organized a campaign to elect J.A.M. Macdonald, a former resident. In the middle of October Barnett wrote to his brother:

Our sensation during this wk. has been the determination to run Lacdonald, an old resident, for the och. Board. This means showing all

<sup>2.</sup> The Universities' bettlement Association, The Report of a Meeting Held at Cambridge, (24 May 1884), 7-8.

our resources but we think it to be a way 1. of spreading higher views of education 2. of using a good man. 3. of bringing our men into relation with the people. I cannot think we can win in such a big constituency. At present all are full of hope.

MacDonald was unsuccessful in gaining a seat but six years later both G.L. Bruce and Cryil Jackson were elected members of the Tower Hamlets School Board. As two of the most prominent early residents, they formed an important link between the settlement and the Board.

But this was only a preliminary step. Personal service, not public reform, would ultimately improve public education.

Although legislation had been necessary to establish the educational system, it could not function unless individual volunteers fostered a sense of neighborly concern in the schools. One means

<sup>3.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 17 October 1885.

The residents also demonstrated their distrust of the School Board by their attitude toward free dinners. Although most of the socialist groups in London recommended that the School Board should supply meals to needy children, the majority of early settlement residents supported the position of the C.C.S. In 1889 the Society published a pamphlet denouncing any program in which a municipal body provided free meals. At the beginning of the following year, when the school Board itself was considering the issue, those residents who "feared the tendency of the Board scheme" held two meetings to formulate a scheme which would involve "a more personal relation between the donors and recipients." (Sixth Annual Report (1890), 21.) In 1891 two settlement residents formed part of a deputation which waited on the London School Dinners Association, an organization connected with the London School Board, urging it to institute a procedure for carefully investigating the backgrounds of the recipients of all free dinners. (Record, Vol III, No. 4 (January 1891), 48). It will be seen, however, that by the turn of the century many of the residents had altered their views on this subject and in 1906 the settlement as a whole worked in support of the Education (Provision of Meals) Act.

by which the settlement residents sought to do this was by serving as managers of local board schools. In the words of Barnett, "Ad School Board may at any moment become a hindrence to education. a mere educational drill-sargeant. The London Board has not been such a hindrance, and its success is largely due to the presence of managers who give a sort of human touch to the necessary machinery. The Toynbee Record wrote in a similar vein. The managers' mission was "to supply the personal interest and to foster the individual life of the school, for both of these must be largely wenting in a vast organization like our London School Board." Managers required no quelifications other than sympathy and zeal which would allow them "to become friends with teachers and children ... and to feel an interest in all the petty details." Each year at least five residents served as school managers. In this capacity they sought to broaden the children's experience by supplying pictures end flowers for the class rooms and by organizing excursions throughout London. They also hoped to includate habits of "discipline" and "self denial" by forming cadet corps and thrift clubs.

The second task of voluntary workers was to establish a program of continuation classes for the large number of East Enders

<sup>5.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Twenty-Five Years of Past London," Contemporary Review (August 1898), 282.

<sup>6.</sup> Record, Vol. I. No. 7 (April 1889), 80.

<sup>7.</sup> Op. cit., 81.

<sup>8.</sup> Op. cit., 80-81; Record, Vol. I, No. 10 (July 1889), 116; Fifth Annual Report (1889), 13.

thirteen, fourteen) who left school at 15 or 14, the age when most upper-class boys were just beginning to receive the formative influence of the public school. Many working-class adolescents entered unskilled employment at this time, closing the door to further training and to opportunities for advancement. It was thus vital that they be brought into contact with the educated and well-to-do. During the 1880's and 1890's the settlement established a series of "old boys' clubs" for recent graduates of board schools. The first and only successful one was the "Old Northeyites," founded by Cyril Jackson in Limehouse in 1887. That year the Board of #ducation's continuation classes had failed at the Northey Street School and only three of their former students went elsewhere in East London to attend classes. Within six years, however, the club had sixty members, many of whom were factory workers or errand boys, and an average nightly attendance of 30. The club provided classes and recreational activities each weekday evening. The settlement had no doubts about their efficacy. The "club spirit and excellent behavior" of the boys attested to the club's ability to foster "both good manners and good feelings." At the same time, the classes were of a sufficiently high calibre to enable the club to

<sup>9.</sup> Twelfth Annual Report (1896), 26; Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 26.

<sup>10.</sup> Record, Vol. VIII, No. 6 (March 1896), 67.

<sup>11.</sup> sighth Annual Report (1892), 29; Ninth Annual Report (1893), 29.

<sup>12.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 9 (June 1892), 113.

13

receive a grant from the Board of Education. But the primary significance of the club, as of most Toynbee Hall activities, undoubtedly lay in its impact on the settlement workers who sponsored it. According to W.J. Braithwaite, Jackson's assistant at the club, Jackson relied on his experience when drafting a report on boy labour for the Royal Commission on the Poor Laws. Braithwaite himself drew on the information he had gained from the club in 14 framing part of the Unemployment Insurance Bill.

But above all men of superior education and culture must establish contact with the teachers themselves. As P.L. Gell told his audience at Cambridge in 1884,

The Elementary Board School teachers are at present a somewhat depressed and overworked class. They are borne down by the work they have to do. They have little society, they get hopeless, they drop into a groove of routine, their object becomes merely to win the educational grant from Government and they lose sight entirely of those higher moral influences which were introduced into the English public schools by Dr. Arnold in the last generation, and which might be extended to the class below by you who have reaped the fruits of his work. You would find these masters most responsive to you advances, and thirsting for any knowledge you might be able to impart to them. 15

<sup>13.</sup> Record, Vol. III, No. 3 (December 1891), 28; Record, Vol. VIII, No. 6 (March 1896), 68.

<sup>14.</sup> Braithwaite, Lloyd George's Ambulance Magon, 255.

<sup>15.</sup> Universities' Settlement Association, Report of a Meeting Held at Cambridge (24 May 1884), 10-11.

In 1885, when the School Board established centers for the training of pupil teachers, Barnett offered them rooms at the settlement in which to meet and encouraged the residents to conduct some of the classes. One settlement worker organized his class into a rowing club which he believed would foster "manliness" and "the traditions of a public school". E.B. Sargent, a second early resident, was far more ambitious. In 1886 he founded the london Pupil Teachers Association in order "to unite the boy pupil-teachers of all the London schools into one community, and ... to kindle amongst them that espirit de corps which so strengthens the morale of our higher Public Schools.\* At the same time the Association reduced the isolation of the pupil teachers "from other classes of the community in which they live" and enabled them to form friendships "with that part of the community ... which has had the best opportunities in life." By 1889 the Association had 1,300 pupil teachers as members and over two hundred upper-class men and women as associates. Two years later, Mrs. Bernett became president of the women's branch of the Association which, following the guidelines laid down by Sargent, sought to broaden the horizon of the girl pupil teachers

<sup>16.</sup> Pupil teachers were students between the ages of fourteen and eighteen apprenticed to teach in the board schools.

<sup>17.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 3 (December 1888), 33.

<sup>18.</sup> Second Annual Report (1886), 17.

<sup>19.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 12 (September 1889), 132.

<sup>20.</sup> Ibid.

by bringing them into contact with women of a higher social 21 class.

Public education no longer dominated the interest of Toynbee Hall residents after the turn of the century. With the exception of the Old Northeyites, none of the "old boys' clubs" continued 22 to exist in its original form. When the Pupil Teachers Association was disbanded in 1903, the settlement severed its connection with 23 the pupil teachers program. Cyril Jackson left Toynbee Hall in 1895 and G.L. Bruce in 1903. Although some residents served as school managers after 1900, the settlement did not show the same concern for the London Education Authority, established in 1903, as it had for the Tower Hamlets School Board. Moreover, by 1900 it had become clear that the settlement's own educational program had failed and the residents thus began to concentrate on other fields.

During the 1880's and 1890's Toynbee Hall residents also hoped to reform the character of Aast Enders by altering the physical conditions of the community. They shared the realization of an increasing number of social reformers during the period that residential areas were social units, not mere aggregates of dwellings and that the environment had a significant impact on the lives of

<sup>21.</sup> Record, Vol. IV, No. 3 (December 1891), 34; St. Jude's, Report for 1887-88, 21.

<sup>22.</sup> Twenty-eighth Annual Report (1912), 26.

<sup>23.</sup> Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 14.

the people. In fact, many settlement residents believed that the environment produced the behavior patterns of the inhabitants of East London. Working-class attitudes and habits were negative reactions to residence in a deprived area. If East London were transformed into a copy of the orderly, quiet and spacious mest End, its residents might well act like middle-class men.

The residents thus sought first to increase the amount of open space and the opportunities for instructive recreation in Whitechapel. Like many urban working-class areas, the district had developed with no planning or regulation. After the opening of the London docks in the early years of the nineteenth century, builders and speculators, who were oblivious to the social needs of the poor, filled in most of the space surrounding the original buildings with small cottage property and workshops. By the time of the founding of Toynbee Hall, Whitechapel consisted of an almost umbroken series of narrow, congested courts. Although two miles from the nearest public park, it contained no open spaces beyond a few churchyards and old burial grounds and no buildings reserved for recreation other than pubs and churches. In 1889 Charles Booth wrote that the inner ring of mast London, which included whitechapel, was "tightly packed with buildings and crowded with inhabitants, except where occupied by business premises. Space and air are everywhere at a premium ... Nearly all available space is used for

building, and almost every house is filled up with families."

Following the example of Octavia Hill, the settlement residents continually urged that more space should be set aside for the use of the working classes. Frountryside surrounding rast London could be converted into public parks and the most congested areas within the district dotted with small gardens and recreation areas. In addition, public libraries, museums and art galleries, supplying antidotes to the demoralizing influences of the streets, should be made accessible to all East Enders.

But it was not enough to provide the means of temporary escape. The whole environment must be transformed; Whitechapel was too noisy, too exciting, too disorderly. Booth wrote that each of the districts of the inner ring of East London has

some charm or other - a bright ness not extinguished by, and even appertaining to, poverty and toil, to vice, and even to crime - a clash of contest, man against man, and men against fate - the absorbing interest of a ba tlefield - a rush of human life as fascinating to watch as the current of a river to which life is so often likened. ...

The feeling that I have just described - this excitement which can accept murder as a dramatic incident, and drunkenness as the buffonery of the stage - is especially characteristic of whitechapel. And looked at in this way, what a dramait is! Whitechapel is a veritable Tom Tiddler's ground, the Aldorado of the Lest, a gathering together of poor fortune seekers; its streets are full of buying and selling, the poor living on the poor. 25

<sup>24.</sup> Labour and Life, ed. Booth, I, 30.

<sup>25.</sup> Op. cit., 66.

Each Sunday the neighbourhood of Petticoat Lane was "one of the wonders of London, a medley of strange sights, strange sounds, 26 and strange smalls." At the intersection of Sclater street and prick Lane, a few blocks away, the streets were blocked by the stalls of a second market.

Through this crowd the seller of shell-fish pushed his barrow; on the outskirts of it are moveable shooting galleries, and patent Aunt Sallies, while some man standing up in a dog-cart will dispose of racing tips in sealed envelopes to the East End sportsman.

Toynbee Hall residents seldom described these scenes with comparable warmth or effection. Although some may have been attracted initially by the exotic nature of East London, as residents they found little to praise in the vitality of the community. Their ideal was order and they saw the drama and romance of whitechapel's streets only as the cause of the undisciplined working man they deplored. 'Excitement' was their strongest term of condemnation.

Most residents would have agreed with C.F.G. Masterman, a friend of Barnett and an admirer of the settlement, when he wrote in 1909:

Excitement, noise and a kind of forlorn and desperate ugliness are the spirits watching round the cradle of too many children of the town; whose work when fully accomplished has created the less reputable characteristics of

<sup>26.</sup> Op. cit., 66.

<sup>27.</sup> Op. cit., 67.

<sup>28.</sup> See Barnett's evidence before the Royal Commission on the Aliens Question, Q. 17556-58.

the city crowd. ... The city-bred people, we are confidently i formed, will never go 'back to the land.' In part this may mean that they will never return to long hours of hopeless drudgery for shameful wages. In part it may point to a certain condition of 'nerves' excited by city upbringing: a real disease of the soul. Silence, solitariness, open spaces under a wide sky, appear thus intolerable to a people never content but in the shows, the leagues of lights, and the roaring of the wheels.<sup>29</sup>

The Toynbee Hall residents advocated that all markets should be carefully regulated, restricted to specific merchandise and contained in a small and well-defined area. Although a Sunday market might have a justifiable place in a Jewish neighborhood, the Petticoat Lane market brought "thousands of idle people from all parts of London ... seeking some excitement and the means of excitement are constantly increased."

Other demoralizing influences should also be eradicated. As

Barnett wrote in 1888, private slaughter houses should be eliminated

from Whitechapel because "the butchers with their blood stains are

familiar among the street passengers, and sights are common which

31

tend to brutalise ignorant natures." Rubbish collection should be

made more efficient. The "untidiness of the streets tends to keep

32

life low in East London." but a clean street would provide "an

<sup>29.</sup> C.F.G. Masterman, The Condition of Angland (London, rev. ed. 1960). 108.

<sup>30.</sup> Record, Vol. X, No. 2 (November 1897), 31.

<sup>31.</sup> S.A. Barnett, Letter to <u>The Times</u> (19 September 1888), quoted in H.O. Barnett, <u>Barnett</u>, I, 304. See also S.A. parnett, "Object Lessons in Whitechapel," <u>humane Review</u> (July 1903), 139-41.

<sup>32.</sup> Record, Vol. XII, No. 9 (June 1900, 126.

object lesson in order." Above all, the criminal element of the population which still inhabited some of the area in the immediate vicinity of Toynbee Hall should be evicted. Their region comprised, in the words of Barnett, "' a plague spot.' It infects the neighbourhood, and it tends to spread. The children seeing such sights as are common in the streets grow familiar with vice and find interest in its excitement." As a first step common lodging houses should be registered and inspected annually by public suthorities. More redically, private philanthropists and local boards should continue the work begun by the East End Dwellings Company, demolishing the worst streets and buildings and rebuilding them for a more respectable group of citizens.

Although convinced that the transformation of Last London's environment must precede almost any other social advance, the early settlement residents did not advocate new legislation to accomplish this goal. Instead, they looked to upper-class voluntary workers who could reveal to East Enders the ideal to which they should aspire. One way in which the residents themselves attempted to raise the level of East London's opinion was by serving as members of the shitechapel Sanitary Aid Committee. Founded in 1883, this

<sup>33.</sup> Record, Vol. XIII, No. 1 (October 1900), 13.

<sup>34.</sup> S.A. Barnett, "Whitechapel", in H.O. and S.A. Barnett, Practicable Socialism, 298.

organization met first at St. Jude's and after 1884 at roynbee 35
Hall. Although disbanded during the late 1880's, it was revived in 1892 and, under the presidency of Barnett, prospered until shortly after the turn of the century. Members of the Committee believed that by investigating tenement buildings to discover violations of sanitary regulations they could "enter into friendly relations" with East Enders and teach them to demand a higher standard of cleanliness for their community. The Toynbee Record stressed the significance of this work: "Law without the support of public opinion will never succeed and public opinion will only be made as those who have faith in cleanliness go in and out among 37 the people."

The Children's Country Holidays Fund, a second end more important philanthropic organization with which Toynbee Hall residents worked, sought to minimize the deleterious effects of East London's environment on its inhabitants. The Fund originated in the Barnett's efforts during the 1870's to send a few children from St. Jude's to spend a fortnight's vacation in the country. In 1884 several local committees, many of which were modelled on the Barnetts' 38 early work, united to form the central society. That year it sent

<sup>35.</sup> St. Jude's, Report for 1884-85, 22.

<sup>36.</sup> Record, Vol. VIII, No. 2 (November 1895), 25; Second Annual Report (1886), 18; Ninth Annual Report (1893), 21; Lighteenth Annual Report (1902), 14.

<sup>37.</sup> Record, Vol. X, No. 10 (July - September 1898), 143.

<sup>38. &</sup>quot;Fear Not to Sow," 1884-1964" (London, 1964), 3-4.

about 5,000 children to homes in the country for a fortnight.

Hy the turn of the century the Fund was prosperous enough to select about 33,000 children each year and by 1913 the number had risen 40 to over 45,000.

Throughout the period the Fund was closely identified with

Toynbee Hall. Barnett was chairman between 1884 and 1912 and
41

president until a few months before his death in 1913. His letters

to his brother, filled with details of business arrangements, attest
42

to his continuous interest in every aspect of the Fund's work.

Four prominent settlement residents began their careers as secretaries of the Fund. Cyril Jackson held the position between 1888

and 1896, E.J. Urwick between 1898 and 1899, R.H. Tawney between

1903 and 1906 and W.R.L. Blakiston between 1907 and 1911. Other

members of the council included H.W. Pyddoke, R.E.S. Hart, D.H.S.
43

Nicholson and T.L. Humberstone. In addition, the Whitechapel

<sup>39.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 4 (January 1889), 143.

<sup>40.</sup> Children's Country Holidays Fund, Report for 1913, no page number; Record, Vol. I, No. 6 (Merch 1899), 92; Record, Vol. XII, No. 8 (May 1900), 107.

<sup>41.</sup> Children's Country Holidays Fund, Reports, 1885-1913; Annual Report (1901), 12.

<sup>42.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 29 March 1884, 16 June 1888, 6 October 1888, 16 February 1889, 1 June 1889, 13 October 1896, 13 February 1897, 22 May 1897, 3 February 1900, 16 June 1900, 17 August 1901, 14 December 1901, 10 May 1902, 17 May 1902, 19 June 1904.

<sup>43.</sup> Children's Country Holidays Fund, Reports, 1885-1913.

and Stepney local committees, many of whose officers were Toynbee Hall residents, met at the settlement. Few men came to Toynbee Hall without becoming involved in the work of the Fund during at least some point during their terms of residence.

According to the settlement, the goal of the organization was "to arrest the deteriorating influences of city squalor by the deporation (gic) of the children by thousands into country air 45 and country life." Although members of the Fund attempted to select children who were ailing, their aim was not limited to providing a change of air. Sharing the Victorian faith in the regenerative powers of the countryside, they stressed the moral as well as the physical benefits of a vacation in the country. In the city versus the country debate, they stood firmly on the side of the country. C.F.G. Masterman, secretary of the Fund between 1899 and 1903, most eloquently stated their view:

Life with nature, in continual contact with the earth, resulted in old time in a stability and occasionally in an elevation of character which seems passing from the fickle, hesty, turbulent life of the modern city. Nor need it be impressed how sometimes in obscure and poor homestead and cottage unlearned and commonplace characters were consecrated by a real spiritual faith in which the trivial events of the daily existence became charged with external significance. 46

<sup>44.</sup> Interview with Sir E.C. Bligh; Interview with S.E. Minnis;

Record, Vol. XV, No. 10 (June, 1903), 120; Fifth Annual Report

(1889), 14-15; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 33; Seventh Annual Report (1891), 40; Seventeenth Annual Report (1901), 12;

Twenty-first Annual Report (1905), 16.

<sup>45.</sup> Second Annual Report (1886), 13,
46. Masterman, "Realities at Home," The Heart of the Empire, 31.

Barnett wrote in a similar vein to his brother: "Yesterday was a lovely day & as I hung abt. the hampstead Heath I felt more then ever the power of the country. Vain is it for us to try to teach men new life if we keep them in the city, it is the country 47 & only the country wh. can teach so as to be obeyed." Other leaders of the Fund emphasized the "gloomy cramped streets," "the monotonous 48 houses of hideous aspect," "the sordid tragedy" of London's slums. The fortnight's holiday gave East and children "a taste of country life" and showed them "quieter pleasures than the excitements of 49 the streets."

Thus, like many of the organizations associated with the settlement, the Fund ignored the possibilities inherent in the cities and looked back sentimentally to the order and simplicity of a former era. Similarly, the leaders of the Fund, hoping to reestablish the relations between the classes which they believed urbanization had destroyed, glorified the potentialities of the upper-class voluntary worker. They claimed, in fact, that their task could be accomplished only by private philanthropy. As the settlement wrote in 1886, "It is a work which, if the State were

<sup>47.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 22 May 1886.

<sup>48.</sup> Cyril Jackson, "The Children's Country Holidays Fund and the Settlements," The Universities and the Social Problem: An Account of the University Settlements in East London, ed. John M. Knapp (London, 1895), 89; Children's Country Holidays Fund, Report for 1908, 5.

<sup>49.</sup> Fifth Annual deport (1889), 14.

to touch, it would benumb; it must be personal -- the fruit of sympathy and sacrifice." The operation of the association depended on a large corps of volunteers who selected the children, visited their homes and sent than to the country respectably attired. The first job was particularly important. Although the children of prosperous parents should be considered ineligible, the Fund could not, in the words of Barnett, "import into the country village the dirt. squalor and low habits of the London slums." The Toynbee Record agreed: "It is too true that the very poor are often unfit to be sent to a decent home, and we must console ourselves with the remembrance than many of them go hopping and fruit-picking." Local volunteers also visited the parents of the children, showing them the value of the holiday and persuading them to contribute a fair proportion of the cost. Finally, the volunteers ensured that the children were clean and well dressed when they departed: "Insistence on this, by showing how low the standard of cleanliness was in many cases, has done much to raise it generally."

Founded by a vicar of the church in the 1870's, the Children's Country Holidays Fund thus remained a typical parochial activity.

The wife of W.R.L. Blakiston underlined this espect of the organization

<sup>50.</sup> Second Annual Report (1886), 13.

<sup>51.</sup> Record, Vol. II, No. 4 (January 1890), 44.

<sup>52.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 4 (January 1889), 43.

<sup>53.</sup> w.R.L. Blakiston in Record, Vol. XXII, No. 8 (May 1910), 113.

when she recalled that Blakiston bed been drawn to it largely as
54
a result of his childhood in a country vicarage. R.H. Tawney,
however, expressed the growing dissatisfaction of many other Toynbee
Hall residents with this type of endeavor. Shortly before assuming
the position of secretary to the Fund he confided to Beveridge:
"I find the literature on the subject somewhat heavy reading. It
has a monotonously benevolent tone ... and is only endured in small
55
doses at a time." Although he remained secretary for three years,
a subsequent letter to Beveridge suggests that as he became more
interested in economic problems and adult education he found his
56
work for the Fund increasingly unrewarding.

The third major aim of Toynbee Hall's participation in the public affairs of East London, before the turn of the century was to prevent the growth of pauperism. The residents worked toward this goal in connection with the whitechapel Board of Guardians and the Charity Organisation Society. Barnett formed the primary link between the settlement and the whitechapel Board, serving as a Guardian from 1874 to 1903 and as Chairman of the Board in 1892. Other early residents expressed their support of the Board in articles in The Toynbee Record. In addition, William Vallance, the Clerk of the Board and the man most closely identified with its work,

<sup>54.</sup> Letter from Mary Blakiston.

<sup>55.</sup> R.H. Tawney to W.H.B., 17 November 1903.

<sup>56.</sup> R.H. Tawney to W.H.B., 20 September 1906. See also Beveridge's notes on his letters from Tawney, Beveridge Papers, L.S.E.

was an associate of the settlement for many years. When he resigned from the Board in 1902, the record preised him as "a model official, methodical and sympathetic, just and kind, firm and tender. ... There are few men who go to retirement with better works to follow them.

He has left in East London not only something done, but a living 57 spirit."

It has been seen that the policy of this Board was based on the belief that pauperism, rather than poverty, was the most serious social problem. Few early settlement residents questioned this assumption. Shortly before the Guardians' election in 1889, one prominent resident wrote in the Record: "In East London where, in three unions, out-door relief has been virtually abolished, an attempt will be made by some extremists to return Guardians pledged to revert to this old-fashioned means of relief." It would be dangerous to do so: "The stakes are the independence or pauperisation of the people." The corollary of this view was that private philanthropy should play the leading role in promoting social welfare. If moral failure was the primary cause of social distress, then the greatest need was for members of the upper classes to guide individual working men along the path of self-improvement. The whitechapel Board thus handed over much of its power to the local committee of the Charity Organisation Society. As the

<sup>57.</sup> Record, Vol. XIV, No. 6 (Merch 1902), 83. 58. T.H. Numm in Record, Vol. I, No. 7 (April 1889), 84.

Guardians wrote in 1884, they "have been able to regard 'legal relief' and 'charitable aid' as distinctive - and not interchangeable, terms; to accept the responsibility of the former out of the rates and to set free the fountains of voluntary charity for the relief 59 of the latter." The Board itself dealt only with the destitute, 'undeserving' poor who, denied all monetary relief, were automatically sent to the workhouse. The C.O.S. committee exerted far more discretion. It alone judged the merits of the 'deserving' applicants and decided on the form which their assistance should take.

a major problem, the residents gradually withdrew their interest from the work of the Whitechapel Board. Although a number of 60 residents served as Guardians during this period, it is likely that they did so primarily because they felt that there were few other qualified men in East London. The majority of residents emphasized the need to reform the entire Poor Law system. Like an increasing number of other social reformers, they viewed all Boards of Guardians as out-moded institutions, based on an obsolete social philosophy and concerned with irrelevant issues. As The Toynbee Record wrote in 1906, "the problem of unemployment was hardly present to the

<sup>59.</sup> whitechapel Board of Guardians, Memort for Year Ended Lady-day 1884, 8.

<sup>60.</sup> These included E.J. Urwick, 1901-06; J.S. Nicholson, 1907-09; C.B. hawkins, 1910-11; L.F. Wise, 1910-11; M. Birley, 1910-14; A.M. Cerr-Seunders, 1913-14.

minds of those who fremed the Poor Law. The law itself was a piece 61 of very scientific machinery for 'dispauperisation'." It had become clear to many residents that the complex problems of an urban society required remedies which went beyond the abolition of out-relief and which involved a new relationship between public and private philanthropy.

Toynbee Hall's relationship with the Charity Organisation Society followed a similar path of development. During the years in which the residents emphasized the need to prevent the increase of pauperism, the C.O.S., the most important philanthropic association at the time, naturally formed a center of the settlement's concern. In fact, there were strong affinities between the settlement and the bociety. Both viewed charity as a process of character regeneration echieved through personal interaction between rich and poor. C.O.S. leaders condemned indiscriminate alms-giving not only because thoughtless gifts denoralized the poor but also because the distribution of doles frequently served as a substitute for personal involvement with individual members of the working class. Above all, the poor needed a continuing personal commitment from the wealthy. Dettlement residents and C.O.S. visitors thus assumed identical positions in working-class communities. Both saw themselves as friendly neighbors of the poor, reducing the tensions and

<sup>61.</sup> Record, Vol. AVIII, No. 4 (January 1906), 49.

misunderstandings between classes. Loreover, the settlement movement and the C.O.S. were outgrowths of the same tradition. The leaders of both looked to adward Denison's residence in East London and to Octavia Hill's experiments with rent collecting for models of personal service. Like the founders and early residents of Toynbee Hall, C.S. Loch, the secretary and guiding spirit of the C.O.S. from 1875 to 1913, had been influenced by the philosophy of T.H. Green and the example of Arnold Toynbee while a student at Belliol. Barnett himself was the strongest tie between the settlement and charity organization movements. A leader of the C.O.S. in Marylebone, he came to St. Jude's determined to apply its principles to the administration of relief in Whitechapel. In his speech at St. John's College, Oxford, initiating the settlement movement, he stressed the need for university graduates to act as visitors 62 for the C.O.S.

During the 1880's and 1890's the settlement residents were agreed on the importance of this work. They provided rooms at the settlement for the meetings of the whitechapel committee of the C.O.S. and obtained leading positions in all three of the East End 63 local committees. In The Toynbee Record they consistently praised

<sup>62.</sup> S.A. parnett, Settlements, 6.

<sup>63.</sup> Record, Vol. I, No. 5 (February 1889), 59; Record, Vol. III, No. 10 (July 1891), 112; Second Annual Report (1886), 24; Fifth Annual Report (1889), 10; Sixth Annual Report (1890), 31; Seventh Annual Report (1891), 40-41; Ninth Annual Report (1893), 31; Twelfth Annual Report (1896), 19.

the various activities of the Society and the principles which underlay its work. Nevertheless, by the turn of the century, the majority of residents were influenced by the criticisms levelled at the Society. An increasing number of residents began to speak of the C.O.S. pronouncements on social problems as reactionary opinions rather than as unchallangeable dogma. Although Barnett's denunctiation of the C.O.S. at a meeting of its & Suncil in 1895 did not immediately affect the relationship between the Society and the settlement as a whole, three years later The Toynbee Record noted that the number of settlement workers participating in the activities of the Society had sharply declined. A clear break occurred in 1905 when the Record attacked the Society's unimaginativeness and inflexibility. Although its guidelines for the administration of relief in individual cases remained valid, its "negative attitude" toward many other questions of social importance had rightly destroyed its position of leadership in the field of social reform. Advocating "the inculcation of thrift" and a "stricter administration of the Poor Law" as solutions to all problems, members of the society were "fiercely antagonistic" to any proposal for new social legislation. Three months later, replying to the bociety's defense of its views, the lecord specified relief works for the unemployed, the feeding

<sup>64.</sup> Record, Vol. 4, No. 2 (November 1898), 31.

<sup>65.</sup> Record, Vol. AVII, No. 7 (April 1905), 110-13.

of school children and old age pensions as the issues on which the

66

C.O.S. no longer provided informed opinion. From this date on, the

C.O.S. was frequently an object of censure in The Record.

After the turn of the century, when the majority of residents looked to economic forces rather than to individual shortcomings for the source of social distress, the direction of the settlement's participation in East London's local affairs radically altered.

Although some residents continued to devote their time to private philanthropy, many sought to reveal the need for governmental action and to coordinate the activities of voluntary associations and statutory agencies. The residents' work on behalf of the Stepney Council of Public Welfare partly reflected these new concerns.

The Council was largely an attempt to replace the leadership of the Charity Organisation Society in East London's philanthropic and civic effairs. Founded in 1903, it comprised over seventy 67 clergymen by 1904. Barnett was chairman from 1903 to 1912 and throughout the period Toynbee Hall residents occupied leading positions on both the Council's various sub-committees and its executive 68 committee. Beveridge outlined the purpose of the organization in a letter to his mother:

<sup>66.</sup> Record, Vol. AVII, No. 9 (June 1905), 146. 67. Record, Vol. XVI, No. 8 (May 1904), 111.

<sup>58.</sup> Stepney Council of Public Welfare, Annual Reports, 1910-14.

Local History Collection, Stepney Library, Bancroft Road, London E.I; Record, Vol. AX, No. 3 (December 1907), 34; Record, Vol. XXII, No. 8 (May 1910), 108; Twenty-fourth Annual eport (1908), 12; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 12.

This is a body of clergy, ministers & others in Stepney, created by Canon Barnett to watch all matters of civic interest in Stepney & take action where need be. I am 'municipal secretary,' which means that I have to furnish quarterly reports on the work at the Borough Council. Canon Barnett's idea ... is that these reports should be valuable enough to publish in the local papers and one or two special London papers ... - so as to produce informed public opinion on local government. All of which appears to me most desirable. But things have to go slowly at first; for one thing the 'Council of Public \*elfare' being composed mainly of clergy needs educating itself & needs to have its interest widened beyond its present ones of temperance & morality. 69

In fact, his aims were only partly realized. Although the

Council sought to attract leaders of all major social agencies
70
in Stepney, it continued to be dominated by clergymen. Even the

staunchest supporter of the C.O.S. could have approved of many
of their activities. Two sub-committees, responsible for registering
charitable organizations and for supervising local work to control
the spread of tuberculosis, worked in conjunction with a branch
of the C.O.S. The Temperance and Aorality sub-Committee which had
incurred Beveridge's censure remained important. In 1908 it organized a temperance crusede, directed by a Toynbee Hall resident.

Most significantly, H.P.W. Burton, secretary of the Council between
1911 and 1914 later recalled that the Council's goals were "indefinite

<sup>69.</sup> W.h.B. to Amette Beveridge, 20 April 1904.

<sup>70.</sup> Record, Vol. XX, No. 2 (November 1907), 25; Twenty-fourth Annual Report (1908), 12.

<sup>71.</sup> Council of Public Welfere, Annual Report for 1910, 11; Council,
Annual Report for 1911, 12; Council, Annual Report for 1913, 10-12.
72. T.E.H. to Anna M. Harvey, 11 October 1908, 25 October 1908.

and full of vague moral uplif."

Nevertheless, following the reorganization of the Council in 1907, it did seek to broaden the scope of its concerns to some extent. In particular, it assumed a leading role in administering the new social legislation in East London. In 1907 its members helped to coordinate the work of the various local Care Committees established under the Education (Provision of Meals) Act of 1906. Two years later they sought to apply the provisions of the Trade Boards Act in the borough. In 1912 the Council formed a subcommittee to investigate the ways in which this legislation had actually affected the average East Enders, to publicize their demands and to prepare the way for farther reaching measures. In addition, the Council attempted to improve the quality of local government in East London by putting up its own candidates for the Stepney Borough Council and endorsing the most suitable candidates for the various Boards of Guardians. It is true that, because the Council refused to provide party political leadership, it did not support the Labour Party which could have allowed power to pass to the working

<sup>73.</sup> Burton, weavers of webs, 59. Burton also claimed that his experience as an official of the Council showed him "that most of the charitable work in the East And merely scratched the surface, was too patronizing in manner, and too much in the hands of well-meaning amateurs." (Ibid.)

<sup>74.</sup> Council, Annual Report for 1910, 10.

<sup>75.</sup> Council, Annual Report for 1913, 15-16.

classes; many of the Council's members undoubtedly hoped that the Council would serve as an alternative to the formation of a local socialist party. Nevertheless, the organization urged that the proportion of working-class members on the Borough Council should be increased and the time of its meetings changed from afternoon 76 to evening to permit workmen to attend. If the Stepney Council of Public Welfare did not rigorously follow the path on which Beveridge had hoped to set it, it did go farther than the Charity Organisation Society in supplying the constructive leadership which settlement residents found lacking in East London.

The Toynbee Hall residents also demonstrated their attitude toward East Enders and toward the role of voluntary action after the turn of the century by participating in the Poor Mem's Lawyer Movement. This movement began at Mansfield House Settlement in 1891 when a lawyer offered his services to those members of the community who could not afford to pay a solicitor. Seven years later Toynbee Hall followed the exemple of Mansfield House, hiring a "poor Men's Lawyer" who was consulted by about nineteen applicants 77 each week. Although the majority of his clients required only advice, the settlement also established a fund in 1903 to cover

<sup>76.</sup> C.B. Hawkins, "The Borough Council Alections," Record, Vol. XXII, No. 3. (December 1909), 41; "The Municipal Alections and the Stepney Council of Public Welfare," Record, Vol. XXII, No. 1 (October 1909), 5.

<sup>77.</sup> Record, Vol. X, No. 2 (November 1898), 31; Eight eenth Annual Report (1902), 17.

necessary litigation. Moreover, the Last London Tenants Protection

Committee, founded in 1899, was closely associated with Toynbee

Hall. For a number of years Barnett served as its chairman and a
79

second resident as its honorary secretary. It differed from the

Poor Man's Lawyer in that it restricted its services to cases involving the relationship between landlord and tenant. As Barnett

explained to his brother one month after its formation, "The Tenants

Rights Commee is interesting - Man after man reveals the lawless

conduct of landlords & our lawyer tells them how to resist & force
80

them to use legal methods of eviction." In 1905, the Tenants Pro
tection Committee and the Poor Man's Lawer were amalgamated to form

Decence
the East London Tenants and General Committee which subsequently
81

supplied legal aid to about a thousand applicants a year.

In these efforts to increase legal services in the community,

Toynbee Hall residents revealed a greater understanding of the

realities of working-class life than they had formerly displayed.

The settlement movement itself had been based on the assumption

that members of the upper and lower classes could communicate on

terms of equality. The Poor Man's Lawyer movement, on the other

<sup>78.</sup> Record, Vol. XVI, No. 3 (December 1903), 41.

<sup>79.</sup> Fifteenth Annual Report (1899), 18.

<sup>80.</sup> D.A.B. to r.G.B., 4 rebruary 1899.

<sup>81.</sup> Record, Vol. XVII, No. 4 (January 1905), 58; Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 7 (April 1906), 100; Record, Vol. XIX, No. 7 (April 1907), 103.

hand, implied a recognition of the fact that the poor were necessarily at a disedventage in any relationship between the classes and were therefore constently exploited by unscrupulous landlords, employers and tradesmen. The legal aid committees also differed in an even more significant respect from other private organizations with which Toynbee Hall was associated. The Sanitary Aid Committee, the Children's Country Holidays Fund and the Charity Organization Society shared e faith in the potentialities of personal influence. Despite minor differences of aim, they all sought primarily to improve the characters of the poor by supplying visitors to establish friendly relations with the recipients of their aid. Instead of taking advantage of the opportunities for a more structured form of social work provided by the growth of the metropolis, these associations glorified the personal service which they believed had characterized pre-industrial society. However, the committees established in conjunction with the Poor Man's Lawyer movement foreshadowed a new form of volumtary association which arose after 1918. Because they expected to provide only a professional service, they did not demand that the lawyers form more than purely formal relationships with their clients. Thus, although the settlement had emphasized the ways in which the work of the Children's Country Holidays Fund differed from that of a statutory agency, in 1913 The Toynbee Record expressed the hope that the government would assume at least partial responsibility for legal aid. Philanthropic organizations which did not exalt the relationship between voluntary workers and the poor could easily pave the way for action by the state.

The Mansion House Committee of 1903-04 was one of the last significant philanthropic organizations with which Toynbee Hall was involved before the First forld far. It provides a clear example of the way in which a voluntary agency could lead to state intervention both by experimenting with new forms of social action and by revealing the inadequacy of philanthropy as a remedy for the problems of an industrial society.

The Committee was called together as the result of a letter
which appeared in the press in November 1903, written by Canon
83
Barnett and signed by other eminent lest Anders. It warned that the
coming winter was likely to be a period of exceptional distress and
advocated the formation of farm labor colonies to employ men who
84
were temporarily out of work. While the Lord Mayor raised a fund
65
of 14,000 with which to implement the scheme, Barnett and Beveridge
86
were active in publicizing its advantages. The administration of

<sup>82.</sup> Record, Vol. XXV, No. 7 (April 1913), 106.

<sup>83.</sup> W.H.B. to Annette Beveridge, 15 November 1903.

<sup>84.</sup> W.H. Beveridge and H.R. Maynard, "The Unemployed: Lessons of the Mansion House Fund," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 629; Record, Vol. XVI, No. 3 (December 1903), 41.

<sup>85.</sup> Beveridge and Laynard, "Unemployed," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 529.

<sup>86.</sup> W.H.B. to Armette Beveridge, 8 December 1903.

the relief fund, moreover, centered at Toynbee Hall. Beveridge and Henry Maynard served on the executive committee of the Mansion House Fund, the latter as honorary secretary; numerous other residents devoted their time to organizing the operations of the 87 scheme in East London. In January 1904 Barnett was able to tell his brother that "the young men /st Toynbee Hall have captured the 88 Mansion House Fund."

between 17 December 1903 and 24 Merch 1904 the committee

obtained work for 467 men at the Dalvation Army(at Hadleigh Farm

89
and on Osea Island, Essex and supported their families in London.

Although the men were permitted to remain at the colonies for the

90
duration of the program, only 247 chose to stay to the end. Their

91
terms in fact varied from a few days to fourteen weeks. Beveridge
found the colony at Osea Island "a sufficiently depressing place

92
not to make the life of the unamployed too attractive." The men

were forbidden any alcohol and were allowed home to visit their
families or to search for work only at specified intervals. In

addition, the rigorous nature of the work and the strict supervision

<sup>87.</sup> Record, Vol. XVI, No. 5 (February 1904), 67; Twentieth Amuel Report (1904), 12. Beveridge, however, resigned from the executive committee in rebruary, following a disagreement with another one of its members. (S.A.B. to W.H.B., 13 rebruary 1904; Beveridge, "Diary", 3 February 1904).

<sup>88.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 23 January 1904.

<sup>89.</sup> Beveridge and Laynard, "Unemployed," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 629.

<sup>90.</sup> Record, XVI, No. 8 (May 1904), 114.

<sup>91.</sup> Beveridge, Power and Influence, 24.

<sup>92.</sup> W.H.B. to Amnette Beveridge, 13 December 1903.

were expected to serve as a "test of the industrial and moral qualities" of the men and as a means of discouraging "idlers and 93 dependents" from applying. However, despite these precautions, it became necessary to adopt a careful process of selection. Although the committee accepted the first 160 men without investigating their backgrounds, it subsequently gave preference to applicants who could produce employers' references showing a record of continuous amployment and who were considered likely to regain their former positions 94 if prevented from "degenerating" while temporarily unemployed.

At the conclusion of the program, the leaders concluded that the Fund "gave very real assistance at a time of great distress to a considerable number of families, and maintained in a state of reasonable comfort many homes which would otherwise have broken 95 um."

But Barnett believed that the program should not be considered an end in itself. Because it could provide aid for only a minor proportion of the unemployed, it should be seen primarily as a means of pioneering with a relatively new form of social action. Writing to Beveridge a month after the program began, he stressed this point:

<sup>93.</sup> Beveridge and Maynard. "Unemployed," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 630.

<sup>94.</sup> Op. cit., 630.

<sup>95.</sup> Op. cit., 631.

Notices of the Unemployed in the press suggest that the matter is within compass. I am glad. The experiment will thus be able to be watched - valued etc. You, young people, own the future & the results of this experiment will have to be used in dealing with harder problems. ... I do hope you are keeping your mind on the need of writing a report later on. 95

In a letter to his brother a week later, Barnett again referred to 97
the scheme as an "experiment." Beveridge likewise emphasized this aspect of the program. As he wrote to his father in March, "the Unemployed are ... coming to an end because the money is getting exhausted. Them I shall have to turn to and gather the scientific 98
results."

A few months later Beveridge and Maynard interviewed all the men who had worked at the colonies in order to discover whether they had subsequently been able to obtain regular work. Beveridge then wrote a series of articles in The Toynbee record analyzing the results of their survey. They found that, contrary to their expectations, only a small proportion of the men had been successful in securing permanent positions by the end of July. Moreover their ability to find jobs bore little relationship to their previous records of employment; regular and casual workers alike found themselves degenerating during the summer "by enforced idleness and 99 demoralising poverty." Comparing his figures with the Board of

<sup>96.</sup> S.A.B. to w.H.B., 30 January 1904.

<sup>97.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 6 February 1904.

<sup>98.</sup> W.H.B. to Henry Beveridge, 10 March 1904.

<sup>99.</sup> Beveridge, "Unemployment in London," I, Record, Vol. XVII, No. 1 (October 1904), 13.

Trade's statistics on unemployment, Beveridge concluded that the economic difficulties of the previous winter had been far more serious than he had originally assumed. The Mansion House Fund had been attempting to cope with unemployment caused not by seasonak factors but rather by a general downward tendency in trade. The depression had begun in 1900 and affected the country both in summer and in winter. The relief colonies had failed because their founders had underestimated the extent of the problem.

There were two corolleries to this conclusion. First, it was futile to preach thrift and sobriety to the poor as a complete solution to their difficulties. A large proportion of the working classes were unemployed as a result not of personal weakness but of a major fault in the industrial system. The most independent and self reliant man earning no more than twenty-four shillings a week during good times could not possibly save enough to continue to support his family during a long period of enforced idleness. Second and more significantly, voluntary action alone should not be relied upon to deal with the problem of social distress. Most charitable funds were raised only at Christmas, but Toynbee Hall's survey had shown that the same resources were needed throughout the year. The great number of men who found themselves forced out of work by a long cyclical depression must be able to draw upon a source of relief which they could be certain would be both continuous

end adequate. The depth and complexity of the problem required 100 a national program of state-supported relief.

Beveridge was aware that he was directly challenging a cardinal doctrine of the Charity Organisation Society. November 1904 the Society's Special Committee on the Relief of Distress Due to Want of Employment issued a report which, reiterating the views of the Society, opposed any scheme of rate - supported According to C.O.S. dogma, periods of unemployment could relief. never be caused by large-scale social forces. In fact, such periods were most accurately called times of "exceptional distress," a term which suggested a problem of limited of duration and within the power of private philanthropy to remedy. The results of Beveridge's survey, however, pointed to a different conclusion: "The problem presented by recurring periods of unemployment is beyond the powers of charity and is wholly outside the scope of a Poor Law dealing with destitution in general."

Beveridge based his specific recommendations on an analysis of the process by which men became paupers. He discovered that the

<sup>100.</sup> Op. cit., 14-15.

<sup>101.</sup> peveridge, "Unemployment in London," IV: "The Preservation of Efficiency", Record, Vol. XVII, No. 3 (December 1904), 45.

102. "The Relief of Distress due to Mant of Employment," The Report

<sup>102.</sup> The Relief of Distress Bue to Want of Employment. The Report of a Special Committee of the Council of the Charity Organisation Society, November 1904.

<sup>103.</sup> Mowat, Charity Organisation Society, 131-32; Owen, Anglish Philanthropy, 242-44.

<sup>104.</sup> Beveridge, "Preservation of Efficiency," Record (December 1904), 46.

number of workhouse inmates increased about a year after a period of unemployment. In other words, men who lost their jobs did not subside into pauperism until after a considerable interval had elapsed. During this time "physical or moral deterioration sets in and the once efficient worker comes to the Poor Law, perhaps permanently enfeebled by privation and disease, perhaps a victim to drunkenness for which he is only half responsible, perhaps into drunkenness for which he is only half responsible, perhaps included to the casual and loafing existence." The government's tesk should therefore be to preserve the efficiency of competent workers during long periods of enforced idleness.

Beveridge and Maynard outlined their proposals in an article 106
in The Contemporary Review published in November 1904. They urged the government to establish three types of farm colonies, each of which would assist one group of the unemployed. Men who were classified as "unemployable", such as inmates of workhouses and casual wards, would be committed to compulsory labor colonies. Both the casual and regular worker who were temporarily unemployed would have an opportunity to reside at voluntary colonies where they would receive training in industrial and agricultural skills. However, men who could produce employers' references proving a previous record of continuous employment, would be admitted to

<sup>105.</sup> Beveridge, "Unemployment in London," III: "The waking of Paupers," Record, Vol. XVII, No. 2 (.ovember 1904), 28.

<sup>106.</sup> Beveridge and Maynard, "Unemployed," Contemporary Review (November 1904), 629-38.

colonies where the work would be less strenuous and the standard of living more comfortable than those intended for casual laborers. Although compulsory colonies would be permanent institutions, the free ones would be established only during periods of unemployment.

The Mansion House Fund of 1903-04 led to governmental action in the field of unemployment in two ways. It has been seen that the results of his survey encouraged Beveridge to investigate the problems of unemployment and e-entually to look beyond relief schemes for a solution. The Fund thus indirectly paved the way for labor exchanges and for unemployment insurance. More immediately, the Fund was itself the precursor of "alter Long's Unemployment Fund, established the following winter. As President of the Local Government Board, Long formed committees in the metropolitan boroughs of London, composed of Borough Councillors, Poor Law Guardians and social workers, and entrusted them with responsibility for providing relief work for the unemployed. According to Maynard, Long acknowledged that the "germs" of his scheme lay partly in the In addition. report issued by the Mansion house executive committee. Maynard was secretary of Long's central committee which co-ordinated the activities of the various local committees and operated a farm colony at hollesley Bay; everidge served on the Stepney Joint

<sup>107.</sup> Maynard, "Unemployment in London," II: "Mr. Long's Proposels," Record, Vol. AVII, No. 2 (November 1904), 23.

Committee, established under the scheme. Shortly after Long organized the program, Barnett told his brother: "The Bp of Stepney & I had a talk with Long - a conceited & not very intelligent

109
person but caring to do his duty - "e gave him admirable advice!!"

One month later he wrote: "One of our men Maynard is sec of Long's

110
commee & so we are able to give some direction." Beveridge was
equally confident of the settlement's power to influence Long's
actions. In rebruary 1905 he reported to his parents about a
meeting at which he, Barnett, Maynard and Ernest Aves, a former
sub-warden of Toynbee Hall and a secretary of Long's committee,
planned an unemployment bill to be presented to Long. Canon Barnett,

111
he claimed "(through Maynard) will instil wisdom into Long."

It is true that the committees which long founded did not embody the major recommendation of Beveridge and Maynard. Although they had stressed the necessity for all future relief schemes to be subsidized by the government and Long himself had agreed to accept funds at least from local authorities, the committees relied entirely on voluntary contributions. Nevertheless, the scheme in turn paved the way for the Unemployed Workman Act of 1905 which did mark the beginning of governmental intervention in the field of unemployment. It required that every municipal borough and

<sup>108.</sup> W.H.B. to Henry Beveridge, 21 January 1905.

<sup>109.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 29 October 1904.

<sup>110.</sup> S.A.B. to F.G.B., 3 December 1904.

<sup>111.</sup> W....B. to Henry Beveridge, 10 February 1905.

urban district with a population of fifty thousand or over establish a distress committee similar to those already operating in London.

These committees also depended on charitable funds at first but the weight of the financial responsibility gradually shifted to the national government.

Toynbee Hall residents did not overestimate the significance of this Act. It was a Conservative measure, enacted by men with no intention of changing radically the economic order. Like many other social reformers, the residents thus sought to reveal the Act's weaknesses and to demonstrate the need for more effective measures. Most agreed with Beveridge when he concluded in 1909 that the Act had made no "appreciable impression upon the problem. Its main service has been to demonstrate beyond question its own essential inadequacy and the inadequacy of all measures which, like itself, leave industrial disorganisation untouched and deal only with the resultant human suffering." Nevertheless, because the Act had endorsed the principle of governmental intervention in the field of unemployment, numerous past and current settlement residents felt a responsibility to participate in administering it. Members of the Central (Unemployed) Body for London included W.H. Beveridge, H.R. Maynard and T.K. Harvey. Other residents served on the Stepney

<sup>112.</sup> Beveridge, Unemployment: A Problem of Industry (London, 1909). 191.

Distress Committee which was led by Cyril Jackson.

During the same period, many settlement residents attempted to work in harmony with the social legislation enacted by the Liberal Government. Although hesitant and tentative measures, these acts were significent because they reflected the change in social thought which had occurred since the 1880's and set a precedent for broader governmental control. It has been seen that many of the draftsmen and early administrators of the acts were former Toynbee Hall residents whose experience as philanthropists in East London had shown them the inability of voluntary action to deal with the problems of an urban community. Moreover, current settlement workers themselves assumed prominent roles in applying the acts in East London. Although some residents continued to devote their attention to private philanthropy, the majority believed that the primary goal of the settlement should be to promote the work of public authorities and to develop a new relationship between voluntary associations and statutory agencies.

Shortly after the passage of the Education (Provision of Meals) Act of 1906, the first significant measure enacted by the

<sup>113.</sup> Record, Vol. XVIII, No. 3 (December 1905), 30; Record, Vol. XXII, No. 2 (November 1910), 18; Twenty-third Annual Report (1907), 19; Twenty-fourth Annual Report (1908), 11; Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 9; Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 11.

Liberal Government, Toynbee hall residents began to serve on the local Care Committees responsible for organizing the distribution all4 of meals. When the Education (Administrative Provisions) Act was passed the following year, the scope of the Committees was broadened to include all matters relating to the health and after-care of the children. The settlement residents believed the Committees were important primarily as the means of establishing a pattern for the co-operation of voluntary workers and public authorities. As one resident wrote in 1909,

It is incontestable that voluntary work, if it is to survive and be in any way fruitful, must be carried on in close connection with the activities of the State and of the municipality. The Care Committees, therefore, are not mere additions to the clamouring throng of philanthropic agencies. They are the first-fruits of the new ideals of philanthropy. ... The only chance for other agencies is to enter into a close alliance and to put their workers and their experience at the service of the State. 115

Other residents served on the Juvenile Advisory Committee of the Stepney Lebour Exchange, established under the provisions of the Labour Exchanges Act of 1909. In part, this Committee sought to further one of the sims of the early settlement residents. Like

115. J.R. Brooke, "The Care Committees," Twenty-fifth Annual Report (1909), 20.

<sup>114.</sup> C.R. Attlee, As It Happened (London, 1954), 27; Henriques, Indiscretions, 44-49; Interview with Dir E.C. Bligh; C.C. Aronsfeld and Leonard Stein (eds.), Leonard G. Montefiore, 1889-1961 (London, 1964), 6-7.

the "old boys' clubs" which they had founded in the 1880's and 1890's, the Juvenile Advisory Committee offered guidance to boys who left the local elementary schools, steering them away from "blind alley" employments. While recognizing the Labour Exchanges Act as still another preliminary measure, The Toynbee Record expressed the belief that the labor exchanges could "do much to provide the framework for a complete national system for the guidance and training of adolescents in this country, and to prepare the way for legis
116
lation in the future."

Toynbee Hall assumed a position of far greater leadership in enforcing the provisions of the Trade Boards Act of 1909. This Act, which established boards responsible for determining a minimum wage in a few selected industries, was particularly important in East London where sweating was prevalent in the tailoring and box-making trades. As early as 1906 C.R. Attlee, E.F. Wise and T.E. Harvey assisted J.J. Mallon, an associate of the settlement and a leader in the movement which secured passage of the Act, investigated the conditions of the manufacture of clothing and paper boxes in 117 the East End. Soon after the Act was passed, the residents directed their actions toward informing tailors and tailoresses of their new

Record, Vol. XXIII, No. 3 (December 1910), 43.
 Attlee, As It Happened, 27; J.J. Mallon, "Introduction," in Pimlott, Toynbee Hall, IX.

rights and of the steps they should take to secure them. This work was important because the home workers were among the most easily exploited groups in industry; the Act could be effective only if they understood its provisions. The settlement thus organized meetings at which the residents and members of the Board of Trade described the Act in considerable detail. Moreover, the Industrial Committee of the Stepney Council of Public Welfare, which sought to bring all violations of the Act to the attention of the inspectors and to disseminate information about the laws, was led by E.F. Wise and assisted by numerous other residents. E.F. Hitchcock was chairman of the Industrial Investigation Committee of the Council. responsible for conducting an enquiry into the actual effect of the Act on the trades concerned. In 1912 the settlement residents helped to organize an association for the protection of home workers which permitted the workers to formulate their own grievances and 121 to take representative action on their own behalf.

<sup>118.</sup> Attlee, As It Happened, 28; Record, Vol. XXII, No. 5 (February 1910), 62; Record, Vol. XXII, No. 7 (April 1910), 98; Record, Vol. XXIV, No. 2 (November 1911), 18; Twenty-sixth Annual Report (1910), 21; Twenty-seventh Annual Report (1911), 17.

<sup>119.</sup> E.F. Wise, "The Industrial Committee," Record, Vol. XXI, No. 9 (June 1909), 148.

<sup>120.</sup> E.F. Hitchcock, "Homeworkers and the Minimum Wage," Record, Vol. XXV, No. 8 (May 1913), 114.

<sup>121.</sup> Mallon, "Introduction," in Pimlott. Toynbee Hall, x; Record, Vol. XXV, No. 5 (February 1912), 66; Record, Vol. XXVI, No. 7 (April 1914), 108.

By 1914 the residents had transformed the meaning and purpose of Toynbee Hall. During the 1880's and 1890's they had participated in private philanthropy as visitors for the Charity Organisation Society, the Sanitary Aid Committee and the Children's Country Holidays Fund and as directors of progrems for pupil teachers. exalting the potentialities of personal influence, they had seen themselves altering the stendards, attitudes and even behavior of the recipients of their aid. When, after 1906, the residents devoted more of their attention to enforcing social legislation, their goals became less grandiose. This legislation had been based on the belief that an increase in the material welfare of the people must precede the regeneration of their characters and that personal service was unequal to the task of solving large-scale social and economic problems. As members of statutory a encies, the Toynbee Hall residents were often responsible merely for helping members of the working classes take advantage of rights to which they were entitled irrespective of individual worth.

As a consequence of this change of aim, settlement workers increesingly fulfilled a different function. Previously they had seen themselves as privileged men watching over the welfare of their less fortunate brethren and had assumed without question that their task could be accomplished only by representatives of the upper classes. Now, because faith in moral exhortation and personal

influence to effect social change had declined, they had less reason to stress their own social background. Thus, although the residents did not encourage their working-class neighbours to participate in the work of the settlement at this time, they helped to pave the way for the formation of community centers, one of the most significant steps in the development of social work in Britain after 1918.

Settlements which were largely concerned with emforcing the provisions of legislative acts had no need to restrict their residents to members of the upper classes.

In the field of philanthropy, then, the residents were far more successful than in any other field in adapting the settlement to changing social demands. The founders of Toynbee Hall, idealizing the social relationships of eighteenth-century rural society, had seen the imposition of a residential leisured class as an adequate solution to the problems of East London. But settlement workers after the turn of the century were more conscious of the opportunities of an urban industrial society and more aware of the dimensions of its problems. They realized that upper-class voluntary workers must henceforth play a subsidiary and circumscribed role in the field of social service. As a result, the settlement continued to have a position in the public affairs of East London long after it had ceased to be important in either adult education or the labor movement.

Appendix: Toynbee Hall Residents, 1884 - 19141

- Ernest R. Acton (Sept. 1914 Lay 1915) Born 1856. Educated, Winchester; Balliol, Oxford. Resident at Toynbee Hell following retirement as solicitor.
- William Adams (Sept. 1886 Dec. 1886).
- George Herbert Aitken (July 1886 Feb. 1887; Oct. 1890 Aug. 1891). Educated, Oriel, Oxford. Clergymen. Died 1919.
- William Frederick Alexender. (Aug. 1889 May 1895; 1918 1919). Educated, Lincoln, Oxford.
- Percival Burt Allen (Sept. 1892 March 1893). Educated, Worcester, Oxford.
- Charles Robert Ashbee (Oct. 1886 March 1889). Born 1863. Educated, Willington; King's, Cembridge. Architect, designer and town planner. Founder of School and Guild of Handicrafts, London Survey Committee, and Essex Press; Adviser to Palestine Administration, 1918 22. Died 1942.
- Clement Richard Attlee (Aug. 1909 May 1910; Merch 1919 Oct. 1919)
  Born 1883. Educated, Haileybury College; University College,
  Oxford. Prime Minister.
- Ernest Harry Aves (Oct. 1886 July 1897; sub-warden, 1890 1897).
  Born 1857. Aducated, Trinity, Cambridge. Secretary of Council of Universities' Settlement Association, 1889 1907. Chief investigator of Charles Booth; Chairman of Trade Boards. Died 1917.
- Mark Blakiston Baines (Jan. 1905 Jan. 1907; April 1910 March 1912; Jan. 1914 May 1914). Educated, Exeter School; Exeter, Oxford. Physician.

<sup>1.</sup> This material has been taken from college registers, Who's Who, the Dictionary of National Bicgraphy, the Toynbee Record, the Toynbee Hall annual reports and the bicgraphies and memoirs of Toynbee Hall residents. The dates in parenthesis indicate the period of residence at the settlement.

- Richard Reynolds Ball (Sept. 1907 Dec. 1908) Educated, Trinity, Cambridge. Scientist. Died 1918.
- Robert Reid Bannatyne (Nov. 1900 July 1903) Born 1875. Educated, Rugby; New College, Oxford. Civil servant in Home Office until 1945 and Ministry of National Insurance, 1945 48.
- Alfred Ernest Barclay (Aug. 1902 April 1907) Born 1876. Educated, Christ's, Cembridge. Doctor.
- Henry Charles Barker (May 1903 Oct. 1905; Oct. 1908 May 1912) Educated, Downing, Cambridge.
- William Bertlett (Dec. 1884 Aug. 1885) Born 1861. Educated, Rugby; Balliol and Corpus Christi, Oxford. Clergymen.
- William Henry Beveridge (Sept. 1903 Feb. 1907; sub-warden, 1905 07). Born 1879. Educated, Charterhouse; Balliol, Oxford. Civil servent and scademic. Director of Labour Exchanges, Board of Trade, 1909 16; Ministry of Food, 1916 19; Director of London School of Economics, 1919 37; Master of University College, Oxford, 1937 45; Author of "Beveridge Report." Died 1964.
- Gustav Adolph Bienemann (Sept. 1885 Dec. 1885) Born 1861. Educated, Corpus Christi and Oriel, Oxford. Clergyman.
- Maurice Birley (Nov. 1904 March 1914; warden, 1911 1914)
  Educated, New College, Oxford. Chairman of the National Association for the Deaf.
- Hugh Blakiston (Aug. 1901 April 1902; Jan. 1906 Feb. 1906) Educated, Trinity, Oxford. Died 1906.
- Wilfred Robert Louis Blakiston (June 1900 Oct. 1912; March 1913 Jan. 1914) Born 1876. Educated, Malvern; Exeter School; Keble, Oxford. Civil Servant in Board of Trade from 1912 and Ministry of Labour from 1916.
- Richard Newdgate Blandy (Dec. 1884 May 1885) Born 1857. Educated, Corpus Christi, Oxford. Attorney.
- Edward Clare Bligh (Oct. 1910 March 1912; Feb. 1913 Sept. 1914)
  Born 1887. Educated, Doncaster Grammar School; Balliol, Oxford.
  Civil Servent in Board of Trade; Inspector, Ministry of Health,
  1919 29; Chief Officer of Welfare Department, L.C.C., 1932 51.

- Leonard Buckland Bluett (May 1913 April 1914) Businessman.
- Vicars Armstrong Boyle (Dec. 1884 Dec. 1887) Born 1858. Aducated, Corpus Christi, Oxford. Clergyman.
- William John Breithwaite (Nove. 1898 Aug. 1903) Born 1875.

  Educated, Winchester; Oxford. Civil servent, largely responsible for drafting National Health Insurance Bill. Assistent Secretary, Board of Inland Revenue, 1910 12; Secretary, National Health Insurance Joint Committee, 1912; Commissioner for Special Purposes of Income Tax, 1913 36. Died 1936.
- John Reeve Brooke (Sept. 1908 July 1909) Born 1880. Educated, Haileybury; Corpus Christi, Oxford. National Health Insurance Commission, 1912; Secretary, Ministry of Transport, 1923 37; Electricity Commissioner.
- William Ingham Brooke (July 1886 Nov. 1887) Educated, Exeter, Oxford. Clergyman. Died, 1923.
- George Lewis Bruce (Oct. 1886 Nov. 1889; Dec. 1893 April 1903)
  Born 1862. Educated, Rugby; Balliol, Oxford. Member of London
  School Board, 1891 97 and 1900 04, of London Educational
  Committee, 1904 06 and 1913 25, and of London County Council,
  1910 13.
- Harry Osborne Buckle (Oct. 1894 Aug. 1895) Magistrate, South Africa.
- Richard William Bowry Buckland (Sept. 1891 Sept. 1904).
- Walter Felix Budgett (Nov. 1896 Sept. 1898) Killed in Boer War.
- John Bullock (Jan. 1896 Aug. 1898) Born 1850. Educated, Pembroke Oxford. Clergyman.
- Humphrey Phillips Walcot Burton (Oct. 1911 July 1912) Born 1888. Educated, Hereford School; St. John's, Cambridge. Clergyman.
- George Grey Butler (Oct. 1885 reb. 1888) Born 1852. #ducated, Cheltenham; Trinity, Cambridge. Civil servant.
- Charles Edward Byles (Oct. 1895 July 1896) Born 1873. Educated, Uppingham; St. John's, Cambridge. Author and magazine editor.

- Henry Tylor Cedbury (July 1907 Oct. 1907) Born 1882, son of George Cadbury. Educated, Leighton Park School; Clare, Cambridge. Director of The Daily News and Newspaper Properties, Ltd.; Vice-Chairman of Bournville Village Trust.
- Alexander Morris Carr-Saunders (Sept. 1911 May 1913; sub-warden, 1912 1913) Born 1886. Educated, Aton; Lagdalen, Oxford. Charles Booth Professor of Social Science, Liverpool University 1923 37; Director of the London School of Leonomics, 1937 56.
- Bingley Cass (Sept. 1891 June 1892) Educated, Wadham, Oxford. Clergyman.
- Egerton st. John Pettifor Catchpool (Aug. 1914 May 1915; June 1919 July 1928; sub-warden, 1920 29; warden, 1963 1964) Born 1890. Educated, Sidcot School; woodbroke College; Birmingham University. Member, L.C.C. Education Committee, 1925 31; rirst Secretary, Youth Hostels Association of England and Wales, 1930 50; Vice-President, International Friendship League.
- Norman Gwynne Chamberlain (Nov. 1908 Oct. 1909) Son of Joseph Chamberlain. Aducated, Magdalen, Oxford. Councillor of City of Birmingham. Killed in First World War, 1917.
- William Macbride Childs (Sept. 1892 May 1893) Born 1869. Educated, Portsmouth Grammar School; Keble, Oxford. Principal, University College, Reading.
- Henry Clay (June 1909 Sept. 1910) Born 1883. Educated, Bredford Grammar School; University College, Oxford. Lecturer for Workers' Educational Tutorial Classes, 1909 17; Professor of Political Economy and Social Aconomics, University of Lanchester; Economic Adviser to Bank of England, 1930 44; \*arden of Nuffield College, Oxford, 1944 49.
- Josie Gordon Cloete (Oct. 1899 May 1901; April 1903 Aug. 1903; Jan. 1919 April 1919) Born 1879. Educated, Exeter, Cambridge. Stockbroker.
- Erskine A. Crossley (March 1910 July 1910) Educ sted, Leighton Park, Reeding; Clare, Cambridge.
- John Ainsworth Dale (Oct. 1913 March 1915; Aug. 1918 Aug. 1921)
  Born 1887. Educated, Cardiff High School; Jesus, Oxford.
  Assistant Secretary, Ministry of Labour.

- Cyril James Davenport (Oct. 1910 June 1912) Educated, Charterhouse. Superintendent of Bookbinding, British Museum. Author of numerous books on art.
- Frederick Joseph Harvey Darton (Sept. 1903 Oct. 1906; Feb. 1921 Dec. 1922) Author and publisher.
- Herbert Summersell Davis (Sept. 1902 April 1903) Educated, Oxford. Inspector of Schools, South Africa and Burma.
- Norman de Lancey Davis (Jan. 1908 Aug. 1909) Educated, Jesus, Oxford. Assistent District Commissioner, British East Africa. Died 1911.
- Ronald Conway Davison (Jan. 1908 July 1909) Born 1884. Educated, Charterhouse; Oriel, Oxford. Civil servent, Board of Trade and Ministry of Labour, 1912 28; retired to write and lecture on social questions, particularly unemployment.
- Norman Burrell Dearle (Oct. 1906 Aug. 1907) Born 1883. Educated, Brasenose, Oxford. Fellow of All Souls.
- William George de Bragh (Jan. 1892 April 1895) Educated, Winchester; Lerton, Oxford. Professor of Philosophy, University of Reading.
- Joseph Arthur Dodd (July 1886 Dec. 1886) Born 1860. Educated, Corpus Christi, Oxford. Clergymen.
- Francis Edward Douglas (April 1898 Dec. 1909) Assistant Secretary, Board of Education.
- Warwick Herbert Draper (Jan. 1897 July 1897) Aducated, University College, Oxford.
- Patrick Duncan (Oct. 1893 Oct. 1894) Born 1870. Educated, University of Edinburgh; Balliol, Oxford. Treasurer of Fransvaal, 1901 O4; Colonial Secretary of Transvaal, 1904 O7; Minister of Interior, Public Health and Education, Union of South Africa, 1912 24; Governor-General, 1937 43.
- Herbert du Parcq (Oct. 1905 July 1906) Born 1880. Educated, Exeter and Jesus, Oxford. Lord Justice of Appeal.
- William Eardley (Dec. 1889 Aug. 1890) Educated, ot. John's, Cambridge. Doctor.

- Ralph Roscoe Enfield (Jan. 1911 May 1912) Born 1885. Educated, Christ Church, Oxford. Civil servant, Poard of Trade, 1913 14; Ministry of Munitions, 1914 18; Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, 1919 52.
- Walter Herbert Evans (June 1907 March 1909) Educated, University of Lanchester.
- Hugh C. Fairfax-Cholmeley (Jan. 1889 May 1889) Educated, Christ Church, Oxford. Squire.
- Sidney James Farrer (Jam. 1911 July 1911) Born 1888. Educated, Eton; Balliol, Oxford. Landowner; Member of West Riding County Council.
- Thomas Gregory Foster (Jan. 1893 Aug. 1894) Born 1866. Educated, University College School; University College, London. Provost, University College, London, 1904 29; Vice-Chancellor, London University, 1929 30; Lecturer in English Language and Literature. Died 1913.
- Hugh Reece Percival Gamon (Aug. 1904 June 1905) Born 1880. Educated, Harrow; Exeter, Oxford. Barrister.
- Thory Gage Gardiner (Dec. 1884 Aug. 1889; sub-warden, 1885 1889)
  Born 1857. Educated, Cheltenham; Brasenose, Oxford. Curate to
  Barnett at St. Jude's, 1881; Active member of C.O.S.; Poor Law
  Guardian; Member of Royal Commission on the Poor Laws, 1905 09; Residentiary Canon of Canterbury, 1917 37.
- James Clerk Maxwell Garnett (April 1907 Sept. 1907; March 1909 Sept. 1909) Born 1880. Educated, St. Paul's; Trinity, Cambridge. Barrister; Examiner, Board of Education, 1904 12; Principal of the College of Technology and Dean of the Faculty of Technology, University of Manchester, 1912 20; Secretary, League of Nations Union, 1920 28.
- William Hubert Stuart Garnett (April 1907 Sept. 1907) Educated, st. Paul's; Trinity, Cambridge. Barrister; Legal Adviser, National Insurance Commission. Died 1916.
- Philip Lyttelton Gell (Dec. 1884 May 1885) Born 1852. Aducated, King's, London; Balliol, Oxford. Chairman of the Council of the Universities' Settlement Association, 1884 96; Director and President of British South Africa Company, 1899 1920. Died 1926.

- Hilton Dewey Girdwood (Aug. 1901 Sept. 1902)
- G.J. Goldsmid (Oct. 1913 Jan. 1914) Educated, University College, Oxford. Farmer.
- Lionel Goodrich (March 1885 June 1885) Clergyman.
- Arthur Percival Grenfell (Feb. 1907 Aug. 1907)
- Charles Herbert Grinling (Dec. 1884 Sept. 1885) Born 1860. Educated, Hertford, Oxford. Clergymen. Active member of numerous organizations for social reform in Woolwich.
- Wilfred Julius Hanson (Oct. 1885 May 1886) Born 1864. Educated, Merchant Taylors, Repton; Trinity, Cambridge. Chartered accountant. Died: 1932.
- Henry Wilson Harris (Oct. 1908 March 1909) Born 1883. Educated, Plymouth College; St. John's, Cambridge. Author and journalist. Successively News Editor, Leader Writer and Diplomatic Correspondent of Daily News; Editor of the Spectator; M.P.
- Robert Ernest Sperling Hart (Oct. 1896 April 1904) Educated, Merton, Oxford. Assistant Secretary, Board of Education.
- Thomas Edmund Harvey (March 1900 July 1900; Sept. 1904 July 1911; warden, 1906 1911) Born 1875. Educated, Boothem School, York; Yorkshire College, Seeds; Christ Church, Oxford. Social reformer, politician and writer. Member of L.C.C., 1904 07; Member of Central (Unemployed) Body, 1906 10; M.P., West Leeds, 1910 18, Dewsbury, 1923 34, Combined English Universities, 1937 45; Active in war relief work for Society of Friends, 1914 20; Author of numerous books on religious and social subjects. Died 1955.
- Bertram Hobert Hawker (March 1891 Feb. 1892; Oct. 1892 March 1893) Educated, Trinity, Cambridge. Clergymen.
- Charles Bellinger Hawkins (Feb. 1906 June 1912; May 1913 July 1913) Educated, Merton, Oxford. Civil servent in Ministry of Labour.

- John St. George Currie Heath (warden, 1914 1917) Educated, Oxford. Warden, Neighbourhood Guild Settlement in Sheffield, 1905; Lecturer on Social Economics at Woodbroke College, Birmingham, 1906; Secretary of Lloyd George's Land Enquiry Committee, 1912; Ministry of Labour, 1917. Died 1918.
- Hubert Douglas Henderson (Aug. 1914 June 1915) Born 1890.

  Educated, Rugby; Ammanuel, Cambridge. Professor of Political Economy, Oxford.
- Basil Lucas Quixano Henriques (Oct. 1913 April 1914) Born 1890. Educated, Harrow; University College, Oxford. Founder and warden of Bernhard Baron St. George's Jewish Settlement.
- Leonard William Henry (Sept. 1910 Dec. 1910) Educated, Brasenose, Oxford.
- John Alexander Herbert (Sept. 1894 Oct. 1895) Born 1862. Educated, St. John's, Cambridge. Deputy Keeper of Manuscripts, British Museum.
- Julius Hermann (Dec. 1914 March 1915) Killed during First World
- Eldred Frederick Hitchcock (Sept. 1912 Nov. 1919; warden, 1917 1919) Born 1887. Educated, Burford Grammar School; Oxford.

  Director of sisal estates, Tanganyika.
- Frank Russell Hoare (July 1913 Nov. 1915)
- Charles Kenneth Hobson (Nov. 1909 March 1910) Educated, Trinity, Cambridge.
- Archibald Henry Hogarth (Oct. 1907 Oct. 1909) Educated, Christ Church, Oxford. Doctor.
- George Ellaworth Hooker (May 1894 Jan. 1895) Educated, Amherst College, Massachusetts. A clergyman and lawyer who became a resident of Hull House, Chicago; active in civic reform.

- Herold Gibson Howitt (Dec. 1910 Oct. 1911; Nov. 1919 Feb. 1920)
  Born 1886. Aducated, Uppingham. Chartered accountant.
- Thomas Lloyd Humberstone (March 1902 April 1906)
- Robert Hutchison (April 1897 Sept. 1901) Born 1871. Educated, Universities of Edinburgh, Strasburg and Paris. Physician.
- James Archibald Innes (Sept. 1912 Jan. 1913) Born 1875. Officer in the Army.
- Cyril Jackson (Oct. 1885 Aug. 1891; Oct. 1891 May 1895) Born 1863. Aducated, Charterhouse; New College, Oxford. Member of London School Board, 1891 96, and of L.C.C., 1907 13; Chairman, L.C.C., 1915; Head of Education Department, west Australia, 1896 1903; Chief Inspector, Board of Education, 1903 06; Investigator of unemployment and boy labor for Royal Commission on the Poor Laws, 1905 09. Died 1924.
- Kingsbury Jameson (June 1890 Sept. 1890; Oct. 1891 April 1892)
  Born 1856. Educated, Clapham Grammar; University College, London;
  Christ's, Cambridge. Clergyman.
- Thomas Theophilus Jeffery (Oct. 1891 July 1892; Oct. 1892 March 1896) Educated, City of London School; Peterhouse, Cambridge. Master, Mill Hill School.
- George James Zacharias Jessel (Sept. 1908 July 1909) Educated, University College, Oxford. Tuberculosis Officer, Lancashire District and County Borough of Wigan.
- Ernee Sacheverell \*ilberforce Johnson (Sept. 1889 Oct. 1890)
  Educated, Christ Church, Oxford.
- Reginald Otto Kapp (Nov. 1914 March 1915) Born 1885. Educated, University of Birmingham. Engineer; Professor of Electrical Engineering, University of London.
- John Adward Kelsall (March 1886 July 1886; Sept. 1886 Feb. 1888) Born 1864. Educated, Rugby; Balliol, Oxford. Clergymen. Died 1924.
- Frank Kershaw (March 1904 Nov. 1904) Educated, Oxford.

- Bolton King (Dec. 1884 Nov. 1886; Sept. 1887 Feb. 1892) Born 1860. Educated Aton; Palliol, Oxford. Secretary of Oxford committee responsible for establishing Toynbee Hall, 1883; Aducational administrator in Marwickshire.
- Richard Walter Kittle (July 1887 Jan. 1900; Nov. 1918 Nov. 1927) Educated, Mount Radford; Peterhouse, Cambridge. A barrister who left practice to devote his full time to Aast London's schools. Died 1927.
- Harold Lacey (Jen. 1911 June 1911; Oct. 1919 July 1924) Educated, Jesus, Oxford. Accountant.
- Wilfrid Max Lengdon (Nov. 1911 May 1913) Educated, Rugby; New College, Oxford. Attorney. Killed in First World War.
- Arthur Pillans Laurie (Jan. 1887 May 1889) Born 1861. Educated, Edinburgh Academy; Edinburgh University; King's, Cambridge. University professor of chemistry. Died 1949.
- Malcolm Laurie (Jan. 1887 April 1887; Oct. 1887 June 1888)
  Educated, Edinburgh Academy; Edinburgh University; King's,
  Cambridge. University lecturer in biology.
- George R. Layton (Sept. 1914 Oct. 1915)
- Walter T. Layton (April 1909 Feb. 1910) Born 1884. Aducated, King's College School; Westminster City School; University College, London; Trinity, Cambridge. Editor of the Economist, 1922 38; Financial Adviser to Indian Statutory Commission, 1929 30; British Delegate to World Aconomic Conference, 1927; Director General of Programmes, Ministry of Supply, 1940 42; Head of Joint War Production Staff, 1942 43.
- Henry Devenish Leigh (June 1885 Feb. 1886) \*ducated, New College, Oxford. Fellow and Tutor of Corpus Christi, Oxford. Died 1903.
- Maxwell Studdy Leigh (Oct. 1905 Oct. 1906) Aducated, New College, Oxford. Member of Indian Civil Service.
- Harry Semuel Lewis (Jan. 1889 June 1908) Born 1863. Educated, St. John's, Cambridge. Rabbi. Died 1940.

- Charles Mostyn Lloyd (Oct. 1906 April 1909) Born 1878. Educated, Oxford. Assistant Secretary to the National Committee for the Prevention of Destitution; Foreign additor of the New Statesman; Head of Department of Social Science, L.S.E. Died 1946.
- Theodore Stewart Lukis (Sept. 1908 Jan. 1910; April 1912 Sept. 1912; April 1913 Sept. 1914) Educated, St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London. Doctor. Killed in First World War.
- Theodore M. Lüling (Sept. 1885 Nov. 1886)
- Edward Alexander Coles AcCurdy (Jan. 1895 Sept. 1895; May 1896 Jan. 1898) Born 1871. Educated, Balliol. Oxford. Art historian.
- James McGowan (Oct. 1895 June 1901; Oct. 1910 July 1912) Born 1872. Educated, Mill Hill; Christ's, Cambridge. Newspaper proprietor.
- James Archibald Lurray Macdonald (Dec. 1884 July 1885) Born 1854.

  Educated, Glesgow High School; Glasgow and Edinburgh Universities.

  Member of London School Board, 1897 1902; Liberal Member of Parliament.
- David Futchison Lacgregor (Aug. 1902 April 1903; Sept. 1907 June 1908) Born 1877. Educated, Edinburgh University; Trinity, Cambridge. Drummond Professor of Political Economy, Oxford, 1921 45.
- Norman Crawford MacLehose (Dec. 1911 May 1913) Born 1889. Educated, Rugby; Balliol, Oxford. Civil servant. Killed in First World War, 1915.
- henry David Madge (June 1893 deb. 1894) Educated, University College School; Pambroke, Cambridge. Clergymen. Died 1945.
- Francis Sydney Marvin (Oct. 1889 Jan. 1890) Born 1863. Educated, Merchant Teylors'; Oxford. Inspector of Schools, Board of Education.
- Alan Matheson (Oct. 1888 April 1889) Educated, Edinburgh University. Clergyman.
- Ernest Lewis Matthews (Dec. 1895 Aug. 1897) Born 1871. Educated, King's, London; Balliol, Oxford. Law Adviser to Government of Union of South Africa; Judge of Supreme Court of South Africa.

- Frank herbert Latthews (March 1887 June 1887) Born 1861. Educated, Dulwich College; Corpus Christi, Oxford. head-master of grammar school.
- Evelyn John Maude (Oct. 1906 June 1907; Oct. 1907 May 1908; Sept. 1908 - Aug. 1909) Born 1883. Aducated, Rugby; Exeter, Oxford. Permanent Secretary, Linistry of Health, 1940 - 45.
- Harry Russell Maynard (May 1903 June 1907) Born 1873. Educated, Ameraham Hall School; Oxford. Secretary, King Edward's Hospital Fund for London, 1906 38.
- William Robert Hill Merriman (June 1906 Sept. 1907) Civil servent in Internal Revenue Office. Killed in First World War.
- Charles Hewitt Miller (Sept. 1899 Jan. 1905) Born 1875. Educated, Rugby; Trinity, Cembridge. Physician.
- Thomas Storeton Mills (Oct. 1890 May 1896) Educated, Clare, Cambridge. Solicitor.
- Semuel Ellison Minnis (April 1905 June 1906) Born 1882. Educated, Queen's College, Galway; Royal University of Ireland. Assistant Secretary, Board of Inland Revenue.
- kichard Edmind Mitcheson (Dec. 1884 April 1886; Oct. 1886 June 1888) Educated, Christ Church, Oxford. Assistant Decretary, Board of Education.
- Leonard Goldsmith Montefiore (Oct. 1913 Jan. 1914) Born 1889. Educated, Balliol, Oxford. Member of several Jewish philanthropic associations.
- William Arthur Moore (June 1904 Oct. 1906) Born 1880. #ducated, Campbell College, Belfast; St. John's, Oxford. Journalist and author.
- William Edward Moore-Ede (Jan. 1901 June 1901) Physician.
- Robert Laurie Morant (April 1895 July 1896) Born 1863. Aducated, Winchester; New College, Oxford. Tutor to Royal Family of Siam, 1886 94; Permanent Secretary, Board of Education, 1903 11; Chairman, National Health Insurance Commission, 1912 19; First Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Health, 1919 20. Died 1920.

- Jack Lichael Myers (Sept. 1910 Dec. 1911)
- Conard James Naef (Oct. 1897 Jan. 1904) Born 1871. Educated, City of London School; Merton, Oxford. Accountant-General of the Navy.
- Vaughan Nash (Dec. 1887 Oct. 1889) Born 1861. Educated, privately. Journalist who became civil servant. An editor of the Daily Chronicle and Daily News; Private Decretary to Campbell-Bannerman, 1905 O8, and to Asquith, 1908 12; Decretary, Ministry on Reconstruction, 1917 19; Vice-Chairman, Development Commission, 1912 29. Died 1932.
- Daniel Howard Sinclair Nicholson (Jan. 1908 Oct. 1909) Educated, Oriel, Oxford. Novelist.
- John William Nicholson (Jan. 1914 Oct. 1915) Educated, University of Manchester; Trinity, Cambridge. Scientist and university lecturer.
- Joseph Sinclair Nicholson (Feb. 1906 April 1910) Born 1882.

  \*\*ducated, Malvern College; Oriel, Oxford. Civil servent in
  Board of Trade and Ministry of Labour, 1909 45.
- Thomas Hancock Nunn (Dec. 1884 June 1891) Born 1859. Educated, University College, London; Christ's, Cambridge. Lember of numerous social service organizations in London; Lamber of Royal Commission on the Poor Lews, 1905 09. Died 1937.
- Geraad O'Donovan (March 1910 Aug. 1911; sub-warden) A Catholic priest who left the Church shortly before coming to Toynbee Hall; subsequently a novelist.
- George Parker (Dec. 1884 April 1885) \*ducated, pt. John's, Cambridge. Doctor.
- Leonard Patterson (Oct. 1909 May 1910) Aducated, Frinity, Cambridge. Clergyman.
- Henry Duncan Pattinson (Sept. 1906 Jan. 1907)
- Frederick Campbell Paul (Dec. 1884 April 1885) Educated, Merton, Oxford. Clergyman.
- Arthur Hamilton Peppin (Oct. 1890 June 1891) \*ducated, Worcester, Oxford. Director of music at Clifton and Rugby.

- Talbot Sydenham Peppin (Oct. 1891 March 1893) Born 1869. aducated, Bath College: Keble. Oxford. Assistant Master, Clifton College.
- Werner Picht (Dec. 1911 July 1912) Born 1887. Educated, Heidelberg University. Government Councillor in Prussian Ministry of Education; Founder and Zirector of German academic exchange service; head of University Section, League of Nations Institute for Intellectual Cooperation.
- William Arthur Price (April 1885 June 1885) Born 1860. Educated, New College, Oxford. Engineer.
- Henry \*hately Pyddoke (Oct. 1894 June 1902) \*ducated, New College, Oxford.
- Gilbert Anderson damsay (May 1909 Aug. 1912) Aducated, Glasgow School of Art. Director, Whitechapel Art Gallery, 1911; Superintendent of Glasgow Art Galleries and Museums, 1914. Killed in First World War, 1915.
- John McLean Ramsay (Oct. 1907 July 1908) Educated, Oxford. Lecturer in History, Glasgow University; Superintendent of Statistics, Board of Agriculture, Scotland.
- Henry George Mewson (April 1885 Aug. 1885; Merch 1888 April 1890) Director of polytechnic in South Africa.
- Henry Maunsell Richards (Jan. 1894 April 1897) Born 1869. \*\*ducated, Merchant Taylors'; St. John's, Oxford. Inspector of Schools, Board of Aducation.
- John Walter Richardson (Dec. 1896 April 1898) Born 1867. Educated, Brighton College, Cheltenham; Delliol, Oxford.
- John George Richey (Oct. 1904 May 1905) Born 1880. Educated, winchester; Exeter, Oxford. Member of Indian Civil Service. Died 1911.
- Cherles Henry Roberts (Dec. 1889 April 1890) Born 1865. Educated, Marlborough; Balliol, Oxford. Fellow and tutor of Exeter; Liberal M.P., 1906 18, 1922 23; Under-Secretary of State for India, 1914 15; Chairman, National Health Insurance Joint Committee, 1915 16.
- Robert Davies Roberts (Dec. 1885 June 1886) Born 1851. Educated, University College, London; Clare, Cembridge. Educationalist, active in University Extension Lovement. Died 1911.

- Charles Robert Robson (Jan. 1890 Nov. 1890).
- Arthur George Liddon Rogers (April 1888 April 1889) Born 1864. Educated, Westminster; Balliol, Oxford.
- Frederick Gurney Salter (June 1909 Jan. 1912) Educated, Oxford. Civil servent.
- James Arthur Salter (Nov. 1911 Oct. 1912) Born 1881. Educated, Oxford High School; Brasenose, Oxford. Assistant Secretary, National Health Insurance Commission, 1913; General Secretary, Reparation Commission, 1920 22; Director, Economic and Finance Section of League of Nations, 1919 20, 1922 31; Gladstone Professor of Political Theory and Institutions, Oxford, 1933 44; M.P., 1937 53; Minister of State for Economic Affairs, 1951 52; Minister of Materials, 1952 53.
- Walter Ker Sanderson (Jan. 1906 Nov. 1906) Educated, Edinburgh University. Official in Vote Office, House of Commons. Killed in First World War, 1916.
- Edmund Beale Sargent (Dec. 1884 Dec. 1887) Educational administrator in the Fransvaal.
- Ferdinand Nassau Schiller (March 1888 Oct. 1888) Educated, Clifton College; St. John's, Cambridge. Banker. Died 1938.
- Arthur Francis Macmillan Scott (Dec. 1888 June 1889) Officer in the Army.
- Edward Tyacks Scott (Oct. 1903 May 1904)
- Rolfe Arnold Scott-James (July 1902 Oct. 1903) Born 1878. Educated, Mill Hill: Brasenose, Oxford. Author and journalist.
- Francis Gordon Shirreff (Jan. 1912 Sept. 1914) Born 1881. Educated, Exeter, Oxford. Killed in First World War, 1916.
- Gerald F. Shove (Oct. 1914 March 1915) Educated, Uppingham; King's, Cambridge. University lecturer in economics.
- George Charles Simpson (March 1905 Aug. 1905) Educated, Manchester University. Meteorologist.

- John Sinclair (later Lord Pentland) (May 1888 Oct. 1888) Born 1860.

  Educated, Edinburgh Academy; Wellington; Sandhurst. Officer in the Army, 1879 1887; Entered politics, 1 86; Secretary of State for Scotland, 1905 12; Governor of Madras, 1912 19. Died 1926.
- Hubert Llewellyn Smith (May 1888 May 1889) Born 1864. Aducated,
  Bristol Grammar School; Corpus Christi, Oxford. Entered Board
  of Trade, 1893; Member, Royal Commission on Secondary Education,
  1894 95; Permanent Secretary, Board of Trade, 1907 19; Director,
  New Survey of London Life and Labour, 1928 35. Died 1945.
- Sebastian Richard Eustace Snow (Sept. 1912 April 1913)
- Carl Ian Sonning (Novs. 1908 May 1910) Author of books on philosophy.
- Edward harold Spender (Aug. 1887 Feb. 1888; Oct. 1890 Sept. 1891) Born 1864. Educated, Bath College; University College, Oxford. Between 1891 and 1914 wrote for the Pall Mall Gazette, the Daily Chronicle, the Manchester Guardian and the Daily News. Died 1926.
- John Alfred Spender (March 1886 Aug. 1886; Jan. 1892 Aug. 1892)
  Born 1862. Educated, Bath College; Balliol, Oxford. Author;
  Editor of Westminster Gazette, 1896 1922. Died 1942.
- John Evan Spicer (June 1903 May 1904) Born 1875. Educated, Clifton College; Trinity, Cambridge. Doctor. Died 1909.
- John Morris Stone (Sept. 1885 Oct. 1885) Born 1857. Educated, St. John's, Cambridge.
- Mark Stone (Jan. 1910 Feb. 1911) Born 1884. Educated, Merton, Oxford. Attorney in Federated Malay States and in Straits Settlement, 1911 26.
- Morton Gray Stuart (later the Earl of Moray) (Oct. 1888 April 1889)
  Born 1855. Educated, Uppingham; St. John's, Cambridge. Landowner.
- Herbert Edward Sturge (Nov. 1907 July 1908) Educated, Oriel, Oxford.
- Welter Summers (April 1888 July 1888) Born, 1863. Educated, Trinity, Cambridge. Attorney.
- Adgar Robson Tenner (Jan. 1887 June 1888) Aducated, Clare, Cambridge. Solicitor. Died. 1946.

- Robert Grey Tatton (Sept. 1896 Aug. 1897) Born 1848. Educated, Harrow; Balliol, Oxford. Fellow and tutor, Balliol; "arden, Passmore Edwards Settlement. Died 1934.
- Richard Henry Tawney (Oct. 1903 Nov. 1906; May 1908 Jan. 1909; Jan. 1913 April 1913) Born 1880. Aducated, Rugby; Balliol, Oxford. Professor of Economic History, London, 1931 49; Member of Executive, W.E.A., 1905 47.
- Arthur Hugh Thompson (May 1885 Aug. 1885) Born 1850. Educated, Trinity, Cambridge. Doctor.
- John Allan Thomson (Aug. 1908 Jan. 1909) Educated, St. John's, Oxford. Paleontologist, Geological Survey, New Zealand.
- James Thornton (July 1897 Nov. 1897)
- Harold Thorp (April 1905 June 1906) Educated, Wadham, Oxford.

  Civil servant in Inelda Revenue Office. Killed in First World War.
- Laurence Berkeley Tillard (Jan. 1912 April 1913) Educated, Cambridge.
  Berrister.
- Geoffrey E. Toulmin (Oct. 1914 June 1915) Educated, the Leys School; King's, Cambridge. Civil servant who became a businessman.
- Edward Johns Urwick (Oct. 1897 Jan. 1904; sub-warden, 1901 03)
  Born 1867. Educated, Uppingham; Oxford. Director of London
  School of Sociology; Professor of Social Philosophy, University
  of London; Professor of Political Economy, University of Toronto.
- Francis Patrick Fletcher Vane (Jan. 1886 Dec. 1886; Feb. 1910 July 1910) Born 1861. Officer in the Army.
- Charles J.D. Waddilove (Oct. 1914 Oct. 1915) Born 1882. Educated, Exeter, Oxford. Killed in First World war, 1917.
- William Trevor Hayne walsh (Jan. 1898 Aug. 1905) Educated, St. John's, Oxford.
- Henry \*ard (July 1886 March 1916) Civil engineer; Member of L.C.C., 1892 1913.

- Wilfred Watkinson (Aug. 1906 June 1907) Born 1877. Educated, pedford rammer; Christ's, Cambridge. Physician.
- High Heywood Weir (Oct. 1901 March 1902) Educated, Harrow; Irinity, Cambridge. Physician. Died 1940.
- Bernard Whishaw (Dec. 1884 July 1885) Educated, Queen's, Oxford.
- John Howard Whitehouse (Sept. 1905 March 1908) Born 1873. Educated, Mason College. Liberal M.P., 1910 18; Parliamentary Private Secretary to Under-Decretary of State, Home Office, 1910 13, and to Chancellor of Exchequer, 1913 15; Founder and first warden of Benbridge School; President of Ruskin Society.
- Ralph Follett Wigram (Sept. 1912 June 1913) Secretary, british Pubassy, Paris.
- Edward Frank Wise (Oct. 1908 Nov. 1912; Oct. 1918 Oct. 1920; sub-warden, 1911 12) Educated, Cambridge. Active member of Labour Party; National Health Insurance Commission, 1912; Ministry of Food, First World War; Economic Adviser to All-Russian Central Union of Consumer Cooperative Societies; M.P., 1929 31.
- Richard Comber Woodhead (Oct. 1909 June 1910) Educated, New College, Oxford. Assistant Secretary, Development Commission. Killed in First World Mar, 1916.
- Walter Wragge (June 1889 Sept. 1891) Educated, Jesus, Oxford. Clergyman.
- Harold Fraser Wyatt (Sept. 1895 Oct. 1902) Educated, Exeter, Oxford. Speaker and writer on neval and imperial affairs.

#### bibliography

#### I. Primary Sources

## A. In Manuscript

C.R. Ashbee Papers. King's College Library, Cambridge. Journal, May 1885 - March 1889.

Letters between Ashbee and Adward Carpenter, G. Lowes Dickinson, hugh Fairfax-Cholmely, Roger Fry, Arthur P. Laurie, Hubert Llewellyn Smith.

Samuel Augustus Bernett Papers. Now in Greater London Council Record Office.

Weekly letters from Barnett to brother, Francis Gilmore Barnett, and to brother's family, 23 June 1883 - 15 April 1913. Travel journals.

Sermon notebooks, February 1875 - October 1888.

Notes for various speeches and articles.

A few miscellaneous letters to the parnetts.

Samuel Augustus Barnett Papers. Lambeth Palace Library. (MSS. 1464-66)

Three volumes of letters and papers between 1871 and 1912, largely relating to the reform of the Church.

W.H. Beveridge Papers. London School of Economics
Especially valuable for Beveridge's letters to parents, 1898 1909.

Letters to Beveridge from S.A. Barnett, N.B. Dearle, R.D. Demman, W.H. Forbes, John St. George heath, George Lansbury, H.R. Maynard, J.A. Spender, R.H. Tawney, A.E. Zimmern. Diary, May 1903 - June 1904.

John Burns Papers. British Museum. (Vol. IX Add. MSS. 46,289) Letters from Hubert Llewellyn Smith and Vaughan Nash.

Kete and Leonard Courtney Papers. London School of Aconomics Diaries of Kate Potter (later Courtney), written while serving as a rent collector in Sest London and travelling with the Barnetts in Egypt, 1875 - 1879.

Letters between S.A. and h.O. Barnett, and Leonard and Kate Courtney, 1882 - 1918.

Philip Lyttelton Gell Papers. In the possession of Colonel P. Gell, Hopton Hall, Wirksworth, Derby.

These papers are now being sorted by the National Register of Archives and may contain relevant meterial in addition to the following:

Letters from Alfred Milner, 1871 - 1879.

Letter from S.A. Barnett, 1903.

Letters from G.C. Moore-mith end sidney Ball relating to the foundation of Toynbee hall.

T. Edmind Harvey Papers. In the possession of Edward Milligan, Friends House, London.

Letters between hervey and family, May 1894 - Merch 1914.

Diary of Basil L.Q. Henriques. In the possession of Lady Rose Henriques, Birnhard Baron St. George's Jewish Settlement, London.

Ninety letters from Octavia Hill to the Barnetts, 1873 - 1906.

London School of Economics.

George Lansbury Collection. London School of Leonomics. Letters from S.A. and H.O. Barnett, Bolton King, T.E. Harvey, J.A.M. Macdonald, R.H. Tawney, E.J. Urwick.

Herbert Samuel Papers. House of Lords Record Orrice. (A/155/1-3) Two letters from 5.A. Barnett.

Henry Solly Papers. London School of Economics Letter from S.A. Barnett.

Toynbee Hall Accords. Most of the records from the period were destroyed by bombing during the Second World Mar and only a few, fragmentary documents have survived. Toynbee Hall has the official students' photograph album of the 1890's, the visitors' book of 1888 and copies of the Madhem House Journal, 1905, numbers vii and viii. The following records, now at the Greater London County Accord Office, were found at the settlement in December 1966:

Letters to Lord Milner, while chairman of the council of Toynbee Hall, 1913 - 1919.

Memeographed copy of memorandum presented to the settlement council June 1913 by a group of residents.

Toynbee Hall Memorial Fund. Linute book and correspondence, 1884 - 1900.

- oynbee Hall Education Fund. Agenda and minute book, 1913 1930.
- Panuscript account of the Toynbee Travellers' Club expeditions to Florence, 188 and Siena, 188.

## B. In Typescript

- Ashbee, C.R. "Memoirs,' Vol. I: "The Guild Idea, 1 84 1904." King's College Library, Cambridge.
- Loch, C.S. "Diary," 1876 1892. Goldsmiths Library, Senate House, University of London.

#### C. Printed

1. Newspapers and Periodicals

Cambridge Review. 18 3 - 1914.

Charity Organisation Review. 1885 - 1914.

For sters' Miscellany. September 1891.

Labour Elector. June 18 8 - December 1 9.

The Link. June - December 1888.

Oxford Magazine. 1883 - 1914.

The Times.

oynbee J urnal and Students' Union C ronicle. 1885 - 1886.

oynbee Record. 1888 - 1919.

## 2. Reports of Private Bodies

- Charity Organisation Society. <u>Twenty-fifth Annual Report.</u>
  1 94. Denison House.
- Charity Organisation Society. The Relief of Distress Due to Want of mployment, The Re ort of a Special Com ittee of the Council of the Charity Or nisation Society.

  November 1904. London School of Economics.
- Children' C untry olid ys Fun . Annual Reports.
  ritish Museum and Office of the Children's Co ptry
  Holidays Fund.
- Dock, Wharf, River ide a d General Labo rer ' Union of Gr t ritain and Ir land. First nnual Report. 1 90. Tr nsport House.

- Mansion House Committee on the Unemployed, 1903 04.

  Report of Executive Committee. 1904. London School of sconomics.
- Mansion House Conference on the Condition of the Unemployed, November 1887 - July 1888. First deport. London school of Aconomics.
- St. Jude's, "hitechapel. Pastoral Address and Report of the Parish work. 1882 87. Toynbee hall. These reports are particularly useful because most of the records were destroyed when the church was torn down in 1923. The Greater London County Record Office has a few, miscellaneous documents, largely relating to administrative affairs.
- St. Mary's, Bryanston Square. Pastoral Address and Report of the Charities for the Year 1870. British Museum.
- Stepney Council of Public Welfare. Annual Reports. 1910 1916. Local History Collection, Stepney Library.
- Toynbee Hall. Annual Reports. 1885 1914. British Museum.
- Toynbee Hall Education Committee. Reports. 1895, 1896. Toynbee Hall.
- Toynbee Hall "Unemployed" Committee. <u>Draft Report</u>. December 1892. British Museum.
- Workers' Educational Association. Annual Reports. 1912, 1913. British Museum.
- 3. Reports of Governmental Bodies
  - Board of Trade, Labour Department. Report on Agencies and Methods for Dealing with the Unemployed. 1893.
  - Poplar Union. Report to the President of the Local Government Board on the Poplar Union. By J.S. Davy. 1906.
  - School Board of London. Final Report, 1870 1904. London, 1904.
  - Stepney Letropolitan Borough Council. Annual Reports. 1901 14.

- Whitechapel Union. Report of the Guardians and Abstract of Union Accounts. 1877 1914.
- PP 1884 54 XXX. Report of the Royal Commission on Housing of the Working Classes.
- PP 1896 XLIII. Report of the Departmental Committee to Inquire into the Condition of Poor Law Schools.
- PP 1903 IX. Report of the Royal Commission on the Aliens Question.
- PP 1909 XXXVII. Report of the Royal Commission on the Poor Laws.
- 4. Autobiographies, Memoirs and Collections of Letters

Addams, Jane. Twenty Years at Hull-House. New York, 1910.

Attlee, Clement R. As It Happened. London, 1954.

Besant, Annie. An Autobiography. London, 1893.

neveridge. W.H. Power and Influence. London, 1953.

- Braithwaite, William J. Lloyd George's Ambulance Wagon:
  Being the Memoirs of William J. Braithwaite, 1911 1912.
  Edited by Henry N. Bunbury. London, 1957.
- Burton, h.P.W. Weavers of Webs. London, 1954.
- Carpenter, Ldward. My Days and Dreams: Being Autobiographical Notes. London, 1916
- Catchpool, E. St. John. Candles in the Darkness. Lordon, 1966.
- Chesterton, G.K. Autobiography. London, 1959.
- Demison, Adward. Work emong the London Poor: Letters and Other Writings of the Late Adward Demison. Edited by Baldwyn Leighton. London, 1884.
- Harris, Milson. Life So Far. London, 1954.

- Harvey, William Fryer. we ere Seven. London, 1936.
- Henriques, Basil L.Q. The Indiscretions of a Warden. London, 1937.
- Hobhouse, Stephen Henry. Forty Years and an Epilogue: An Autobiography, 1881 1951. London, 1951.
- Holland, Henry Scott. A Bundle of Lamories. London, 1915.
- Lansbury, George. My Life. London, 1928.
- Laurie, Arthur Pillans. <u>Pictures and Politics: A Book of</u>
  Reminiscences. London, 1934.
- Life of Octavia Hill as Told in Her Letters. Edited by C. Edmund Laurice. London, 1913.
- Mann, Tom. Tom Mann's Memoirs. London, 1923.
- Mensbridge, Albert. The Trodden Road: Experience, Inspiration and Belief. London, 1940.
- Maurice, Emily S. Octavia Hill: Early Ideals, from Letters. London, 1928.
- The Memoirs of J.M. Dent, 1849-1926. Adited by Hugh R. Dent.
- Nevinson, Henry W. Changes and Chances. London, 1923.
- Nevinson, Margaret Wynne. Life's Fitful Fever: A Volume of Memories. London, 1926.
- Okey, Thomas. A Basketful of Memories: An Autobiographical Sketch. London, 1930.
- Recollections of Deam Fremantle. Edited by the Master of Balliol. London, 1921.
- Rogers, Frederick. Labour, Life and Literature: Some Memories of Sixty Years. London, 1913.
- Salter, James Arthur. Memoirs of a Public Servant. London, 1961.
- Snell, Harry. Len. Movements and Myself. London, 1936.

- Spender, Edward Harold. The Fire of Life: A Book of Memories. London, 1926.
- Spender, John Alfred. Journalism and Politics. London, 1927.
- Thorne, Will. My Life's Battles. London, 1915.
- Tillett, Ben. Memories and Reflections. London, 1931.
- Vene, Francis Fletcher. Agin' the Governments: Memories and Adventures. London, 1930.
- Webb, Bestrice. My Apprenticeship. Harmondsworth, 1938.
- and Barbara Drake. London, 1948.
- Winnington-Ingram, Arthur Foley. Fifty Years Work in London, 1889 1939. London, 1940.
- Woolf, Leonard. Sowing: An Autobiography of the Years 1880 1904. London, 1962.

# 2. Contemporary Works

- Aitken, W. Francis. Canon Barnett, warden of Toynbee Hall:
  His Mission and Its Relation to Social Movements. London, 1902
- Arnold, Matthew. Culture and Anarchy. Edited by J. Dover Wilson. Cambridge, 1935.
- Ashbee, C.R. The Building of Thelema. London, 1910.
- Handicrefts. London, 1902.
- and William Norris. London, 1901.
- Barnett, Henrietta O. "Thrift in the home," Charity Organisation Review (June 1907), 306-15.
- Barnett, Henrietta O. and Samuel Augustus. <u>Practicable Socialism: Essays in Social Reform.</u> 2d ed. revised. London, 1894.
- London, 1915. Practicable Socialism. New Series.

Reform. London, 1909. Barnett, Samuel Augustus. "The Abodes of the homeless," Cornhill Magazine (July 1899), 58 - 64. ----- "The Education Problem," Commonwealth (June 1901), 169 - 73.----- "The Failure of Philanthropy," Macmillan's Magazine (March 1896), 390 - 96. ----- "The Housing Problem." Nineteenth Century and After (November 1901), 794 - 804. ----- The Ideal City. London, 1894. ---- "Object Lessons in Whitechapel," Humane Keview (July 1903), 139 - 41.----- "The Problem of Distress smong the Unemployed," (International (November 1908), 265 - 70. ----- Religion and Politics: Lectures viven in Westminster Abbey. London, 1911. ----- Religion and Progress. London, 1907. ----- Settlements of University Len in Great Towns. 0xford, 1884. ----- "Twenty-five Years of Last London," Contemporary Review (August 1898), 280 - 89. ----- "The Unemployed," Fortnightly Review (December 1893), 741 - 49.----- Vision and pervice: Being Sermons, Papers, Letters and Aphorisms. Edited by Henrietta O. Barnett. London, 1917. Beveridge, W.H. "Settlements and Social Meform," Oxford and

Cambridge deview (Michaelmas Ferm, 1907), 108 - X17.

1909.

----- Unemployment: A Problem of Industry. London,

Barnett, Henrietta O. and Samuel Augustus. Towards Social

- Beveridge, W.H. and Maynard, H.R. "The Unemployed: Lessons of the Mansion House Fund," Contemporary Aeview (November 1904), 629 38.
- Booth, Charles (ed.) Labour and Life of the People, 2 vols. London, 1889 - 91.
- Booth, Charles. Life and Labour of the People in London. 17 vols. 3d ed. revised. London, 1902 03.
- Bruce, G.L. Report to His Constituents on Eighteen Months\* Work at the London School Board. London, 1893.
- Deane, Anthony, C. "The Falling off in the Quantity of the Clergy," Nineteenth Century, Vol. XIV (January June 1899) 1023 30.
- Dearle, Norman B. Problems of Unemployment in the London Building Trades. London, 1908.
- The Dock Labourers' Strike, 1889: Manifesto end Statement of Accounts: An Epitomized History. London, 1889.
- Francis, A. "The East End Strike of Tailors and Tailoresses,"

  <u>Toynbee Record</u> (July September 1906), 141 44.
- Gemon, Hugh R.P. The London Police Court, To-day and To-morrow. London, 1907.
- Gardiner, Lynedoch. "Report on System in Operation in Part of the Perish of Marylebone for Combining Official and Volunteer Agencies in Dealing with Poor Law Cases," Appendix No. 13, Third Annual Report of Local Government Board (1873 74), 131 35.
- Gell, P. Lyttelton. <u>Universities' Settlement in East London:</u>
  The Municipal Responsibilities of the 'Well-to-Do'. n.d.
- Hawkins, C.B. Norwich: A Sociel Study. London, 1910.
- Hill, Octavia. Homes of the London Poor. London, 1883.
- London, 1877.

- hill, Octavia. "Report on System in Operation in Part of the Parish of Marylebone for Combining Official and Volunteer Agencies in Dealing with Poor Law Cases," Appendix No. 12. Third Annual Report of Local Government Board (1873 74), 126 30.
- Howarth, Edward G. and Wilson, Mona. West ham: A Study in Social and Industrial Problems. London, 1907.
- Jephson, Henry. The Sanitary Avolution of London. London, 1907.
- Knapp, John M. The Universities and the Social Problem:
  An Account of the University Settlements in East London.
  London, 1895.
- Lambert, Brooke. "Esau's Cry," Contemporary Review (December 1883), 916 23.
- Review (September 1884), 373 82.
- Masterman, C.F.G. The Condition of England. Revised ed. London, 1960.
- ----- "Realities at Home," in The Heart of the Empire. London, 1901.
- Amearns, Andrew. The Bitter Cry of Outcast London: An Inquiry into the Condition of the Abject Poor. London, 1883.
- Milner, Alfred. Arnold Toynbee: A Reminiscence. London, 1901.
- Montague, F.C. Arnold Toynbee. Baltimore, 1889.
- Picht, Werner. Toynbee Hall and the Lnglish Settlement Movement. Translated from the German by Lilian A. Cowell. London, 1914.
- Reason, Will (ed.). University and Social Settlements.
  London, 1898.
- Rogers, Frederick. The New Movement at the Universities and What May Come of It. n.d.
- Russell, C. and Lewis, H.S. The Jew in London: A Study of Recial Character and Present-Day Conditions. London, 1900.

- Smith, Hubert Llewellyn and Nash, Veughen. The Story of the Dockers' Strike. London, 1889.
- Smith, R. Ludie. The deligious Life of London. London, 1904.
- Tawney, R.H. "An experiment in Democratic Education,"

  Political Quarterly (May 1914), reprinted in Tawney, The

  Radical Tradition. Edited by Rita Hinden. (Harmondsworth,

  1964), 74 85.
- Tillett, Ben. A Brief History of the Dockers' Union, Commemorating the 1889 Dockers' Strike. London, 1910.
- Toynbee, Arnold. Lectures on the Industrial Revolution in England. Together with a Short Memoir by Benjamin Jowett. London, 1884.
- Toynbee, Gertrude (ed.). Reminiscences and Letters of Joseph and Arnold Toynbee, London, 1910.
- Toynbee Hall. The Work and Hopes of the Universities\*
  Settlement in East London. Oxford, 1887.
- The Universities' Settlement Association. Memorandum and Articles of Association. Registered 21 July 1884.

  Oxford, 1886.
- of a Meeting Held at Cambridge, 24 hay 1884.
- Urwick, E.J. "The Settlement Ideal," Charity Organisation Review (March 1902), 119 27.
- Urwick, E.J. (ed.). Studies of Boy Life in Our Cities. London, 1904.
- Woodworth, Arthur V. Report of an Inquiry into the Condition of the Unemployed. Conducted under the Toynbee Irust.

  London, 1897.

٠.

Zangwill, Israel. Children of the Ghetto. London, 1909.

## D. Oral Testimony and Private Communications

#### 1. Personal Interviews

Attlee, Lord. 18 April 1967.

Bligh, Sir Edward Clare. 6 April 1967.
Catchpool, Egerton St. John Pettifor. 17 February 1967.

Anfield, Sir Ralph Roscoe. 30 March 1967.

Henriques, Lady Rose (wife of Basil L.Q. Henriques).

19 April 1967.

Howitt, Sir Harold Gibson. 5 May 1967.

Minnis, Samuel Ellison. 8 April 1967.

Nicholson, Joseph Sinclair. 31 March 1967.

Thompson, Irene (sister-in-law of T. Edmund Harvey).

16 December 1966.

#### 2. Personal Letters

Blakiston, Mary (neice of Canon Barnett and wife of W.R.L. Blakiston). 1 May 1967.
Wise, Lord (brother of A.F. Wise). 17 August 1967.

## II. Secondary Sources

#### A. Works of Reference

Brasenose College Register, 1509 - 1909. 2 vols. Oxford, 1909. Dictionary of National Biography.

Elliott, Sir Ivo (ed.). The Balliol College Register. Second edition: 1833 - 1933. Oxford, 1934.

The Belliol College Megister. Third edition: 1900 - 1950. Oxford, 1953.

Foster, Joseph. Alumni Oxonienses: The Lembers of the University of Oxford, 1715 - 1886. 4 vols. Oxford, 1887.

\_\_\_\_\_\_ Oxford hen, 1880 - 1892. Oxford, 1893.

How, A.B. Register of Exeter College Oxford, 1891 - 1921. Oxford, 1928.

Merton College degister, 1900 - 1964. Oxford, 1964.

Nicholls, O.C.C. A Register of the Alumni of Keble College, Oxford, Oxford, 1927.

Pile, John. Biographical Register of Christ's College. Vol. II: 1666 - 1905. Cambridge, 1913.

Shadwell, Charles Lancelot. Registrum Orielense: An Account of the Members of Oriel College, Oxford. Vol. II: 1701 - 1900.

Trayes, r.E.A. (ed.) Biographical History of Conville and
Caius College. Vol. V: Admissions from 1911 - 1932. Cambridge,
1948.

Venn, J.A. Alumni Centebrigienses: A Biographical List of all Known Students; Graduates and Holders of Office at the University of Cambridge from the Earliest limes to 1900. Part II: 1752 - 1900. 6 Vols. Cambridge, 1940.

Welker, Thomas Alfred. Admissions to Peterhouse, 1615 - 1911. Cambridge, 1912.

Who's Who. 1920 - 1967.

Withers, John J. A Register of Admissions to King's College, Cambridge, 1797 - 1925. London, 1929.

#### B. Biographies

Allen, permard M. Sir Pobert Lorent: A Greet Public Servant. London, 1934.

Annan, Noel, Gilroy. Leslie Stephen: His Thought and Character in Relation to His lime. London, 1951.

Aronsfeld, C.C. and stein, Leonard (eds.). Leonard G. Lontefiore, 1889 - 1961. London, 1964.

- Ball. Oona Howard. Sidney Ball: Lemories and Impressions of 'An Ideal Don'. Oxford, 1923.
- Barnett, hemietta O. Canon ernett: His Life, Work, and Friends. 2 vols. London, 1919.
- Bell, E. Moberly. Octavia Hill: A Biography. London, 1943. Bell, Quentin. Ruskin. London, 1963.
- Beveridge, Janet. Beveridge and His Plan. London, 1954.
- /Booth, Mary/. Charles Booth: A memoir. London, 1954.1918.
- Cole, Margaret, Beatrice mebb. London, 1945.
- Gardiner, A.G. The Life of George Cadbury. London, 1923.
- Gollin, A.M. Proconsul in Politics: A Study of Lord Milner in Opposition and in Power. London, 1964.
  Harris, h. Wilson. J.A. Spender. Longon, 1946.
- Haw, G. From Workhouse to Mestminster: The Life Story of Will Crooks, M.P. London, 1907.
- Headlam, Cecil (ed.). The Milner Papers. Vol I: South Africa, 1897 - 1899. London, 1931.
- Hobson, J.A. and Ginsberg, Morris. L.T. Hobhouse: His Life and Work. London, 1931.
- Jenkins, Roy. Mr. Attlee: An Interim Biography. London, 1948. Jones. Enid Huws. Margery Fry: The Essential Amateur. London, 1966.
- Jones, Henry and Luirhead, John Henry. The Life of Edward Caird. Glasgow, 1921.
- Loch, C.S. "In Memoriam: Miss Octavia Hill," Charity Organisation Review (October 1912), 213.
- Lockhart, J.G. Cosmo Gordon Lang. London, 1949.
- Mensbridge, Albert. Fellow Men: A Gallery of England, 1876 -1946. London, 1948.
- Markham, Violet. Friendship's harvest. London, 1956.
  Milligen, Edward. "T. Edmund Harvey: His Life and Times." Eight chapters in typescript; not yet published.
- Mills, J. Saxon. Sir Edward Cook, K.B.E. London, 1921.
- Murphy, J.T. Labour's sig hree: A Biographical Study of Clement Attlee, Herbert Morrison and Ernest Bevin. London, 1948.
- Nethercot, Arthur H. The First Five Lives of Annie Besant. London, 1961.
- Postgate, Raymond. The Life of George Lansbury. London, 1951. Richter, belvin. The Politics of Conscience: T.H. Green and His Age. London, 1964.
- Scott, Richenda. "Authority or experience: John Wilhelm Rowntree and the Dilemna of Nineteenth-Century British Quakerism," Journal of the Friends historical Society. Vol. 49, No. 2 (Spring 1960), 75 - 95.
- Simey, T.S. and M.B. Charles Booth, Social Scientist. London, 1960.

- Sinclair, M.A. The might I onourable John Sinclair, Later Lord Pentland: A Memoir. London, 1928.
- Smith, Mary Florence. Arthur Lional Smith, Master of Balliol, 1916 1924: A Biography and Some Meminiscences. London, 1928.
- Spender, Harold. "Barnett the power," Contemporary Review (January 1919), 31 37.
- "T. Admind harvey," The Friend, Vol. 113, No. 19 (May 13, 1955).
- Thomas Hancock Nunn: The Life and Work of a Social Reformer. London, 1942.
- Thompson, E.P. William Morris, Romentic to evolutionary.
  London, 1955.
- Tims, Margaret. Jane Addams of Hull House, 1860 1935: A Centenary Study. London, 1961.
- Torr, Dora. Tom Mann and His Times. London, 1956.
- Tsuzuki, Chushecki. H.M. Hyndman and British Socialism. Edited by Henry Pelling. London, 1961.
- Vernon, Anne. A Queker Businessman: The Life of Joseph Rowntree, 1836 1925. London, 1958.
- Wrench, John Evelyn. Alfred Lord Milner: The Man of No Illusions, 1854 1925. London, 1958.

## C. Social, Economic and Political Histories

- Annen, Noel Gilroy. "The Intellectual Aristocracy" in <u>Studies</u>
  in <u>Social History: A Tribute to G.M. Trevelyan.</u> Edited by
  J.h. Plumb. London, (1935.)
- Armytage, W.h.G. Heavens Below: Utopian Experiments in England, 1560 1960. London, 1961.
- Ashworth, william. The Genesis of Modern British Town Planning:
  A study in Economic and Social history of the Nineteenth and
  Twentieth Centuries. London, 1954.
- Twentieth Centuries. London, 1954.

  Barker, f.C. and abbins, Michael. A History of London Transport:

  Passenger Travel and the Development of the Metropolis.

  Vol. I: The Nineteenth Century. London, 1963.
- Becker, Dorothy G. "Exit Lady Bountiful: The Volunteer and the Professional Social Worker," Social Service Review (March 1964), 57 72.
- Beveridge, W.H. Voluntary Action: A Report on Methods of Social Advance, London, 1948.
- Beveridge, W.H. and Wells, A.F. The Avidence for Voluntary Action. London, 1949.
- Briggs, Asa. The Making of Modern Angland, 1784 1867: The Age of Improvement. New York, 1959.
- Work of Seebohm Rowntree, 1871 1954. London, 1961.

- Briggs, Asa. <u>Victorian Cities</u>. London, 1963. <u>Victorian People</u>: Some Reassessments of People, Institutions, Ideas and Avents, 1854 - 1867. London, 1954.
- Bruce, Maurice. The Coming of the welfare State. London, 1961.
- Butler, C.V. Bernett house, 1914 1964. Oxford, 1964.
- Clark, G. Kitson. The Making of Victorian England. London. 1963.
- Cole. G.D.H. "A Retrospect of the History of Voluntary Social Service," in Voluntary Social Services: Their Place in the Modern State. Edited by A.F.C. Bourdillon. (London 1954), 11 - 30.
- Cole, G.D.H. and Postgate, Raymond. The Common People, 1746 -1946. London, 1938.
- Connell, W.F. The Educational Phought and Influence of Matthew Arnold. London, 1950.
- Creese, Welter L. The Search for Anvironment: The Garden City, Before end After. New Haven, Connecticut, 1966.
- Cremin, Lawrence A. The Transformation of the School. New York. 1961.
- Dengerfield, George. The Strenge Death of Liberal England. New York, 1935.
- Davis, Allen F. Spearheads for Reform: The Social Settlements and the Progressive Lovement, 1890 - 1914. New York, 1967.
- de ochweinitz, Karl. England's Road to Social Security: From the Statute of Laborers in 1349 to the Beveridge Report of 1942. New York, 1943.
- Ensor, R.C.K. England, 1870 1914. London, 1936.
- Foot, Paul. Immigration and wace in British Politics. Harmondsworth. 1965.
- Gartner, Lloyd P. The Jewish Immigrant in England, 1870 1914. London, 1960.
- Gibbon. Gwilym and Bell. Reginald w. History of the London County Council, 1889 - 1939. London, 1939.
- Gilbert, Bentley B. The Evolution of National Insurance in Great Britain: The Origins of the welfare State. London, 1966.
- Gosden, P.H.J.H. The Friendly Societies in England, 1815 1875. Manchester, 1961.
- Grinling, C.H. Fifty Years of Pioneer Nork in Moolwich. London,
- Hal'evy, Eli. A History of the English People in the Nineteenth Century. Iranslated by r.I. datkin. 6 vols. 2d ed. revised. London, 1951.
- Harrison, J.R.C. A History of the working Men's College, 1854 -1954. London, 1954.
- ---- Learning and Living, 1790 1960: A Study in the History of the English Adult Education Lovement. London, 1961.

- Hillman, Arthur. Urbanization and the Organization of welfare Activities in the Letropolitan Community of Chicago. Part of a Dissertation Submitted to the Department of Sociology, University of Chicago, August 1940. Chicago, 1943.
- Hobsbaum, E.J. Labouring Men: Studies in the History of Labour. New York, 1964.
- Hynes, Samuel. The Edwardian Turn of Lind. Princeton, New Jersey, 1968.
- Ideas and Beliefs of the Victoriens: An Historic Revaluation of the Victorian Age. New York, 1966.
- Inglis, K.S. Churches and the Working Classes in Victorian England. London, 1963.
- Jackson, John A. The Irish in Britain. London, 1963.
- Kelsall, R.K. Higher Civil Servents in Britain from 1870 to the Present Day. London, 1955.
- Leslie, R.F. "The Background of Jewish Immigration," East London
- Papers, Vol. 6, No. 2 (December 1963), 67 78.
- Lipman, V.D. Social History of the Jews in England, 1850 1950. London, 1954.
- Lubove, Roy. The Professional Altruist: The Emergence of Social Work as a Career, 1880 - 1930. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1965.
- Lynd, Helen kerrell. England in the Lighteen Lighties: Toward a Social Basis for Freedom. London, 1945.
- McBriar, A.w. Fabian Pocialism and English Politics, 1884 1918. Cambridge, 1962.
- Mansbridge, Albert. An Adventure in Working-Class Aducation: Being the Story of the Workers' Educational Association. 1903 - 1915. London, 1920.
- Mencher, Semuel. "The Influence of Romanticism on Nineteenth-Century British Social Work, \* Social Service Review (June 1964), 174 - 90.
- Mowat, Charles Loch. The Charity Organisation Society, 1869 -1913: Its Ideas and Work. London, 1961.
  - Owen, David. English Philanthropy, 1660 1960. London, 1965.
- The Oxford House in ethnal wreen, 1884 1948. London, 1948.
- Peers, Robert. Adult Education: A Comparative Study. 2d ed. London, 1959.
- Pelling, Henry. A History of British Trade Unionism. Harmondsworth, 1963.
- Pelling, Henry and Bealey, Frank. Labour and Politics, 1900 -1906: A History of the Labour Representation Committee. London, 1958.
- Pimlott, J.A.R. The Englishman's Holiday: A Social History. London, 1947.
- ----- Toynbee Hall: Fifty Years of Social Progress, 1884 -1934. London, 1935.

- Pumphrey, Muriel W. and Ralph E. The Heritage of American Social Work. New York, 1961.
- Raven, C.E. Christien Socialism, 1848 1854. London, 1920.
- Reckitt, Maurice. raith and Society: A Study of the Structure,
  Outlook and Opportunity of the Christian Social Movement in
  Great Britain and the United States of America. London, 1932.
- Rooff, Madeline. Voluntary Docieties and Social Policy. London, 1957.
- Simey, M. Charitable Effort in Liverpool in the Nineteenth Century. Liverpool, 1951.
- Smith, H.P. Labour and Learning: Albert Mansbridge, Oxford and the W.E.A. Oxford, 1956.
- Somervell, D.C. English Thought in the Nineteenth Century.
  London, 1929.
- Stocks, M.D. Fifty Years in every Street: The Story of the Manchester University Settlement. Manchester, 1945.
- Tate, G.K. London Trades Council, 1860 1950: A History. London, 1950.
- Thomas, Mary G. "Fear Not to Sow": The Children's Country Holidays Fund. London, 1964.
- Thompson, E.P. The Making of the English Working Class. London, 1963.
- Thompson, Paul. Socialists, Liberals and Labour: The Struggle cor London, 1885 1914. London, 1967.
- Thomson, David. England in the Nine teenth Century, 1815 1914. Harmondsworth, 1950.
- Titmuss, Richard M. Essays on the Welfere State. 2d ed. London, 1966.
- Wagner, Donald O. The Church of England and Social Reform since 1854. New York, 1930.
- Willey, Basil. Nineteenth-Century Studies: Coleridge to Matthew Arnold. London, 1949.
- Williams, Francis. Journey into Adventure: The Story of the Workers Travel Association. London, 1960.
- Williams, Raymond. Culture and Dociety, 1780 1950. London, 1958.
- Witmer, Helen. Social Mork: An Analysis of a Social Institution. New York, 1942.
- Woodroofe, Kathleen. From Charity to Sociel Work in angland and the United States. London, 1962.
- Young, A.F. and Ashton, ...T. British Social Work in the Nineteenth Century. London, 1956.
- Young, G.M. Victorian England: Portrait of an Age. London, 1936.

  Victorian Essays. London, 1962.

- D. Studies of mast London and of working-Class Life
  - Burke, Thomas. The Real East End. London, 1932.

    Gans, Herbert J. The Urban Villagers: Group and Class in the
    Life of Italian-Americans. New York, 1962.
  - Hoggart, Richard. The Uses of Literacy: Aspects of Working-Class Life with Special Reference to Publications and Entertainments. London, 1957.
  - Jackson, Brian and Marsden, Dennis. Education and the Working Class: Some General Themes Raised by a Study of Eighty-Might Working-Class Children in a Northern Industrial City.

    London, 1962.
  - Levy, Abraham Bernard. East End Story. London, 1951.
  - Living in Stepney: Past, Present and Future. Compiled by the Stepney reconstruction Group, Toynbee Hell. London, 1945.
  - Mekeel, Scudder. "Comparative Notes on the 'Social Role of the Settlement House' as Contrasted with that of the United States Indian Service," Applied Anthropology (December 1943), 5 8.
  - Mumby, D.L. Industry and Planning in Stepney. London, 1951.
  - Rose, Millicent. The East End of London. London, 1951.
  - Self, P.J.O. "Voluntary Organizations in Bethmal Green," in Voluntary Social Services: Their Place in the Modern State. Edited by A.F.C. Bourdillon. (London, 1954), 235 62.
  - Sinclair, Robert. East London: The Lest and North-East Boroughs of London and Greater London. London, 1950.
  - Smith, Hubert Llewellyn. The History of East London from the Earliest Times to the End of the Light eenth Century. London, 1939.
  - Whyte, W.F. Street Corner pociety. Chicago, 1955.
  - Anthropology (October December 1941), 14 19.
  - Wilmott, Peter. Adolescent Boys of East London.
  - Young, Michael and Wilmott, Peter. ramily and Kinship in East London. 2d ed. revised. Harmond sworth, 1962.

## E. Unpublished Theses

- Clarke, P.L.P. "The Education Act of 1902: A Study of Its Background, Scope and Legislative Problems." London Ph.D. 1964.
- Inglis, K.S. "English Churches and the "orking Class, 1880 1900". Oxford D. Phil. 1956.
- Lerner, Shirley. "The History of the United Clothing Workers Union: A Case Study of Social Disorganisation." London Ph.D. 1956.

- Lovell, J.C. "Trades Unionism in the Port of London, 1870 1914." London Ph.D. 1966.
- Thompson, P.R. "London Working Class Politics and the Formation of the London Labour Party, 1885 1914." Oxford D. Phil. 1964.
- Woodard, Calvin. "The Charity Organisation Society and the Rise of the Welfere State." Cambridge Ph.D. 1961.